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## PREFACE

This volume contains an edition, with translation and commmentary, of the Old Khotanese version of the Buddhist text known as Karmavibhanga, the 'classification of acts'. More than thirty years ago Harold W. Bailey published in the third and fifth volumes of his Khotanese texts (1956 and 1963) the fragments of the Karmavibhanga in the India Office Library, London, and another fragment in the Harvard University Library, Cambridge (Mass.). The idea of an edition of this text was given me by Ronald E. Emmerick in the summer of 1990 when I was doing some research at the University of Hamburg as part of my doctoral thesis for the Istituto Universitario Orientale, Naples. This idea had arisen out of Emmerick's identification of five unpublished fragments in the Francke-Körber collection that Gerd Gropp had rediscovered in 1981 in the Staatliches Museum für Völkerkunde, Munich. These fragments and six others that I subsequently identified are published here for the first time.

Over these past few years Emmerick's suggestion and my decision to prepare an edition of the Khotanese Karmavibhanga have proved to be both a blessing and a curse. Cursed was the frustration caused by the state of the fragments, often very poorly preserved, which made their reading and interpretation extremely laborious. Blessed was my satisfaction when I succeeded, slowly but surely, in overcoming the difficulties, in identifying six new fragments in Munich and one in London and, from August 1992 onwards, in piecing together the puzzle of the fragments in as complete a form as possible and in finding their right place in the text. Now that I have managed to fit together a fair number of fragments that are kept in two different institutions, in London and in Munich, we can at least read with some sort of
continuity large portions of this text that had been practically nonexistent hitherto.

Ronald E. Emmerick has helped me in every way. As well as making available to me the photos in his possession of almost all the fragments published here, he devoted a great deal of his time to reading preliminary versions of this work and discussing with me the various problems that arose along the way. He has been unsparing with suggestions and valuable advice. He has helped me to improve my English and has let me use his fonts with diacritics for the composition of the text and the programme HVATANA.EXE for the preparation of the Khotanese glossary. For all this I am sincerely and deeply grateful to him.

This work has also benefited greatly from the experience of Prods O. Skjærvø of Harvard University. I had the pleasure of discussing a partial version of this work with him when he visited Rome in May 1994. He also read a later version and gave me useful advice regarding both content and linguistic form.

I should like to thank Philippe Gignoux for having made me aware of the possibility of applying for a Hirayama Silk Roads scholarship, which was awarded to me for 1993 by UNESCO as part of the project «Integral Study of the Silks Roads: Roads of Dialogue» and which made it possible for me to work on the original manuscripts in London and Munich and to work with Ronald E. Emmerick in Hamburg.

My special thanks go to Gherardo Gnoli who considered thą this book would not be out of place in the «Serie Orientale Roma» of which he is editor, and to Adriano V. Rossi of the Istituto Universitario Orientale in Naples for having expressed the wish that it be published jointly by the IsMEO and the Istituto Universitario Orientale. I am also grateful to Gherardo Gnoli and Adriano V. Rossi for the years of encouragement and support they have given me in my research.

There are many other people to whom I am indebted for various reasons: Gerd Gropp allowed me to work on the Khotanese manuscripts that he rediscovered; Graham W. Shaw and Ursula Sims-Williams of

The British Library, Oriental and India Office Collections, London, and Bruno Richtsfeld, Wolfgang Bauer and the authorities of the Staatliches Museum für Völkerkunde, Munich, greatly facilitated my consultation of the manuscripts kept in their institutions; Silvio Vita of the Istituto Universitario Orientale in Naples helped me with details concerning the Chinese versions of the text; Chantal Duhuy of the Institut de Civilisation Indienne of the Collège de France in Paris obtained an article for me which I would not have been able to get hold of otherwise; Ann Emmerick made me perfectly at home on my visits to Quickborn; my wife Luisa with her constant support made it possible for me to work in absolute tranquillity and to carry this undertaking through to the end; my daughter Irene was untiring in making sure that I kept the right balance between research and family ties.

This book is dedicated to my parents as a token of gratitude for the confidence that they have always so generously shown me.
M. M.

Genzano di Roma, 25 April 1995
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## KHOTANESE TEXTS

References to Khotanese texts are as recommended in Emmerick 1992. For Si the paragraph numbering of Emmerick 1980-1982 has been adopted. Translations accompanying quotations from JS, Sgh, Śgs, Si, Suv and Z are those by the editors of the relevant text unless it is otherwise indicated.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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## INTRODUCTION

The Buddhist Karmavibhanga is known in a number of versions in different languages.

A manuscript containing a complete Sanskrit version (= S1) of the Karmavibhañga was discovered by S. Lévi in Nepal in 1922. Some time later a further manuscript was located by the Nepalese rajguru Hemraj Sarman. An edition with translation and notes based on modern copies of the two manuscripts was subsequently published by Lévi 1932 (reviewed by de La Vallee Poussin 1934-1935) along with the Pāli, Tibetan (T1), Chinese (Chg and Cht) and Kuchean versions. S1 is introduced by a developed prologue, where the story is told of Śuka and of his rich but mean father Taudeya, who is reborn as Suka's dog. It is characterised by abundant annotations and examples of the consequences of the various acts. Manuscript A also contains a commentary termed Karmavibhañgopadeśa by Lévi.

Two folios (H 149 X 1-2) of a Central Asian manuscript containing part of a different Sanskrit version (=S2) are housed at The British Library, Oriental and India Office Collections, London. They where edited with notes and translation by A. F. R. Hoernle (1916, 46-52; text reproduced in Lévi 235-236). In what remains of this version there is no trace of the amplification characteristic of S1.

A similar text is the Pāli Cūlakammavibhañga (Majjhimanikāaya 135) translated by Feer 1883, 492-502.

In the Chinese canon we find six translations of the Karmavibhanga (Śukasūtra in Demiéville, Durt and Seidel 1978). The oldest is an anonymous translation prepared under the Western Jin, 265-316 CE (Taishō 78; Lévi: Cha); the others are those by Gautama Sanghadeva in the Madhyamāgama from 397-398 CE (Taishō 26 (170); Lévi: Chs),

Guṇabhadra from the period 435-443 CE (Taishō 79; Lévi: Chb), Gautama Dharmaprajña from 582 CE (Taishō 80; Lévi: Chg), ${ }^{2}$ Tian Xizai from the period 982-1000 CE (Taishō 81; Lévi: Cht), and Shihu from the period 982-1017 CE (Taishō 755; Lévi: Chc).

Two Tibetan versions of the Karmavibhañga are found in the Kanjur: the Las rnam par 'byed pa (Tōhoku 338; Lévi: T1) and the Las kyi rnam par 'gyur ba zhes bya ba'i chos kyi gzhung (Tōhoku 339; Lévi: T2). They correspond to S1 and S2 respectively. T1 was printed in Lévi 183-214. T2 was translated by Feer 1883, 250-279. ${ }^{3}$

Fragments of a Kuchean version of the Karmavibhanga are kept at the Bibliothèque Nationale, Paris. The bare text was first printed in Lévi 243-257. The fragments were then edited accompanied by a translation and glossary in Lévi 1933, 79-107 (general glossary pp. 109-161). E. Sieg, beside reviewing Lévi's book (Sieg 1936), also offered a detailed study of the fragments (Sieg 1938). A new edition is in the hands of G.-J. Pinault, who has identified further Karmavibhanga fragments in the collection of the Bibliothèque Nationale.

There exists also a fragment of a Sogdian Karmavibhañga containing part of the introduction that is not extant in Khotanese (see below). It was edited and translated by Rozenberg 1920 (a description of the fragment is found in Rozenberg 1918). Contrary to what was suggested by Bailey 1983, 1236, the Sogdian Sūtra of the causes and effects of actions, whose most recent edition and translation we owe to D . N. Mackenzie (1970), is a different text since it is a translation of the Chinese Shan e yin guo jing (Taishō 2881) and is ultimately related to the Pāli Mahākammavibhañga (see Lévi 5).

For further details on the various versions and on their mutual relationships see Lévi 1-19 and Yamada 1959, 39-41. Some useful information is also to be found in Rozenberg 1920, 399-400.

[^1]The Khotanese version has not been studied in detail yet, though some remarks on KV passages or isolated words are found in the Dictionary of Khotan Saka by H. W. Bailey. Only eighteen manuscript fragments of the Khotanese version of the Karmavibhanga were known fifteen years ago, and just seventeen of them had been identified as belonging to KV by H. W. Bailey, who pointed out the similarity of the Khotanese text with the Sanskrit Mahākarmavibhañga edited by Lévi (KT 5.296 n. 1, Bailey 1983, 1236; see Concordances, Table 1). The rediscovery of the Francke-Körber collection ${ }^{4}$ enabled R. E. Emmerick to identify five new fragments (Emmerick 1984, 219). Eventually, I succeeded in identifying six further fragments. ${ }^{5}$ These eleven new fragments are published here for the first time. I have also been able to join together a number of KV fragments in the Oriental and India Office Collections of The British Library, London, and in the Staatliches Museum für Völkerkunde, München, to form several complete or almost complete folios, which means that substantial portions of the text can now be read continuously. Finally, I have identified Khot. (IO) 6 KT 5.307 as belonging to KV. Thus, we have at present twenty-nine manuscript fragments from twenty-one folios: four complete folios, ${ }^{6}$ seven almost complete folios, ${ }^{7}$ and ten isolated fragments. All the folios and fragments have now been located within the text except FK 211.2 Do. 55, which must belong in the gap extending from § 9 to the end of § 15, and the tiny fragment FK 210.5 Do. 17 (see Concordances, Table 2).

[^2]The fragments with the signatures «Dumaqu», «FK», «Khot. (IO)» and «Macartney» are all part of one manuscript (A); ${ }^{8}$ the fragment Farhad Beg 05 and the scanty fragment Harvard 3.4 belong to two different manuscripts, B and C respectively (see Concordances, Table 3). All three manuscripts are written on Central Asian paper in formal script. ${ }^{9}$ The language is Old Khotanese though here and there later forms are found. ${ }^{10}$ On palaeographical grounds MSS B and C can probably be assigned to the 7th century, ${ }^{11}$ whereas MS A is later. What follows is a description of the general characteristics of the three manuscripts. For a detailed description of the individual fragments see the Diplomatic edition.

MS A: from Domoko; ${ }^{12} 6,7 \times 32,7 \mathrm{~cm} ; 7,2 \mathrm{~cm}$ from the left edge of

[^3]the folios to the circle for the string hole; 4 lines; 27 aksaras on lines 1 and 4, 25 akşaras on lines 2 and 3; South Turkestan Brăhmi script; ${ }^{13}$ traces of the old orthography (g,s', f for $\left.g g, s f, s s\right)$, occasional Late Khotanese spellings ( $\$ 1.7$ sänu-m, \& 3.8 äphïre, 84.8 drūnāa); 1 complete folio and 26 fragments belonging to 18 further (partly complete) folios.

MS B: from Farhad-Beg Yailaki; ${ }^{14} 7,5 \times$ [47] cm; string hole?; 4 lines; [59] aksaras on lines 1 and 4, [56] aksaras on lines 2 and $3 ;{ }^{15}$ early South Turkestan Brāhmì script; ${ }^{16}$ orthography possibly influenced by Late Khotanese; ${ }^{17} 1$ fragment.

MS C: site of discovery?; size?; string hole?; lines?; [45] aksaras on line 1, [42] aksaras on lines with string hole; early South Turkestan Brāhmì script; orthography influenced by Late Khotanese (jye for jätä); 1 fragment.

MS C overlaps with what remains of MS A only for two very short passages in $\S \S 0.40$ and 0.42 , i.e. in the synopsis, where Harvard 3.4 (C) offers two fragmentary variants that partly complete Khot. (IO) 5 \# Macartey e (A). The only preserved fragment of MS B (Farhad Beg 05) overlaps with no preserved parts of the other manuscripts.

It is impossible to determine whether A and C represent two different recensions or one recension of KV : the only difference between A [hvaṃ']däye jsīna jäta 'a man's life has disappeared' and C hve jye 'a man has disappeared' ( $\S 0.40$ ) is hardly significant. Nor there

London. See Stein 1928, 1026.
${ }^{13}$ Sander, unpublished, «Liste 4».
14 «Collected by Badruddin Khān» (see Stein 1928, 1026 and 1027).
${ }^{15}$ These calculations are in line with the ratio we find in manuscripts written in a similar script. Cf. for instance Skjærvø 1986, 230: «Folio 8 [of Vkn, i.e. H 142 NS 88 \# 89 KT 5.80-81] measures $7,5 \times 45,5 \mathrm{~cm}$ and contains $48-54$ aksaras per line».
${ }^{16}$ Sander, unpublished, «Liste 3".
${ }^{17}$ See the Commentary on §36.1.
are data enabling us to decide whether $B$, the only manuscript preserving §§ 33.3-36.1, represents a recension different from $\mathbf{A}$.

The handwriting of $\mathbf{A}$ is very careful and elegant, but the copyist has inadvertently omitted akṣaras ( $\$ \S 0.45,0.49,3.10$ and possibly 0.4 ), words ( $\S \S 0.5,30.0$ ), sentences ( $\$ \S 0.35$ and probably 8.3 ) and whole text passages (§6.2-7.0) and, on the other hand, has erroneously repeated consonants (§0.48), akṣaras ( $\S 0.16$ ) and even sentences ( $\S 0.25$ ).

The Khotanese KV is not identical with any of the other known versions of the text. The first preserved folio of MS A contains the beginning of the synopsis - the first six aksaras of which were contained on the preceding lost folio - and bears the folio number 9, so we may infer that the eight lost folios preceding it contained a developed version of the prologue found also in S1 (see above). On the other hand, no trace is found in the Khotanese of the comments and examples of the consequences of the acts that are characteristic of S1 and are partly found also in the Kuchean version. The absence of examples is shared by what remains of S2 and by T2. But T2 has only a very short introduction where Taudeya's son Śuka asks the Buddha about the retribution of acts and has no trace of the story of the rebirth of Taudeya as Śuka's dog. Presumably, such a short introduction originally stood at the beginning of S2 as well. Moreover, both S2 and T2 diverge from the Khotanese version in the sequence of $\S \S 7-12$ where they agree with S1 and T1 (see Concordances, Table 4). But the differences do not end here, as a glance at the development, for instance, of the Khotanese §§ 7-8 compared with §§ 11-12 of S2 suffices to show.

The present edition is in two parts: a «diplomatic» edition of all the fragments, free from editorial intervention, and a «critical» text accompanied by an English translation and the corresponding Sanskrit text. The critical text is essentially that of MS B for $\S \S 33.2-36.1$, of MS A for the rest. For the sake of consistency, the variant readings of MS C are merely quoted in notes whereas only MS A, though fragmentary for the relevant passages, is presented in the text. Due to the repetitiveness of the text, I have suggested restorations of the Khotanese text
in the most obvious cases, chiefly in order to provide the reader with a continuous text.
S. Lévi provided a concordance of the various versions of the Karmavibhañga with reference to the Sanskrit version in the «Tableau comparatif des recensions du Karmavibhanga et des panneaux de BoroBudur» on pp. 14-19 of his edition. Table 4 in the Concordances is intended to supplement Lévi's «Tableau comparatif» with the Khotanese data. The order accordingly follows the paragraph numbers of the Sanskrit version. Only the parts preserved in Khotanese are taken into account.

As a broad guide in the constitution and reconstruction of the Khotanese version, I have had recourse to S1 and, where available, to S2. The Sanskrit passages corresponding to the preserved Khotanese ones are printed below the Khotanese critical text and the translation. The text of S 1 reproduces on the whole the edition by Lévi (the punctuation has been slightly modified so as to make it uniform; obvious misprints have been tacitly corrected; other changes are pointed out in footnotes). The text of S 2 reproduces the edition by Hoernle 1916, 49-50.

The critical signs used in the diplomatic edition, in the critical text and in the commentary are as follows:
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll}{[\mathrm{abc}]} & \text { restoration of a lacuna; } \\
{[+++] \text { or }[ \pm x]} & \text { conjectured number of aksaras in a lacuna; } \\
{[-] \mathrm{a}} & \begin{array}{l}\text { consonant base(s) broken out or not visible; } \\
\mathrm{b}[-] \\
+++\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{l}\text { vowel mark broken out or not visible; }\end{array}
$$ <br>
illegible or partially preserved akṣaras for which <br>

no reading can be suggested;\end{array}\right]\)| illegible or partially preserved consonant base(s) |
| :--- |
| for which no reading can be suggested; |
| b- | | illegible or partially preserved vowel mark for |
| :--- |
| which no reading can be suggested; |


| italics | consonant bases and vowel marks not clearly leg- <br> ible or only partly preserved whose traces may also <br> be interpreted differently; |
| :--- | :--- |
| $/ \mathrm{abc} \backslash$ | addition below line brought into line in the edition <br> in connection with a MS caret; |
| $<>$ | omission in the MS; |
| $<\mathrm{abc}>$ | editor's supplement where the MS has no lacuna; <br> $[[\mathrm{abc}]]$ |
| $\}$ | deletion in the MS; <br> editor's deletion; |
|  | blank for the string hole. |

The virāma is used only in S2.

## CONCORDANCES

## TABLE 1: PUBLISHED FRAGMENTS ${ }^{18}$

| KT 3.132 (no. 62) | Farhad Beg 05 |
| :--- | :--- |
| KT 5.292 (no. 638) | Harvard 3.4 |
| KT 5.296 (no. 647) | Dumaqu 0021 |
| KT 5.297 (no. 648) | Dumaqu 0121 |
| KT 5.297 (no. 649) | Macartney a |
| KT $5.297-298$ (no. 650) | Macartney c |
| KT 5.298 (no. 651) | Macartney d |
| KT 5.298 (no. 652) | Macartney e |
| KT 5.299 (no. 653) | Khot. (IO) 2 |
| KT $5.299-300$ (no. 654) | Khot. (IO) 3 \# Macartney b |
| KT 5.300 (no. 655) | Khot. (IO) 4 |
| KT 5.300 (no. 656) | Khot. (IO) 5 |
| KT 5.301 (no. 657) | Khot. (IO) 18.1 |
| KT $5.301-302$ (no. 658) | Khot. (IO) 18.2 |
| KT 5.302 (no. 659) | Khot. (IO) 18.3 |
| KT 5.302 (no. 660) | Khot. (IO) 18.4 |
| KT 5.307 (no. 676) | Khot. (IO) 6 |

[^4]TABLE 2: THE FRAGMENTS ${ }^{19}$
Signature Identification Previous edition §

| Dumaqu 0021 | b | KT 5.296 (no. 647) | 52.11, 54.0-54.11, 53.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dumaqu 0121 | b | KT 5.297 (no. 648) | 53.1-53.11, 55.0-55.2 |
| Farhad Beg 05 | b | KT 3.132 (no. 62) | 33.2-36.1 |
| FK 210.5 Do. 17 | m | Unpublished | ? |
| FK 210.17 Do. 29 \# | m | Unpublished | 8.3-9 |
| FK 210.18 Do. 30 \# | m | Unpublished | 8.3-9 |
| FK 210.19 Do. 31 \# | e | Unpublished | 0.46-0.50 |
| FK 210.20 Do. 32 \# | e | Unpublished | 0.50-1.5 |
| FK 210.21 Do. 33 \# | e | Unpublished | 1.6-2.6 |
| FK 210.22 Do. 34 \# | e | Unpublished | 3.6-4.5 |
| FK 210.23 Do. 35 | e | Unpublished | 5.11-8.2 |
| FK 211.2 Do. 55 | m | Unpublished | ? |
| FK 211.4 Do. 57 \# | m | Unpublished | 3.6-4.5 |
| FK 211.5 Do. 58 \# | m | Unpublished | 4.5-5.2 |
| H 151.6 = Khot. (IO) 6 |  |  |  |
| Harvard 3.4 | b | KT 5.292 (no.638) | 0.40-0.42 |
| Khot. (IO) 2 | b | KT 5.299 (no.653) | 0.1-0.15 |
| Khot. (IO) 3 \# | b | KT 5.299-300 (no. 654) | 0.27-0.34 |
| Khot. (IO) 4 \# | b | KT 5.300 (no. 655) | 4.5-5.2 |
| Khot. (IO) 5 \# | b | KT 5.300 (no.656) | 0.35-0.45 |
| Khot. (IO) 6 | m | KT 5.307 (no.676) | 31.1-32.1 |
| Khot. (IO) 18.1 | b | KT 5.301 (no.657) | 15.0-16.0 |
| Khot. (IO) 18.2 | b | $K T$ 5.301-302 (no. 658) | 0.15-0.27 |
| Khot. (IO) 18.3 | b | KT 5.302 (no.659) | 28.0-30.1-2 |
| Khot. (IO) 18.4 | b | KT 5.302 (no. 660) | 30.1-2-31.1 |
| Macartney a \# | b | KT 5.297 (no. 649) | 1.6-2.6 |
| Macartney b \# | b | KT 5.299-300 (no. 654) | 0.27-0.34 |
| Macartney c \# | b | KT 5.297-298 (no. 650) | 0.46-0.50 |
| Macartney d \# | b | KT 5.298 (no.651) | 0.50-1.5 |
| Macartney e \# | b | KT 5.300 (no. 656) | 0.35-0.45 |

[^5]
## TABLE 3: THE FRAGMENTS IN PARAGRAPH ORDER ${ }^{20}$

Prologue
$0.1-0.15$
0.15-0.27
0.27-0.34
0.35-0.45
0.40-0.42
0.46-0.50
0.50-1.5
1.6-2.6
2.6-3.6
3.6-4.5
4.5-5.2
5.2-5.11
5.11-8.2
8.3-9

9-14.11
15.0-16.0
16.0-28.0
28.0-30.1-2
30.1-2-31.1
31.1-32.1
32.1-33.2
33.2-36.1
36.1-52.11
52.11, 54.0-54.11, 53.0
53.1-53.11, 55.0-55.2
55.2-
?
?
Signature
***

| Khot. (IO) 2 |  | A | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Khot. (IO) 18.2 |  | A | 10 |
| Khot. (IO) 3 \# Macartney b | [B] | A | $* 11$ |
| Khot. (IO) 5 \# Macartney e | [M] | A | 12 |
| Harvard 3.4 |  | C | lost |
| Macartney c \# FK 210.19 Do. 31 | [M] | A | ${ }^{*} 13$ |
| Macartney d \# FK 210.20 Do. 32 | [M] | A | $* 14$ |
| Macartney a \# FK 210.21 Do. 33 | [M] | A | $* 15$ |

FK 211.4 Do. 57 \# FK 210.22 Do. 34 [M] A *17
FK 211.5 Do. 58 \# Khot. (IO) 4 [M] A *18
FK 210.23 Do. 35 A *20
FK 210.17 Do. 29 \# FK 210.18 Do. 30 [M] A *21
Khot. (IO) 18.1 A 27
Khot. (IO) 18.3 A lost
Khot. (IO) 18.4 A lost
Khot. (IO) 6 A lost
Farhad Beg 05 B lost
***
Dumaqu 0021 A lost
Dumaqu 0121 A lost
FK 210.5 Do. 17
FK 211.2 Do. 55
A lost
A lost
${ }^{20}$ Three asterisks point to missing portions of the text. Capital letters in square brackets in the third column indicate who joined fragments belonging to the same folio: $[B]=$ H. W. Bailey, $[M]=$ M. Maggi. An asterisk is placed before reconstructed folio numbers.

TABLE 4: SANSKRIT-KHOTANESE CONCORDANCE

| Sanskrit § | Khotanese § | Maturation of karman |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (S1, S2) |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | Short-lived |
| 2 | 2 | Long-lived |
| 3 | 3 | Ill |
| 4 | 4 | Always healthy |
| 5 | 5 | Ungainly |
| 6 | 6 | Beautiful |
| 7,7 | 11 | Weak |
| 8,8 | 12 | Powerful |
| 9,9 | 9 | Of low birth |
| 10,10 | 10 | Of high birth |
| 11,11 | 7 | Poor |
| 12,12 | 8 | Wealthy |
| 13 | 13 | Foolish |
| 14 | 14 | Wise |
| 15 | 15 | Birth in hell |
| 16 | 16 | Birth in animalhood |
| 17 | 17 | Birth among the ghosts |
| 18 | 18 | Birth among the asuras |
| 19 | 19 | Birth among men |
| 20 | 20 | Birth among the gods of the sphere of desire |
| 21 | 21 | Birth among the gods of the sphere of form |
| 22 | 22 | Birth among the gods of the sphere without form |
| 23 | 23 | Act done, fruition not increasing |
| 24 | 24 | Act not done, fruition increasing |
| 25 | 25 | Act done, fruition increasing |
| 26 | 26 | Act not done, fruition not increasing |
| 27 | 30 | Birth in hell and rebirth after a whole lifetime |
| 28 | 31 | Birth in hell and rebirth after half a lifetime |
| 29 | 32 | Birth in hell and immediate rebirth |
| 30 | 27 | Determined birth |
| 31 | 28 | Undetermined birth |
| 32 | 29 | Birth abroad |
| 33 | 33 | Blessed at first and distressed at last |
| 34 | 34 |  |


| Sanskrit § <br> (S1, S2) | Khotanese § | Maturation of karman |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 35 | 35 | Blessed at first and at last |
| 36 | 36 | Distressed at first and at last |
| 37 | 37 | Wealthy and mean |
| 38 | 39 | Poor and generous |
| 39 | 38 | Wealthy and generous |
| 40 | 41 | Acts disappeared, life not yet disappeared |
| 41 | 40 | Life disappeared, acts not yet disappeared |
| 42 | 42 | Acts disappeared, life disappeared |
| 43 | 43 | Life not yet disappeared, acts not yet disappeared |
| 44 | 44 | Blessed in body, not in mind |
| 45 | 45 | Blessed in mind, not in body |
| 46 | 46 | Blessed in both body and mind |
| 47 | 47 | Not blessed in both body and mind |
| 48 | 48 | Birth in an evil state of existence and beautiful |
| 49 | 49 | Birth in an evil state of existence and ungainly |
| 50 | 50 | Birth in an evil state of existence and ill-smelling and dull |
| 63 | 51 | Ten advantages for visiting a temple |
| 64 | 52 | Ten advantages for the gift of an umbrella |
| 65 | 53 | Ten advantages for the gift of a bell |
| 66 | 56 | Ten advantages for the gift of a garment |
| 68 | 54 | Ten advantages for the gift of a vessel |
| 69 | 55 | Ten advantages for the gift of food |
| 70 | 57 | Ten advantages for the gift of a vehicle |
| 74 | 59 | Ten advantages for the gift of flowers |
| 75 | 58 | Ten advantages for the gift of a lamp |
| 76 | 60 | Ten advantages for the gift of perfume |

## DIPLOMATIC EDITION

The folios and fragments are here presented in alphabetical and numerical order. The edition of the individual fragments is preceded by a description consisting of the following elements: location, size, specific detailed information if necessary, previous edition, manuscript to which the fragment belongs, folio number, paragraphs preserved in the fragment, identification, joining of fragments belonging to the same folio. For sigla used in connection with identification and joining see p. 28 n .19 : lower case letters indicate who identified the fragment as belonging to $K V$, upper case letters indicate who located the fragment within the text, upper case letters in square brackets indicate who joined fragments belonging to the same folio.

Dumaqu 0021
(pl. 15)

London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, box 40, plate 29.
$6,6 \times 31,4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Edition: KT 5.296 (no. 647);
KV A, f. $[++$ ]. §§ 52.11, 54.0-54.11, 53.0. Identification: bM.
r1 $\quad[++++] \|$ kām[-] ttä dasau hāva $c[-+] m a u$ hauru heḍä paḍā ṣä cu trāmī
 śśä[-]i
r3 $\quad[++] t[-]$. u dä $\bigcirc$ dä ṣä cu snidūn[-] hämäte dätena. $\bar{u}$ tcūramä ṣä cu
 vāre nä
v1 [-]äștätä ${ }^{21}$. u kṣei'mä ṣä cu pārysā panaṣṭa ne kūśät[- + ] u h[-]da-$\mathrm{m}[-]$ ṣä cu prīyv[-]'
v2 [+ +] ne nāste $\bigcirc$. u haștamä ṣä cu tsātä hämäte . u naumä ṣä cu gya-
v3 [+ + $]$ hiśtä. $\bigcirc$ u dasamä ṣä cu thatau saṃtsār[-] narāmäte || ttätä da-
v4 [+ + ]va ce hamau hauru heḍä II kāmä ttätä dasau hāva ce balsa gei'tau' bañätä

## Dumaqu 0121

(pl. 16)

London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, box 13, plate 111. $6,6 \times 19,3 \mathrm{~cm}$. The fragment consists of three pieces that could be joincd due to their shapes and contents, their respective positions being shown on pl. 16. Edition: KT 5.297 (no. 648); the «ij]solated words» of «Fragment 1» and «Fragment 2» printed by Bailey as part of text no. 577 from Dumaqu in KT 5.270 belong in fact to Dumaqu 0121. KV A, f. [ + +]. §§ 53.1-53.11, 55.0-55.2. Identification: bM.
r1 $[++++++]$ na śśärä hämäte . śäte ṣä $[+-]{ }^{22}[+++++$ $+++++]$
r2 $[+++]$ ysūṣka ttä $\bigcirc-\overline{\mathrm{a}}[+]-\overline{\mathrm{a}}[++++++++++++$ $+++]$
 $+]$
r4 $\quad[++]$ cvī salāvä nāśä $\left.\left.h[-+] \mathrm{t}[-] \cdot[-] s{ }^{[ }\right]\right]^{\prime}[++++++++++$ $+++++]$

[^6]v1 $\quad[++] m a ̈$ ṣä cu ham virä $[+++++++++++++++$ $++++++]$
v2 [ + ] cu gyastuvo' ysam $\bigcirc[+++++++++++++++t$ $+++]$
v3 $[++]$ dasau hāva $\bigcirc[++++++++++++++++$ $+++]$
v4 $\quad[++]$ hedüä paḍā ṣä cu dāra-js[-] $n[-] h[-] m[-+++++++$ ++++++ +]

Farhad Beg 05
(pl. 14)

London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, box 40, plate 29.
$7,5 \times 10,2 \mathrm{~cm}$. Edition: KT 3.132 (no. 62).
KV B, f. no. lost. §§ 33.2-36.1. Identification: bM.
r1 [ $\pm 18] m a h a ̈ t e^{23}$ ṣä'ṣä karmä tcamäna hve' cä[ $\left.\pm 25\right]$
r2 [ $\pm 16$ ] suhauttä hämäte . kye ṣä hve' kuī ye [ $\pm 29$ ]
r3 [ $\pm 15]$ hauru hūḍu tīndä ttīyä sīrä hämäte [ $\pm 29$ ]
r4 [ $\pm 18]$. u ku ysāḍä hämäte ttīyä vā su[ $\pm$ 32]
v1 [ $\pm 15]$ stä ștānä suhauttä u hā ysāḍä sțānä [ $\pm 29$ ]
v2 [ $\pm 15]$ ku hūḍu yīndä numānī /ne\hämäte.$~ s ̦ a ̈ ~[~ © ~ 29] ~$
v3 [ $\pm 15$ ]mäte u tsāttara : ṣei'ṣä karmä tcamna [ $\pm 30$ ]
v4 [ $\pm 18]$ ru heḍä ustamātu tcaramu śye [ $\pm 29$ ]

# FK 210.5 Do. 17 <br> (pl. 16) 

München, SMVK, Sammlung Francke-Körber.
$3,8 \times 3,2 \mathrm{~cm}$. This tiny fragment is assigned to MS A of KV on the grounds of both the paper and the script. Unpublished.
KV A, f. [+ +]. Identification: m.
a3 ] mä
a4 $] t[-]$ ||

FK 210.17 Do. 29 (lower middle portion) \# FK 210.18
Do. 30 (higher middle portion)
(pl. 11)

FK 210.17 Do. 29
München, SMVK, Sammlung Francke-Körber.
$5,5 \times \mathrm{c} .8 \mathrm{~cm}$. That FK 210.17 Do. 29 belongs here is shown by the fact that traces of r4 $u$ can be read in reversed script on FK 210.23 Do. 35 v 1 below ri, while traces of FK 210.23 Do. 35 v 2 ggi (first occurrence) can be read on FK 210.17 Do. 29 r 3 below h/. Unpublished.

FK 210.18 Do. 30
München, SMVK, Sammlung Francke-Körber.
$3,5 \times 13,4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Unpublished.
KV A, f. *21. §§ 8.3-9. Identification: mM. Joining: [M].
r1 $\quad[+++++++++++$ ṇ̣u ggārīvu pyūṣḍe amanāvu yande u $k u^{24}[+]$
${ }^{24}$ yande u ku can be read in reversed script only on FK 210.23 Do. 35 below the end of $v 4$, where it is also possible to read amanāvu.

```
r2 \([++++++] \cap s d[-]^{25} s \mathrm{i}[++\) \# - \(]\) äte u c[-] handal +++
    \(-\mathrm{j} \mathrm{i}[++++++]\)
r3 \([+++++] \bigcirc+r a\) hauru \(h[-++++++++++++\)
    \(++++]\)
r4 \([+++++++] g g[-] v u^{26}\) khāysu u pa[ttarro ++++++
    \(+++++++]\)
v1 \([+++++++++++++++++++++++\)
        \(+++++]\)
v2 \([++++++++++++++++++++++++\)
    \(+]\)
v3 \([++++++++++++]+-\overline{\mathrm{a}}[+++++++++\)
    +]
    \([++++++++++++++]+-i++++c e++[+\)
    \(+++++]\)
```

FK 210.18 Do. 30: see FK 210.17 Do. 29

FK 210.19 Do. 31: see Macartney c

FK 210.20 Do. 32: see Macartney d

FK 210.21 Do. 33: see Macartney a

FK 210.22 Do. 34: see FK 211.4 Do. 57
${ }_{26}^{25}$ Very scanty traces.
${ }^{26}$ Very scanty traces of $8 g$.

FK 210.23 Do. 35
(pl. 10)

München, SMVK, Sammlung Francke-Körber.
$6,8 \times 22,7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Unpublished.
KV A, f. *20. §§ 5.11-8.2. Identification: eM.
r1 $[+++++++++++] r[-]$ tcamäna hve' dätäna [-]ärä $h a ̈-$ mäte pad[ [-] ṣä karmä
r2 $\quad[+++++\bigcirc+++++++] p a[+]$ ṣä ku i[ + ]vya-nāsai u ce hā ggīttä
r3 $[+++++\bigcirc++++++] u$ ku handarāṇu hāvu daiyä amanāvuī hä-
r4 $\quad[++++++++++++]$ daiye sīrä hämäte. $\mathrm{u} k u$ handarāṇu haṃ ve-
v1 $\quad[++++++++++]$ ri ${ }^{27}$ hauru haurīndä ne hā sīrä hämä-t[-] . u ku ne armū[+]
v2 $\quad[+++++\bigcirc+++++]$ śśau ggīvu khāysu bilsaṃgīmgya gäta' ne heḍä ttätä
v3 $\quad[+++++\bigcirc++++-] a ̈[-] a ̈ ~ h a ̈ m a ̈ t e ~ / / ~[-] a ̄ m a ̈ ~ s ̣ a ̈ ~ k a r m a ̈ ~ t c a-~$ mäna hve' tsā-
v4 $\quad[++++++++++++]$ hīvī ttagatu ne ${ }^{28}[-] a \overline{s t e}{ }^{29} \mathrm{u}$ ne hā ggīttä

[^7]FK 211.2 Do. 55
(pl. 11)

München, SMVK, Sammlung Francke-Körber.
$4,1 \times 10,5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Unpublished.
KV A, f. $[++]$. Identification: $m$. This fragment probably belongs to one of the lost folios between § 9 and § 15 . In the blank space below b4 it is possible to read -/äde ttäte dasau/ in reversed script.
a1 $[+++] u$ tcūramä ṣä ku bilsamggg ${ }^{-30}[++++++++++$ $++++++]$
a2 $\quad[+++]+\mathrm{u} \bigcirc$-ä ttä tä pra dī $[++++++++++++$ $+++]$
a3 $[+++++-] \mathrm{C} \bigcirc[+++++++++++++++++$ $+++]$
a4 $[++++++++++++++++++++++++$ $+++++]$
b1 $[++++++++++++++++++++++++$ $+++++]$
b2 $[++++]+\bigcirc[++++++++++++++++++$ $++]$
b3 $[++++]+s-$ Ori buljse hvā $[++++++++++++$ $+++]$
b4 $[++++]$ du na ka udviyu yạnde $[++++++++++$ $+++++]$

FK 211.4 Do. 57 (left half) \# FK 210.22 Do. 34 (right half) (pl. 8)

FK 211.4 Do. 57
München, SMVK, Sammlung Francke-Körber.
$6,7 \times 12,5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Unpublished.
FK 210.22 Do. 34
München, SMVK, Sammlung Francke-Körber.
$6,6 \times 17,9 \mathrm{~cm}$. Unpublished.
KV A, f. ${ }^{*} 17$. §§ 3.6-4.5. Identification: FK 211.4 mM, FK 210.22 eM. Joining: [M].
r1 [+ ]rásäat[-] ${ }^{31} n u$ puvā’ñäte . u hauda\#mä ṣä ku dākṣäṇyānu aysmū āphīrätä .
r2 [+ + ]ștamä ṣä ku $\bigcirc$ [+ -]su heḍä \# o pattarro ce haṃdarye ttarạndarä āphīre .
r3 [ + + ]mä ṣä kvī sā $\bigcirc[+++++++] \# u$ tto sīravetu yạnde u kvī sānä drū-
'r4 [+ +]mäte käḍe [+ + + + + + +] \# [+]rre jsa spāsaätä u dasamä ṣä cu
v1 [+] huvīrä hviḍä ttätä da[ ++++ \#mäna hve' byāchänä hämäte II tta kāmä
v2 ṣä karmi tca Omäna hve' haṃ v[- + ] \# drūṇai paḍā ṣä ku haṃdarä nä minndä
v3 [+]tä ṣä ku ni $\bigcirc$ hā gītte u dä[+] \# ṣä ku ne hā buljätä u tcūramä ṣä ku
v4 [+] sīrä hämäte ku hvasta uy\#snaura daiyä u pūhä ṣä ku merä pīrä

[^8]FK 211.5 Do. 58 (left half) \# Khot. (IO) 4 (right half) (pl. 9)

FK 211.5 Do. 58
München, SMVK, Sammlung Francke-Körber.
$6,7 \times 12,4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Unpublished.
Khot. (IO) 4
London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, laminated fragments, plate 176.
$6,7 \times 17,9 \mathrm{~cm}$. Edition: KT 5.300 (no. 655).
KV A, f. *18. §§ 4.5-5.2. Identification: FK 211.5 mM , Khot. (IO) 4 bM. Joining: [M].
r1 [gī]tte ku-m ${ }^{32}$ nä drūṇātä hämäte u kṣemä ${ }^{33}$ \# ṣä ku dākṣiṇyānu ttu ggitte ${ }^{34}$ ku ne āchai pa-
r2 [hīy]sd[e] u āchä Onānu aruvo' he\#däas . u haudamä ṣä ku āchänaka uysnau-
r3 [ra *hand]ạḍe . u O hașṭamä ṣä kye \# drūṇā hämäte ku ne daiyä sìrä hämäte
r4 [u naum]ä ṣä ku āchänaka krīya [spā]\#sätä se tso ju drūṇā hämāro thyau.u
v1 [dasa]m[ä] s $[\ddot{a}] c[u]$ huv[ī]t[ä]r[ä h] $][\overline{1}] d[\mathrm{e}$ he]\#d[ä] tt[ä]tä dasau pracyā tcamäna hve' oṣku vä-
v2 [tä drūṇai] I| kāmä $\bigcirc$ ṣä karmi tcamäna \# hve' dätäna asädūnä hämete paḍā
v3 [ṣä ka]rmi au〇ysākä u nușṭu\# [r]ä dāruṇä hāvu hvaṃ'dä dai cai jsa ha-

[^9]v4 [ndarye] arātī hämäte șä śäte $k a \neq[\mathrm{rm}] a ̈ ~ k u ~ m e r a ̈ ~ p i ̄[r] a ̈ ~ u ~ d a ̄ k s ̣ a ̈ n ̣ y a ̄-~$ nu g[g]am-

## Harvard 3.4

(pl. 4)

Cambridge (Mass.), Harvard University Library (?). P. O. Skjervø informs me in a letter of 15 July 1993 that the Khotanese fragments of the Harvard University Library cannot at the moment be located. Therefore, the reading of Harvard 3.4 could not be verified on the original, and only side $a$ could be read on a photograph in the collection of the Hamburg University that R. E. Emmerick kindly placed at my disposal.
Size? Edition: KT 5.292 (no. 638).
KV C, f. no. lost. Side a $\S \S 0.40-0.42$, side b § ? Identification: bM.
a1 karmä tcamäna hve' jye karma [ $\pm$ 36]
a2 [+]m[-]iye karma jäta [ +$] j[-] \bar{\imath}[ \pm 31]$
a3 $[++++++]+{ }^{36}[ \pm 35]$
b-3[ $\pm 42]$
b-2 [ $\pm 42]$
b $-1{ }^{*}$ śtä ${ }^{37}$ karmä tcamäna hve' $+{ }^{38}[ \pm 37]$

Khot. (IO) 2
(pl. 1)

London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, laminated fragments, plate 175.
$6,8 \times 26,3 \mathrm{~cm}$. Edition: KT 5.299 (no. 653).
KV A, f. 9. §§ 0.1-0.15. Identification: bM.

[^10]r1 [+] hve' āmura-jsīnī [ + + $] t[-]$. aśt $[-] k a[+++\ln a h v[-]$ ] $[++]-$ $j s i ̄ n i ̄ h a ̈[+++++]$
r2 karmä tcamäna hve' $\bigcirc$ byāchänä hämät $[-]$. astä karmä tcamäna [+ ++++++ +]
r3 mä . aśtä karmä tca Omäna dätäna asädūnä hämäte $[+++++$ $+++]$
r4 hve' dätäna śśäräa ${ }^{39}$ hämäte . astä karmä tcamä +++++ $++++]$
v1 śtä karmä tcamäna hve' tsātä hämäte . aśtä karmä $[++++$ ++++++ +]
v2 karmä tcamäna hve' $\bigcirc$ bäsīvärai hämäte . astä karm[- -]c[- + + + $+++]$
v3 hämäte . aśtä $\bigcirc$ karmi tcamäna hve' balondä hämäte . aśt[ -++ +++ +]
 + +]

Khot. (IO) 3 (left half) \# Macartney b (right half)

Khot. (IO) 3
London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, laminated fragments, plate 175.
$6,8 \times 16,3 \mathrm{~cm}$. Edition: KT 5.299-300 (no. 654).
Macartney b
London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, laminated fragments, plate 175.
6,5 $\times 18,5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Edition: KT 5.299-300 (no. 654).
KV A, f. ${ }^{*} 11 .{ }^{40} \S{ }^{\S}$ 0.27-0.34. Identification: bM. Joining: [B].

[^11]r1 tcamäna hve' nä [-]u-sța ${ }^{41}$ ysaṃthu ${ }^{42}$ nāste [+ pä\#tä . astä karmä ${ }^{43}$ tcamäna nä na-ro nyāpätä
r2 hve' ku-șta ysamthu nā〇ste . astä karmä \# [+ + ]mu buro hvaṃ'du spās̃äte tterku ttäña
r3 kṣīra hīstä kvī ștā Onä varāsānä . \# aśtä karmä tcamäna hve' narya ysamthu bye-
r4 hätä harbiśśo narya jsīno därysde . u \# ttīye parśtä . aśtä karmä tcamäna hve' na-
v1 rya ysa[-]thu by[-]hätä u śuvo' $j[-+]$ narya \# jsīna därysde u ttīyä parśtä . aśtä karmi tcamä-
v2 na hve' narya ysaṃthu ○ byehätä kho vara \# ātä hämāte ttänai vā na jsīna puṣu pa-
v3 rstä . aśtä karmi $\bigcirc$ tcamäna hve' cistä \# sțānä suhauttä u ysādä sțānä dukhauttä
v4 hämä[+ +] aśtä karmä tcamäna hve ${ }^{34}$ [-]i\#stä ștān[-] dukhauttä hämäte ysāḍä șțānä suhaut[-]ä

Khot. (IO) 4: see FK 211.5 Do. 58

[^12]Khot. (IO) 5 (left portion) \# Macartney e (middle portion) (pl. 4)

Khot. (IO) 5
London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, laminated fragments, plate 176.
$6,5 \times 8,8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Edition: KT 5.300 (no. 656).
Macartney e
London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, laminated fragments, plate 175.
$6,5 \times 15,4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Edition: KT 5.298 (no. 652).
KV A, f. 12. §§ 0.36-0.45. Identification: bM. Joining: [M].
r1 [+ +] karmä [-]cam[-]na \# h[-]e[--]ā [+ -]ä [-]ā[-]äa $[+] k h a u t t a ̈ ~$ $u$ hā ysā[-]ä $[-] \bar{a}[+++++-] i$ \# $[+-] a ̈[+]^{46}$
r2 hve' tsātä hämä $\bigcirc$ \#te u kada $[+++++]$ rmä tcamäna $[+++$ $+++++++]$
r3 tä . astä karmä tca $\bigcirc$ \#mäna hve' dukhätä hämäte $u[+++++$ $++++++++]$
r4 däye jsīna jäta u \# karmai na-ro jyāre . astä karmä tca[ +++ $++++++]$
v1 biśśa jsīnai na-ru jī̀\#e . aśtä karmä tcamäna hvạ’ndiy[ ++++ $++++++]$
v2 aśsä karmi tcamä○\#na hva'ndiye na-ro jsīna jī $[++++++]$
v3 re . aśtä karmä tca $\bigcirc$ \#mäna hve' ttarandarna suhau[-]ä $[++++$ $++] n a[-] u[+]$

[^13]v4 [+] ${ }^{47}$ hämäte $[++] \# s ́[-] a ̈ ~ k a r m a ̈ ~ t c a n a ~ h v e ' ~ t t a r a n d a r n a ~ d u k h a u-~$ $[-] a ̈ ~ h a ̈[+] t e ~ u ~ a y[-] u ̄ n a ~[+~-] a u t[--]{ }^{48}[+]$

Khot. (IO) 6
(pl. 14)

London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, laminated fragments, plate 174 (alternative signature: H 151.6)
$6,7 \times 5,9 \mathrm{~cm}$. Edition: KT 5.307 (no. 676).
KV A, f. [+ +]. §§ 31.1-32.1. Identification: mM .
r1 $\quad[+++]$ tt- hīvya karaṇ $[+++++++++++++++$ $+++++]$
r2 $[+++] t u$ yanä $\bigcirc s ̣ a ̈[++++++++++++++++$ $++]$
r3 $\quad[+++]$ jsīno dä $\bigcirc[+++++++++++++++++$ $++++]$
r4 $\quad[+++++] r a$ ātä $[++++++++++++++++$ $++++++]$
v1 $\quad[+++++] d \mathrm{a}$ yu $[-] r[-+++++++++++++++$ $++++++]$
v2 $[+++-] r[-]$ khä $n$ ī $\bigcirc[++++++++++++++++$ $+++]$
v3 $\quad[+++]$ näste u $\bigcirc[+++++++++++++++++$ $++]$
v4 $\quad[+++]$ sta haysgusta $[++++++++++++++++$ $++++++]$

[^14]Khot. (IO) 18.1
(pl. 12)

London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, laminated fragments, plate 176.
$6,7 \times 28,7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Edition: KT 5.301 (no. 657).
KV A, f. 27. § 15.0-16.0. Identification: bM.
r1 $k \bar{m} m a ̈ ~ s ̣ a ̈ ~ k a[-] m[-] ~ t c a m[-] n a ~ h v e ' ~ n a r y a ~ y s a m t h u ~ b y e h a ̈ r t[-] ~ . ~ p a d ̣ a ̄ ~$ $s$ sä ku ttarandarna [+ +]
r2 käḍyānu yande : $\bigcirc$ u saatä ṣä ku yä ro bäśāna yande . dädä ku yä [+ + +]
r3 na yąnde . tcūra $\bigcirc m$ mä krrītta-drsțä sä ne vā handarna ysaṃthä $[++$ $+++++]$
r4 $\quad[+++] h \bar{a}+\mathrm{u}+l a$-ä -īyä $\cdot p[-] h a ̈$ krritta-drṣṭä $s a ̈ ~ a u[++++$ $++]$
v1 $\quad[++++-] r[--] t a-d r$ ṛṭä se +++++ rä cu śsuarr[-] o $[+++$ $+++++++]$
v2 ra-dŗṣṭä väta . $u \bigcirc$ hastamä ku anantanarī karmu ya $[++++$ $+++]$
v3 $k$ ṣiṇya ggaṃjsat[-]ḍe $\bigcirc$ padīmäte.$u$ dasamä ṣä kye $a$ tärañ[- ++ $+++]$
v4 te [+]sau pracyā ${ }^{49}$ tcamäna hve' narya ysaṃthu byehäte \| kāmä ṣä karmä tca[+ + +]
${ }^{49}$ sau pra can partly be read against the light beneath a piece of the following folio stuck to the beginning of Khot. (IO) $18.1 \mathrm{v4}$.

Khot. (IO) 18.2
(pl. 2)

London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, laminated fragments, plate 176.
$6,7 \times 32,7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Edition: KT 5.301-302 (no. 658).
KV A, f. 10. § 0.15-0.27. Identification: bM.
r1 na ${ }^{50}$ hve' narya ${ }^{51}$ ysaṃthu byehäte aśtä karmä tcamänamäna hve' trīyaśūnä ${ }^{52}$ ysaṃthä byehä-
r2 te . aśtä karmä tca 〇mäna hve' prīyvo ysaṃthu byehätä . aśtä karmä tcamäna hve'
r3 aysuruo' ysaṃthu 〇byehäte . aśtä karmä tcamäna hve' hvaṃ'duvo' ysaṃthu byehä-
r4 te . aś[-]ä karmä tcamäna hve' kāmāvacaruvo' gyastuvo' ysamthu byehäte . aśtä
v1 karmi tcamäna hve' rūpāvacaruvo' gyastvo ysaṃthu byehäte . aśtä karmi tcamina
v2 hve' ārūpyāva Ocaruo gyastvo ysaṃthu byehätä . aśtä karmi cu hve' yäḍe u nai
v3 ne huṣa jsāte . $\bigcirc$ aśtä karmä cu hve' na-ro yande huṣai jsāte . aśtä karmä cu
v4 hve[-] yande u huṣai jsāte . aś[-]ä karmä cu hve' yąnde u huṣai jsāte . aśtä karmä
${ }^{50}$ na can be read against the light beneath a piece of the preceding folio stuck to Khot. (IO) 18.2 .
${ }^{51} \mathrm{r}$ - can be read against the light beneath a piece of the preceding folio stuck to Khot. (IO) 18.2.
${ }^{52}$ Since a piece of the obverse of Khot. (IO) 18.2 has stuck to the reverse of Khot. (IO) 2 , it is possible to read $\mathrm{h}[-] \mathrm{e} t[-] \mathrm{i}$ against the light on Khot. (IO) 2 r 1 after hv[e]'.

Khot. (IO) 18.3
(pl. 13)

London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, laminated fragments, plate 176.
$6,7 \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}$. Edition: KT 5.302 (no. 659).
KV A, f. $[++] .{ }^{53} \S 28.0-30.1-2$. Identification: bM.
r1 $[+++++]$ ku ysa[-]thu nāste $[-] u[++++++++++$ $+t+t+t++]$
r2 $\quad[+++]$ ysaṃthu nā $\bigcirc$ sāte ṣätä $k a[++++++++++t+$ $+++]$
 $++++]$
 $+++++]$
v1 $[++t++]$ Säte ${ }^{54}$ o ro ṣsei $-1[++t+t+t+t+t+t+$ $++++++]$
v2 $[++++] t t$ - va 〇răśäte . ṣätä $[+++++++++++++$ +]
v3 $[+++-] \bar{a} m a ̈$ karmi $\bigcirc$ tcamäna narya y[-]am$[+++++++$ $++++]$
v4 $[+++$-]ärysde u ttīyä parśtä . ce $[+++++++++++$ $+t+t++]$
${ }^{53}$ That Khot. (IO) 18.3 immediately precedes Khot. (IO) 18.4 is indicated by clear traces of the vowel marks for both -ā in Khot. (IO) 18.4 r2 pātcu pātcu that are visible in reversed script on Khot. (IO) 18.3 v3 below mä and rmi
${ }^{54}$ The vowel mark for -ä can be read in reversed script on Khot. (IO) 18.4 r4 below $\mathrm{h}[-] \mathrm{e}$.

Khot. (IO) 18.4
(pl. 13)

London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, laminated fragments, plate 176.
$6,8 \times 9,8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Edition: KT 5.302 (no. 660).
KV A, f. $[++] .{ }^{55} \S \S 30.1-2-31.1$. Identification: bM.
r1 $[+++]+-\bar{\imath}$ narya hiśsä u $-e[+++++++++++++$ $+++++]$
r2 $[++]+$ pātcu pā〇tcu a $-\ddot{a}[++++++++++++++$ $+++1$
r3 $[++++]$ rä hä Omäte $u[+++++++++++++++$ $++]$
r4 $\quad[+++++]$ mä hve ${ }^{56}$ ku narya $\bar{a} t[-++++++++++$ $+++++++]$
v1 $\quad[+++++][-]$ parśtä : kāmä ṣä $[+++++++++++$ $+t++++]$
v2 $[+++-] s[-] n o \bigcirc$ därysde u t[-]ī $[++++++++++++$ $+++]$
v3 $[+++] n \bar{n} \mathrm{tt} \bar{u} \mathrm{ka} \bigcirc \mathrm{rmuh} \mathrm{h}\left[+++++++++++++++{ }_{+}^{+}\right.$ $++]$
v4 $[+++]$-äṇa hamgūjäte $u+[+++++++++++++$ $++]$
${ }_{55}^{55}$ See n. 53.
${ }^{56}$-v-' can be read against the light beneath a piece of the preceding folio stuck to Khot. (IO) 18.4 r4.

Macartney a (middle portion) \# FK 210.21 Do. 33 (right portion) (pl. 7)

## Macartney a

London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, laminated fragments, plate 175.
$6,7 \times 13,4 \mathrm{~cm}$. A piece of the following folio has stuck to the beginning of Macartney a v 4 and does not allow reading. On the other hand, it is possible to read against the light the tops of some aksaras of r 1 of the following folio that is otherwise lost: $/++$ $+]-a i \mathrm{~d} a^{57}-\ddot{a}++-\ddot{a}+-\ddot{a}-\bar{i}$ /. Edition: KT 5.297 (no. 649).

FK 210.21 Do. 33
München, SMVK, Sammlung Francke-Körber.
$6,7 \times 15,2 \mathrm{~cm}$. Unpublished.
KV A, f. ${ }^{*} 15 .{ }^{58} \S \S 1.6-2.6$. Identification: Macartney a bM, FK 210.21 eM . Joining: [M].
r1 $\quad[++++++-\overline{p i ̄ j a ̈ t a ̈ ~ . ~ u ~ h a u d a m a ̈ ~ s ̣ a ̈ ~ k u ~ \# ~ p y u ̄ s ̣ d ̣ e ~ s e ~ s a ̄ n u-m ̣ ~}$ mä muḍä u ttäna sīrä
r2 $\quad[++++++\bigcirc+]$ mä ṣä ku vā[-]ä padīmä\#te u naumä ṣä ku auşku jauyse
r3 $[+++++] \bigcirc t e . u$ dasamä ṣä kvī \# khāysīnai haurä vīvātä härṣṭāyä
r4 $\quad[+++++] s[-]$ karma tcamäna hve' āmura-\#j[-]īnī hämäte || kāmä ṣä karmä tca-
 ṣä ku ne
${ }^{57}$ Or possibly ra.
${ }^{58}$ Below FK 210.21 Do. $33 v 4\left(f .{ }^{* 15)}\right.$ there are traces in reversed script of $-\ddot{a}[\mathbf{n y}] \bar{a}$ and ā[ph]īätä in FK 210.22 Do. 34 rl dākṣäṇyānu and āphīrätä respectively (f. *17). On the other hand, FK 210.21 Do. 33 also shows traces of aksaras that have no counterpart on FK 210.22 Do. 34 r so that one can suppose that an intervening folio has been lost ( $f$. *16) and that the traces of dākṣänyānu and āphìrätä transferred from $f .{ }^{*} 17$ are merely due to an inexact alignment of the folios before their discovery.
v2 [+ + + + +] Oggītte ce jsanīndä . u d\#ädä ṣä ku ne hā ysūṣḍe . u tcūramä
v3 $\quad[++++++\bigcirc+] u$ pūhä ṣä $k u$ jsiñ̄ā\#nu hvaṃ'dānu bājä hvānäte. u
 nä

Macartney b: see Khot. (IO) 3

Macartney c (left half) \# FK 210.19 Do. 31 (right half) (pl. 5)

Macartney c
London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, laminated fragments, plate 175.
6,6 $\times 19,3 \mathrm{~cm}$. Edition: KT 5.297-298 (no. 650).
FK 210.19 Do. 31
München, SMVK, Sammlung Francke-Körber.
$6,6 \times 14,2 \mathrm{~cm}$. Unpublished.
KV A, f. *13. §§ 0.46-0.50. Identification: Macartney c bM, FK 210.19 eM. Joining: [M].
 aysmūna [+] $a[-] t[-] k a-$
 $a y s m u ̄[++]$ aśtä karmä tca-
r3 [+ +]hve' $[+] v \overline{\mathrm{a}}$ 〇yä ysaṃthu nāste dätäna śsä\#\# rä hämäte praysātūnä u tca-
r4 [+ + +] ttarandarna snidūnä chate jsa u briyū\#nä dätena . u cai halci ndaindä

59 t- on FK 210.21 Do. 33, -ä on Macartney a.
v1 [+]śs̄ānu tcei'mañänu suhä astä karmä tcamäna hve' \# avãyä ysaṃthu nāste dätäna
asädūnä hä○mäte u lukṣä ttarandaräna \# bäysärgyūnä däna abryavīyä .
aśtä karmi tcamä Ona hve' avāyä ysamthu \# nāste ganãnai hämäte . nyaś[ +$]^{60}$ panye hvaṃ'dä asädūnä dätäna u cai [+] \# ttatvatu akṣarä nyāpäte

Macartney d (left half) \# FK 210.20 Do. 32 (right half)
(pl. 6)

Macartney d
London, OIOC, Khotanese MSS, laminated fragments, plate 175.
$6,7 \times 19,5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Edition: KT 5.298 (no. 651).
FK 210.20 Do. 32
München, SMVK, Sammlung Francke-Körber.
$6,7 \times 15,3 \mathrm{~cm}$. Unpublished.
KV A, f. * $14 .{ }^{61} \S \S 0.50-1.5$. Identification: Macartney d bM, FK 210.20 eM . Joining: [M].
r1 +++ . dasau hā[ + ] ce balśa jsāte dasau hāva \# ce balśa kṣatru bañätä ${ }^{62}$. dasau ${ }^{63}$ hā-

[^15]r2 va kye balśa go' ba Oñätä . dasau hā[+] ce hamau \# haurä heḍä . dasau hāva ${ }^{64}$ kye khāysu hauru heḍä $\bigcirc$. dasau hāva ce prahauṇ\#u hauru heḍä . dasau hāva ci
r4 bārau hauru heḍä . dasau hāva kye balśa ci\#rau bīḍä . dasau hāva kye ba-
v1 lśä späte bīḍä . dasau hāva kye balśa bū' bīḍä . \# ṣā buro haṃkhīysgya haṃgaśo ka-
v2 rmānu l| tta kāmä $\bigcirc$ vā ṣä karmä tcamäna hve' \# āmura-jsīnī hämäte . paḍā ṣä
v3 kye haṃdaru jsī Ondä u śätä ṣä kye hā ggìtt\#e . u dädä cai buljätä . u tcū-
v4 ramä ṣä ku ysūṣḍe . u pūhä ṣä kye \# strīyo mulchāñäte || :

Macartney e: see Khot. (IO) 5

[^16]
## CRITICAL TEXT AND TRANSLATION

The Khotanese text has been divided into paragraphs and short sections. The Sanskrit text is arranged according to the paragraph numbers in Lévi's edition, but Lévi's paragraphs have been further divided into short sections for the sake of easy reference and comparison with the Khotanese text. In the Sanskrit text, examples of and annotations on the individual acts that have no counterpart in Khotanese have been omitted and left out of account in the paragraph numbering.

The Khotanese and Sanskrit versions are considered to correspond when their contents are on the whole the same though their wording may differ. When the paragraph sequence differs, the Sanskrit pharagraph number is given after the paragraph number of the Khotanese. When not only the wording but also the contents of two corresponding paragraphs are partly different, the approximate correspondence is marked by the sign $\approx$. On the other hand, the sign $\neq$ is used when the Khotanese has no Sanskrit counterpart.

For the critical signs see pp. 25-26.
(0.1) [aśtä karmä tcamä][Khot. (IO) 2 r1][na] hve' āmura-jsinī [hämä]$t$ [e] . (0.2) aśt[ä] ka[rmä tcamä]na $h \mathrm{v}[\mathrm{e}]$ ' [dāra]-jsīnī hä[mäte .] (0.3) [aśtä] [r2] karmä tcamäna hve' byāchänä hämät[e] . (0.4) aśtä karmä tcamäna [bve’ oṣku vätä drūṇai hä][r3mä<te> . (0.5) aśtä karmä tcamäna <hve'> dätäna asädūnä hämäte [.] (0.6) [aśtä karmä tcamäna] [r4] hve' dätäna śśärä hämäte . $(0.7=0.11)$ aśtä karmä tcamä[na hve' dukhätä hä-
(0.1) [There is an act by which] a man becomes short-lived. (0.2) There is an act by which a man becomes [long]-lived. (0.3) [There is] an act by which a man becomes ill. (0.4) There is an act by which [a man] becomes [always healthy]. (0.5) There is an act by which <a man> becomes bad in appearance. (0.6) /There is an act by which] a man becomes good in appearance. $(0.7=0.11)$ There is an act by which (a man becomes
mäte .] (0.8 = 0.12) [a][v1]śtä karmä tcamäna hve' tsātä hämäte . (0.9) aśtä karmä [tcamäna hve' nyaśsä hämäte .] (0.10) [aśtä] [ 2 ] karmä tcamäna hve' bäsivärai hämäte $\cdot(0.11=0.7)$ astä karm[ä t]c[amäna hve' bahojsä] [v3] hämäte . $(0.12=0.8)$ aśtä karmi tcamäna hve’ balondä hämäte . (0.13) aśt[ä karmä tcamäna hve'] [v4] jaḍä hämäte . (0.14) $a$ [śtä ka]rmä tcamäna hve' hajū hämäte.
poor]. $(0.8=0.12)$ There is an act by which a man becomes wealthy. (0.9) There is an act [by which a man becomes of low birth]. (0.10) [There is] an act by which a man becomes of high birth. $(0.11=$ 0.7) There is an act by which [a man] becomes [weak]. (0.12 = 0.8) There is an act by which a man becomes powerful. (0.13) There is [an act by which a man] becomes foolish. (0.14) There is an act by which a man becomes wise.
[S1] (0.1) asti karma alpâyuh-sampartanīyam. (0.2) asti karma dīrghâyuh-sampartanīyam. (0.3) asti karma bahv-ābādhā-samvartaniyam. (0.4) asti karma alpâbādhā-sampartanīyam. (0.5) asti karma durvarṇa-saṃvartan̄̄yam. (0.6) asti karma prāsādika-sampartanīyam. (0.7) asti karma alpésākhya-saṃvartanīyam. (0.8) asti karma maheśākhya-sampartanīyam. (0.9) asti karma nica-kulôpapatti-samvartanīyam. (0.10) asti karma ucca-kulôpapattisampartanīyam. (0.11) asti karma alpa-bhoga-sampartanīyam. (0.12) asti karma mahā-bhoga-sampartanȳyam. (0.13) asti karma duṣprajña-sampartanīyam. (0.14) asti karma mahā-prajña-sampartanīyam.
(0.15) aśt[ä karmä tcamä][Khot. (IO) 18.2 r1]na hve' narya ysampthu byehäte ( 0.16 ) aśtä karmä tcamäna\{mäna\} hve' trīyaśūnä ysaṃthä byehälr2łte . (0.17) aśtä karmä tcamäna hve' prīyvo ysaṃthu byehätä . (0.18) aśtä karmä tcamäna hve' [r3] aysuruo' ysampthu byehäte . (0.19) aśtä karmä tcamäna hve' hvaṃ'duvo' ysaṃthu byehä-
(0.15) There is [an act] by which a man obtains birth in hell. (0.16) There is an act by which a man obtains birth in animalhood. (0.17) There is an act by which a man obtains birth among the ghosts. (0.18) There is an act by which a man obtains birth among the asuras. (0.19) There is an act by which a man obtains birth among
[r4]te . (0.20) as $[t] a ̈ ~ k a r m a ̈ ~ t c a m a ̈-~$ na hve' kāmāvacaruvo' gyastuvo' ysaṃthu byehäte . (0.21) aśtä [v1] karmi tcamäna hve' rūpāvacaruvo' gyastvo ysaṃthu byehäte . (0.22) aśtä karmi tcamina [ 2 ] hve' ārūpyāvacaruo gyastvo ysaṃthu byehätä .
men. (0.20) There is an act by which a man obtains birth among the gods of the sphere of desire. (0.21) There is an act by which a man obtains birth among the gods of the sphere of form. (0.22) There is an act by which a man obtains birth among the gods of the sphere without form.
[S1] (0.15) asti karma narakôpapatti-samvartanīyam. (0.16) asti karma tiryagyony-upa-patti-samvartanīyam. (0.17) asti karma preta-lokôpapatti-samvartaniyam. (0.18) asti karma asura-lokôpapatti-sampartaniyam. (0.19) asti karma manuṣya-lokôpapatti-samvartanīyam. (0.20) asti karma kāmâvacara-devôpapatti-samvartanīyam. (0.21) asti karma rūpâvacara-devôpapatti-sampartanīyam.(0.22) astikarmaārūpyâvacara-devôpapatti-saṃvartanīyam.
(0.23) aśtä karmi cu hve' yäḍe u nai [v3] ne huṣa jsāte . (0.24) aśtä karmä cu hve' na-ro yande huṣai jsāte . (0.25) aśtä karmä cu [v4] hve['] yande u huṣai jsāte . (0.26) aś[t]ä karmä cu hve' <ne> yạnde u <nai ne> huṣa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ jsāte.
${ }^{\text {a }} M S$ husai.
(0.23) There is an act that a man has done and (whose fruition) does not increase for him. (0.24) There is an act that a man does not do yet (and whose fruition) increases for him. (0.25) There is an act that a man does and (whose fruition) increases for him. (0.26) There is an act that a man does <not> do and (whose fruition) does <not> increase <for him>.
[S1] (0.23) asti karma krtam nôpacitam. (0.24) asti karma upacitam na kẹtam. (0.25) asti karma kṛtam upacitaṃ ca. (0.26) asti karma naîva ḳ̣tam nôpacitam.
$(0.27=0.30)$ aśtä karmä [Khot. (IO) 3 \# Macartney b r1] tcamäna hve' $\{n a ̈\}[k] u$-șta ysaṃthu nāste [nyā]pätä . ( $0.28=0.31)$ aśtä karmä tcamäna nä na-ro nyāpätä [r2] hve' ku-șta ysaṃthu nāste . (0.29 = 0.32) aśtä karmä [cu kā]mu buro hvaṃ'du spāśäte tterku ttäña [r3] kṣīra hiśtä kvī ștānä varās̄āñä .
(0.27 $=0.30)$ There is an act by which it is known where a man takes birth. $(0.28=0.31)$ There is an act by which it is not yet known where a man takes birth. $(0.29=$ 0.32) There is an act [that], as long as it sees the man (concerned), arrives as far as the land where (its fruition) must be experienced by him.
[S1] (0.30) asti karma niyatôpapatti-sampartaniyam. (0.31) asti karma aniyatôpapattisamvartanīyam. (0.32) asti karma deśântara-vipakvam. ${ }^{65}$
( $0.30=0.27$ ) aśtä karmä tcamäna hve' narya ysaṃthu bye[r4]hätä harbiśśo narya jsīno därysde . u ttīye parśtä . $(0.31=0.28)$ aśtä karmä tcamäna hve' na[v1rya ysa[ṃ]thu by[e]hätä u śuvo' $j$ [sinno] narya jsīna därysde u ttīyä parśtä. (0.32 $=0.29$ ) aśtä karmi tcamä[v2pna hve' narya ysaṃthu byehätä kho vara ātä hämāte $t t a ̈-$ nai vā na jsīna puṣu pa[v3]rśtä .
( $0.30=0.27$ ) There is an act by which a man obtains birth in hell, spends all (his) lifetime in hell and then escapes. $(0.31=0.28)$ There is an act by which a man obtains birth in hell and spends (his) life in hell for half a lifetime and then escapes. $(0.32=0.29)$ There is an act by which a man obtains birth in hell (and) yet, as soon as he should have arrived there, his life escapes completely from it.
[S1] (0.27) asti karma yena samanvāgataḥ pudgalo narakeṣûpapannah paripūrṇam nairayikam āyuḥ kṣapayitvā cyavati. (0.28) asti karma yena samanvāgatạ̣ pudgalo narakeṣupa-

[^17]panno 'rdha-nairayikam ${ }^{66}$ āyuh kspapayitvā cyavati. (0.29) asti karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalo narakeṣ̂papanna-mātra eva cyavati.

(0.33) aśtä karmi tcamäna hve' cistä ștānä suhauttä u ysāḍä ștānä dukhauttä [v4\}hämä[te .] (0.34) aśtä karmä tcamäna hve’ [c]istä sțān[ä] dukhauttä hämäte ysāḍä sțānä suhaut[t]ä (0.35) [Khot. (IO) 5 \# Macartney e r1] <aśtä karmä tcamäna hve' hā cistä ștānä suhauttä u hā ysạ̣̄ä șṭānä .> (0.36) [aśtä] karmä [t]cam[ä]na h[v]e[' h]ā [cist]ä [sṭt]ā[n]ä [du]khauttä $u$ $h a \bar{a} y s a ̄\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { ] } \\ \text { [sṭ } \\ \text { āā[nä .] }\end{array}\right.$
(0.33) There is an act by which a man becomes blessed when he is young and distressed when he is old. (0.34) There is an act by which a man becomes distressed when he is young (and) blessed when he is old. (0.35) <There is an act by which a man is blessed both when he is young and when he is old.> (0.36) [There is/ an act by which a man is distressed both when he is young and when he is old.
[S1] (0.33) asti karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalah pūrvam sukhito bhūtvā paścād duhbkhito bhavati. (0.34) asti karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalah pürvam duhbkhito bhūtvā paścād api sukhito bhavati. (0.35) asti karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalah pūrvam sukhito bhūtvā paścāt sukhito bhavati. (0.36) asti karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalạ pūrvaṃ dụ̣khito bhūtvā paścād api dụ̣khito bhavati.

[^18](0.37) [aśtä karm]i [tcam]ä[na] [r2] hve' tsātä hämäte u kada[rī .] ( $0.38=0.39$ ) [aśtä ka]rmä tcamäna [hve' tsātä u patätsānai hämä][r3]tä . $(0.39=0.38)$ aśtä karmä tcamäna hve' dukhätä hämäte $u$ [patätsānai .]
(0.37) [There is] an act by which a man becomes wealthy and mean. $(0.38=0.39)$ [There is] an act by which [a man] becomes [wealthy and generous]. (0.39 = 0.38) There is an act by which a man becomes poor and [generous].
[S1] (0.37) asti karma yena samanvāgataḷ pudgala āḍyo bhavati matsarī. (0.38) asti karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalo daridro bhavati tyāgavān. (0.39) asti karma yena samanvāgatah pudgala āḍhyo bhavati tyăgavān.
( $0.40=0.41$ ) [aśtä akarmä tcamäna hvaṃ'][r4]däye jsīna jäta u karmai ${ }^{\text {a }}$ na-ro jyāre . $(0.41=0.40)$ aśtä karmä tca[mäna hvandiye karma jäta u] [v1] biśśa jsinai na-ru jīye . (0.42) aśtä karmä tcamäna ${ }^{\text {b h hvą'ndiy }}$ [e karma jäta u jsinnai ${ }^{\text {b }}$ jäta .] (0.43 $\approx$ [ 2 2] aśtä karmi tcamäna hva'ndiye na-ro jsīna jī[ye u karmai na-ro jyā][r3ye .

[^19]( $0.40=0.41$ ) [There is an act by which] a man's life has disappeared and yet (the fruition of) his acts does not disappear. $(0.41=$ 0.40) There is an act by which [(the fruition of) a man's acts has disappeared and] all his life does not disappear yet. (0.42) There is an act by which (the fruition of) $a$ man's [acts has disappeared and his life has disappeared]. (0.43 $\approx)$ There is an act by which a man's life does not disappear yet [and (the fruition of) his acts] does [not] disappear [yet].
[S1] (0.40) \{asti karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalo\} asti pudgalo yasya karma kṣịnam bhavati nâyuh. (0.41) asti pudgalo yasyâyuḥ kṣị̣am na karma. (0.42) asti pudgalo yasyâyuh karmāṇi ca kṣināni. ( 0.42 bis) asti pudgalo yasyâyuh kṣịnam punyāni ca. (0.43) asti pudgalo yasya nâyuh kṣiṇam bhavati na karma. api tu kleśāh kṣ̣̣̄āḥ.
(0.44) astä karmä tcamäna hve' ttarandarna suhau[tt]ä [hämäte u aysmū]na [d]u[khau][v4][ttä] hämäte [.] (0.45) [a]ś[t]ä karmä tca<mä>na hve' ttarandarna dukhau[tt]ä hä[mä]te u ay[sm]ūna [suh]aut[t]ä [.] (0.46) [Macartney c \# FK 210.19 Do. 31 r1] a[stä] karmä tcamäna hve' hā ttarandarna suhauttä häm[ä]t[e] u hā aysmūna [.] (0.47) a[s]t[ä] ka[r2pm[ä tcam]äna hve' hā ttarandarna dukho[tt]ä hämäte $u$ [h]ā aysmū[na .]
(0.44) There is an act by which a man [becomes] blessed in (his) body [and] becomes woe-afflicted in (his) mind. (0.45) There is an act by which a man becomes woeafflicted in (his) body and blessed in (his) mind. (0.46) There is an act by which a man becomes blessed in both (his) body and mind. (0.47) There is an act by which a man becomes woe-afflicted in both (his) body and mind.
[S1] (0.44) asti pudgalah kāyena sukhī na cittena. (0.45) asti pudgalaś cittena sukhī na kāyena. (0.46) asti pudgalạ̣ kāyena ca sukhī cittena ca. (0.47) asti pudgalo nâ̂va kāyena sukhī na cittena.
(0.48) astä karmä tca[r3][mäna] $h v e '[a] v a ̄ y a ̈ ~ y s a m ̣ t h u ~ n a ̄ s t e ~ d a ̈ t a ̈ n a ~$ śsärä hämäte praysātūnä u tca[r4][rṣū käḍe] ttarandarna snidūnä chate jsa u briyūnä dätena . u cai halci \{n\}daindä [v1] [bi]śśānu tcei'mañänu suhä (0.49) aśtä karmä tcamäna hve' avāyä ysaṃthu nāste dätäna [ 2 2] $a$ sädūnä hämäte u lukṣä ttarandaräna bäysärgyūnä dä<tä>na abryavīyä . (0.50 $\approx$ ) [v3] aśtä karmi tcamäna hve' avāyä ysaṃthu nāste ganānai hämä-
(0.48) There is an act by which a man takes birth in an evil state (of existence and) becomes good in appearance, fair and [very] splendid in body, smooth in complexion and lovely in appearance and, whoever sees him, he is a joy for all eyes. (0.49) There is an act by which a man takes birth in an evil state (of existence and) becomes bad in appearance and rough in body, horrific in appearance (and) unlovely. ( $0.50 \approx$ ) There is an act
te . [v4] nyaś[sä] panye hvaṃ'dä asädūnä dätäna u cai [ne] ttatvatu akṣarä nyāpäte [Macartney d \# FK 210.20 Do. 32 r1] + + +
by which a man takes birth in an evil state (of existence and) becomes ill-smelling, despicable, bad in appearance for every man and (such) that in reality [no] syllable is known to him ...
[S1] (0.48) asti karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalo 'pāyeṣupapanno 'bhirūpo bhavati < prāsādikaḥ ${ }^{67}$ snigdha-kāyah snigdha-cchavir nayanâbhirāmo darśanīyaḥ. (0.49) asti karma yena samanvāgataḥ pudgalo 'pāyeṣûpapanno durvarṇo bhavati rūkṣa-kāyo ghoradarśanaḥ pratikūla-darśanaḥ. (0.50) asti karma yena samanvāgataḥ pudgalo 'pāyeṣûpapanno durgandho bhavati jihmêndriyo bhavaty avyaktêndriyah.
( $0.51=0.63$ ) dasau hā[va] ce balśa $j s a ̄ t e(0.52=0.64)$ dasau $h a ̄ v a$ ce balşa kșatru bañätä . ( $0.53=$ 0.65 ) dasau hā[r2]va kye balśa go' bañätä . $(0.54=0.68)$ dasau hā[va] ce hamau haurä heḍä . (0.55 $=0.69$ ) dasau hāva kye khā[r3lysu hauru heḍä . $(0.56=0.66)$ dasau hāva ce prahauṇu hauru heḍä . ( $0.57=0.70$ ) dasau hāva ci [r4] $b$ ārau hauru heḍä.$(0.58=0.75)$ dasau hāva kye balśa cirau bīḍä . ( $0.59=0.74$ ) dasau hāva kye ba[v1]śä späte bị̀ä . $(0.60=0.76)$ dasau hāva kye balśa bū’ bị̣̄ä .
( $0.51=0.63$ ) Ten are the advantages (for him) who goes to a stūpa. $(0.52=0.64)$ Ten are the advantages (for him) who fastens an umbrella to a stūpa. $(0.53=0.65)$ Ten are the advantages (for him) who fastens a bell to $a$ stūpa. ( 0.54 $=0.68)$ Ten are the advantages (for him) who gives a vessel as a gift. $(0.55=0.69)$ Ten are the advantages (for him) who gives food as a gift. $(0.56=0.66)$ Ten are the advantages (for him) who gives a garment as a gift. $(0.57=0.70)$ Ten are the advantages (for him)

[^20]who gives a vehicle as a gift. (0.58
$=0.75$ ) Ten are the advantages (for him) who brings a lamp to a stūpa. $(0.59=0.74)$ Ten are the advantages (for him) who brings flowers to $a$ stūpa. $(0.60=0.76)$ Ten are the advantages (for him) who brings perfume to a stūpa.
[S1] (0.62) daśânuśaṃsās tathāgata-caityâñjali-karmanah. (0.63) daśânuśamsās tathāgata-caitya-vandanāyāḥ. (0.64) daśânuśaṃsāś chattra-pradānasya. (0.65) daśânuśamsā ghanṭāpradānasya. (0.66) daśânuśaṃsā vastra-pradānasya. (0.67) daśânuśaṃsā āsana-pradānasya. (0.68) daśânuśaṃsā bhājana-pradānasya. (0.69) daśânuśamssā bhojana-pradānasya. (0.70) daśânuśaṃsā yāna-pradāne. (0.71) daśânuśamssāḥ pratiśraya-pradānasya. (0.72) daśânuśaṃsāḥ pānaka-pradāne. (0.72 bis) daśânuśams sāḥ phala-pradāne. (0.73) daśânuśamsā mālā-pradānasya. (0.74) daśânuśamsā mukta-puṣpa-pradānasya. (0.75) daśânuśaṃsāḥ pradīpa-pradānasya. (0.76) daśânuśạ̣sā gandha-pradānasya. (0.77) daśânuśamsāḥ pravrajyāyāḥ. (0.78) daśânuśaṃsā araṇya-vāse. (0.79) daśânuśaṃsāḥ paiṇ̣̣a-pātikatve. (0.80) daśa vaiśāradyāni.
( $0.61 \approx 0.81$ ) ṣā buro haṃkhīysgya haṃgaśo ka[v2үmānu II
$(0.61 \approx 0.81)$ This all is the enumeration of the acts altogether.
[S1] (0.81) uddeśaḥ karma-vibhanggasya dharma-paryāyasya.
(1.0) tta kāmä vā ṣä karmä tcamäna hve' āmura-jsinī hämäte . (1.1) paḍā ṣä [v3] kye haṃdaru jsīndä (1.2 $\ddagger$ ) u śätä ṣä kye hā ggītte . (1.3) u dädä cai buljätä . (1.4 = 1.2) u tcū[v4ramä sä ku ysūṣḍe $\cdot(1.5=1.7)$ u pūhä ṣä kye
(1.0) So, which is the act by which a man becomes short-lived? (1.1) The first is (that of him) who kills another (man). ( 1.2 \#) And the second is (that of him) who helps (someone kill someone else). (1.3) And the third (is that of him) who
striyo mulchānäte II : (1.6 $\sim 1.8)$ [Macartney a \# FK 21021 Do. 33 r1] [u kṣei'mä ṣä cai haslpijäatä . (1.7 = 1.4) u haudamä ṣä ku pyūṣḍe se sānu-ṃ mä muḍä u ttäna sirää [r2] [hämäte .] ( $1.8 \approx 1.9$ ) [u hașta]mä ṣä ku vā[n]ä padìmäte ( 1.9 \#) u naumä ṣä ku auṣku jauyse [r3] [+ ++++ te $\cdot(1.10$ \# $)$ u dasamä ṣä kvī khāysīnai haurä vivātä härsț̣āyä [r4] [batä] (1.11 = 1.10) [ttätä dals[au] karma tcamäna hve' āmura-j[s]inī hämäte II
praises it. (1.4 = 1.2) And the fourth is when he approves (it). (1.5 = 1.7) And the fifth is (that of him) who makes a woman miscarry. ( $1.6 \approx 1.8$ ) [And the sixth is (that of him) who] urges (someone) [to it]. $(1.7=1.4)$ And the seventh is when he hears that an enemy of his is dead and he [becomes] content because of that. (1.8 $\sim 1.9)$ [And] the eighth is when he builds a temple. (1.9 $\ddagger$ ) And the ninth is when he always ... fighters. $(1.10 \neq)$ And the tenth is when the maturation of his giving away of food is in reality [little]. (1.11 = 1.10) [These] are the ten acts by which a man becomes short-lived.
[S1] (1.0) tatra katamat karma alpâyuḥ-saṃvartanīyam. ucyate. (1.1) prānâtipātah. (1.2) prāṇ̂tipātasya anumodanam. (1.3) prāṇâtipātasya varṇa-vāditā. (1.4) amitra-maraṇâbhinandanam. (1.5) amitra-maranasya samād̄apanam. (1.6) amitra-maranasya varṇa-vāditā. (1.7) garbha-šātanam. (1.8) garbha-ssātanasya varṇa-vāditā. (1.9) sthaṇ̣ila-pratiṣ̣thāpanaṃ yatra bahavạ̣ prānino ghātyante mahiṣa-paśu-sũkara-kukkuṭ̂dayạ̣ tasya yajñapravartakasya putrāh pautrāś cânye ca janāḥ phalârthino bhaya-bhītāśs cânuựttị̣ kurvāṇāh sattvān nirghātayanti ... (1.10) idaṃ karma alpâyuḥ-samvartanīyam.
(2.0) kāmä ṣä karmä tca[v1][mäna hve' dāra-jsī]nī hämäte (2.1) paḍā ṣä $k u$ [ha]mata ne jsīndä (2.2 $\approx$ ) u śätä ṣä ku ne [V2] [buljätä o vā] ggîtte ce jsanīndä . $(2.3 \neq)$ u dädä
(2.0) Which is the act by which [a man] becomes [long]-lived? (2.1) The first is when he himself does not kill. (2.2 $\approx$ ) And the second is when he does not [praise or] help

ṣä ku ne hā ysūṣde . (2.4 = ?) u tcūramä [v3] $[+++++++$ ] (2.5 $\approx$ ) u pūhä ṣä $k u$ jsiñānu hvaṃ'dānu bājä hvāñäte . $(2.6=$ ?) u [v4] [kṣei'mä ṣä cu hva'ndä] ce hampjsā[']ro jivätä rrūyä ka ttānu gītte ku nä
those who kill. (2.3 *) And the third is when he does not approve (those who kill). $(2.4=$ ?) And the fourth ... (2.5 $\approx$ ) And the fifth is when he pronounces deliverance of men condemned to death. (2.6 = ?) And [the sixth is, as for men) who are about to lose (their) life, if he helps them when for them ...
[S1] (2.0) tatra katamat karma dírghâyuh-saṃvartanīyam. ucyate. (2.1) prānâtipātān nivṛttih. (2.2) prāṇâtipāta-nivṛttau varṇa-vāditā. (2.3) tatra samādāpanam. (2.4) tad-varṇa-vāditā.(2.5) vadhya-prāptānāmmanuṣya-paśu-sūkara-kukkuṭâdīnām parimocanam. (2.6) bhītānām sattvānām abhaya-pradānam. (2.7) anāthānāṃ sattvānām madhye kāru-ṇya-cittatā. (2.8) glānānām sattvānām madhye maitra-cittatā anyeșām ca bāla-vịddhānām. (2.9) teṣām eva bhojana-pradānam. (2.10) pratigrāhakeșu ca maitra-cittatā. (2.11) yat pürvôktaṃ kuśala-pakṣeṇa yuddha-darśanâdi. (2.12) tathā stūpa-caitya-vihārānāṃ siīrṇānām pratisamskāra-karaṇam ${ }^{68}$... (2.13) idam karma dīrghâyuh-saṃartaniyam.
*** (3.6 $\approx 3.5$ ?) [FK 211.4 Do. 57 \# FK 210.22 Do. 34 r1] [ggu]rāśät[e] nu puvā’näte . $3.7 \approx 3.6$ ) u haudamä ṣä ku dākṣäṇyānu aysmū āphīrätä . (3.8 $\sim 3.9)$ [r2] [u ha]sṭamä ṣä ku [khāy]su hedä o pattarro ce haṃdarye ttarạndarä āphïre . (3.9 = 3.7-8) [r3] [u nau]mä ṣä kvī sā[nä āchänai hämäte] $u$ tto sirave-
*** (3.6 ~ 3.5?) ... quarrels (and) frightens them. (3.7 $\sim 3.6$ ) And the seventh is when he disturbs the mind of venerable men. $(3.8 \approx 3.9)$ [And] the eighth is when he gives food or drink which disturbs the body of another. (3.9 = 3.7-8) [And] the ninth is when an enemy of his [becomes ill] and he shows

[^21]tu yąnde u kvī sānä drū[r4][ṇai hä]mäte käḍe [amanāvu yande u ysu]rre jsa spāsätä (3.10 $\approx$ ) u dasamä ṣä cu [v1] [ne] huvīrä hvī<ḍe he>ḍä (3.11) ttätä da[sau pracyā tca]mäna hve' byāchänä hämäte $\mid$
happiness, and when an enemy of his becomes healthy (and) he feels (it) as very unpleasant and looks in anger. (3.10 $\approx$ ) And the tenth is that he gives not very delicious (?) food. (3.11) These are the ten [causes] by which a man becomes ill.
[S1] (3.0) katamat karma bahv-ābādhā-samvartanīyam. ucyate. (3.1) khaṭa-capeṭa-pradānam. (3.2) khaṭa-capeṭa-pradānasyânumodanam. (3.3) khaṭa-capeṭa-pradānasya varṇa-vāditā. (3.4) teṣām pradānena tuṣṭih. (3.5) mātāpitroś citta-śarīre pị̣̄ā-karaṇam. (3.6) tathânyeṣām pravrajitānām ŝīlavatām citta-saṃkleśah. (3.7) amitra-vyādhinā tuṣṭih. (3.8) amitra-vyādhi-vyutthānenâtuștị̣. (3.9) vyādhitānām abhaiṣajya-pradānam. (3.10) tathâpa-rijïrṇa-bhojanam. (3.11) idam karma bahv-ābādhā-sampartaniyam.
(4.0) tta kāmä [V2] ṣä karmi tcamäna hve' haṃ v[ätä] drūṇai (4.1 z) paḍā ṣä ku haṃdarä nä mīndä [v3] (4.2 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ ) [śä]tä ṣä ku ni hā gītte (4.3) u dä[dä] ṣä ku ne hā buljätä (4.4 $\neq$ ) u tcūramä ṣä ku [v4] [ne] sīrä hämäte ku hvasta uysnaura daiyä (4.5) u pūhä ṣä ku merä pīrä [FK 211.5 Do. 58 \# Khot. (IO) 4 r1] [ggī]tte ku-ṃ nä drūṇātä hämäte (4.6 $\approx 4.6,4.9)$ u kṣemä ṣä ku dā$k$ ṣiṇyānu ttu ggītte ku ne āchai pa[r2][hīy]sd[e] u āchänānu aruvo' heḍä . (4.7 $\neq$ ) u haudamä ṣä ku āchänaka uysnau[r3][ra hand]āḍe. (4.8 \#) u hașṭamä ṣä kye drūṇā hämäte ku ne daiyä sīrä hämäte
(4.0) Thus, which is the act by which a man is always healthy? (4.1 $\approx$ ) The first is when he does not harm another (man). (4.2 *) The second is when he does not help (someone harm someone else). (4.3) And the third is when he does not praise (those who harm someone). (4.4 $\neq$ ) And the fourth is when he does [not] become content when he sees beings beaten. (4.5) And the fifth is when he helps (his) mother (and) father when health does not arise for them. (4.6 $\approx 4.6,4.9)$ And the sixth is when he helps venerable men on that (occasion) when ill-
$(4.9$ *) [r4] [u naum]ä ṣä ku āchänaka kriya [spā]sätä se tso ju drūṇā hämāro thyau . (4.10 $\approx$ ) u [v1] [dasa] $m[a ̈] s[a ̈] c[u]$ huv[ī]t[ä]r[ä $\mathrm{h}] v[\mathrm{i}] d[\mathrm{e}$ he $] d[a ̈]$ (4.11) $\mathrm{tt}[a ̈] t a ̈$ dasau pracyā tcamäna hve’ oṣku vä[v2][tä drūṇai] II
ness does not disappear, and he gives a medicament to the sick. (4.7 *) And the seventh is when one cares for sick beings. (4.8*) And the eighth is (that if) health arises for someone, even though he does not see (it), he becomes content. (4.9 *) /And the ninth] is when he sees sick (and) beaten people (and he thinks): «Come! Let them be healthy soon». (4.10 z) And the tenth is that he gives very delicious (?) food. (4.11)
These are the ten causes by which a man is always [healthy].
[S1] (4.0) katamat karma alpâbādhā-saṃvartanīyam. ucyate. (4.1) khaṭa-capeṭa-pradānān nivẹttih. (4.2) tatra samādāpanam. (4.3) tad-varṇa-vāditā. (4.4) tad-abhyanumodanam. (4.5) glānānām mātā-piṭ̣̣nām upasthāna-karaṇam. (4.6) tad apy anyeṣām grehastha-pravrajitānām. (4.7) amitra-vyādhinā nâttamanaskatā. (4.8) tasya vyutthānena câttamanaskatā. (4.9) bhaiṣajya-pradānam. (4.10) parijīṛ̣a-bhojanaṃ ca. (4.11) idam karma alpâ-bādhā-saṃvartanīyam.
(5.0) kāmä ṣä karmi tcamäna hve' dätäna asädūnä hämete (5.1 ~ 5.1-4) paḍā [v3] [ṣä ka]rmi auysākä u nușṭhu[r]ä dāruṇä hāvu hvaṃ’dä dai cai jsa ha[v4][ndarye] arātī hämäte (5.2 $\approx 5.5-6$ ) ṣä śäte ka[rm]ä ku merä $p \bar{i}[r] a ̈ ~ u ~ d a ̄ k s ̣ a ̈-~$ ṇyānu $\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{g}]$ amp[missing folio r1][jse yīndä .] ***
(5.0) Which is the act by which a man becomes bad in appearance? (5.1 $\approx 5.1-4)$ [The] first act is (if) he should see the advantage of $a$ man being angry and violent, harsh (and such) that envy of the other (man) arises in him because of it. (5.2 $\approx 5.5-6)$ The second act is when he [finds] faults with (his)

> mother and father and with venerable men. ${ }^{* * *}$
*** (5.11) [missing folio v4] [ttätä dasau pracyā tcamäna hve' dätäna a][FK 210.23 Do. 35 r1][sädūnä hämäte II]
*** (5.11) [These are the ten causes by which a man becomes bad in appearance.]
[S1] (5.0) katamat karma durvarṇa-sampartaniyam. ucyate. (5.1) krodhah. (5.2) upanāhaḥ. (5.3) mrakṣaḥ. (5.4) paridāghah. ${ }^{69}$ (5.5) mātā-pitror avarṇa-vāditā. (5.6) anyeșặ̣ ca gṛhastha-pravrajitānām bāla-vṛddhānām. (5.7) stūpângaṇa-caitya-gṛha-vihārānāạ̄ ca bhumer aviśodhanam. (5.8) stūpānām pratimān̄ạ̣̄ ca dīpa-vyucchedaḥ. (5.9) durvarnānāạ sattvānām avahasanam. (5.10) tathâcaukṣa-samudācāratā. (5.11) idaṃ karma du-rvarṇa-sampartanīyam.
(6.0) [kāmä ṣä ka]r[mä] tcamäna hve' dätäna [śś]ärä hämäte (6.1$10=$ ?) pad $[\overline{\mathrm{a}}]$ ṣä karmä [r2] [ + $+++++++++++]$ $<>(6.11=6.16)<$ ttätä dasau pracyā tcamäna hve' dätäna śsärä hämäte ||>
(6.0) [Which is] the act by which a man becomes good in appearance? (6.1-10 = ?) The first act ... <...> $(6.11=6.16)<$ These are the ten causes by which a man becomes good in appearance. >
[S1] (6.0) katamat karma prāsādika-sạ̣vartaníyam. ucyate. (6.1) akrodhaḥ. (6.2) anupanāhah. (6.3) amrakṣạ. (6.4) vastra-pradānam. (6.5) stūpa-caitya-gŗheṣu ca sudhā-dānam. (6.6) suvarṇa-pātra-dānam. (6.7) gandha-lepa-pradānam. (6.8) alạ̣kāra-pradānam. (6.9) mātā-pitror varṇa-vāditā. (6.10) āryāṇām śliavatạ̣̄ varṇa-vāditā. (6.11) stūpâàgaṇa-vihārānāp̣̣ sammārjanam. (6.12) satatạ̣ grha-sammārjanam. (6.13) virūpānạ̣̄ sattvānāṃ anavahasanam. (6.14) tathânyeṣām bāla-vṛddhānām. (6.15) tathā caukṣa-samudācāratā ... (6.16) idaṃ karma prāsādika-saṃvartanīyam.

[^22](7.0 = S1 11.0, $\approx$ S2 11.0) <kāmä ṣä karmä tcamäna hve' dukhätä hämäte .> (7.1 = S1 S2 11.1) $p a[d \bar{a}]$ ṣä ku i[hī]vya-nāsai (7.2 *) u ce hā ggittä (7.3 = S1 S2 11.3) [r3] [u cai buljätä .] (7.4 = S1 11.4, = S2) [u ku ysūṣḍe .] (7.5 = S1 11.7, S2 11.8) u ku handarāṇu hāvu daiyä amanāvuī hä[r4][mäte .] (7.6 $=\mathrm{S} 1$, $=\mathrm{S} 211.7$ ) [ u ku handarāṇu ggārīvu] daiye sìrä hämäte . (7.7-8 $\neq$ ) u ku handarāṇu ham ve[v1][tä +++++++ ++ ] ri hauru haurīndä ne hā sīrä hämät[e] . $(7.9 \neq) \mathrm{u} \mathrm{ku}$ ne armū[vä][V2][tä .] (7.10 $\neq$ ) $[+++$ $+++++]$ śśau ggivu khāysu bilsamggìmgya gäta' ne heḍä (7.11 = S1 S2 11.11) ttätä [v3] [dasau karma tcamäna hve’ dukh]ä[t]ä hämäte //
(7.0 = S1 11.0, $=$ S2 11.0) <Which is the act by which a man becomes poor?> (7.1 = S1 S2 11.1) The first is when there is taking of what is not one's own; (7.2 *) and (that of him) who helps (someone to do so); (7.3 = S1 S2 11.3) [and (that of him) who praises (someone who does) it]; $(7.4=$ S1 11.4, $\neq$ S2) [and when he approves (it)]; $7.5=\mathrm{S} 1$ 11.7, S2 11.8) and when he sees the advantage of other people (and that) becomes unpleasant for him; ( 7.6 * S1, = S2 11.7) and when he sees the trouble of other people (and) he becomes content; (7.7-8 *) and when ... always to other people ... they give a gift and he does not become content; (7.9 *) and when he does not congratulate (on the gift); (7.10 *) ... he does not give one handful (?) of food at the assembly of the order. $7.11=$ S1 S2 11.11) These are [the ten acts by which a manl becomes poor.
[S1] (11.0) katamat karma alpa-bhoga-sampartaniyam. ucyate. (11.1) adattâdānam. (11.2) adattâdāna-samādāpanam. (11.3) caurya-varṇa-vāditā. (11.4) tad-abhyanumodanam. (11.5) mātā-pitror ṿ̣ty-upacchedaḥ.(11.6)tathânyeṣām vyādhita-bāla-vṬddha-ḳ̣pạ̣ānām vṬty-upacchedah. (11.7) parasya läbhenâtuṣtịh. (11.8) para-lābhântarāya-kriyā. (11.9) durbhiksâabhinandanam ca. (11.10) idạ̣ karma alpa-bhoga-saṃvartaniyam.
[S2] (11.0) [H $149 \times 2$ 2 2 ] daśa dharmā alpa-bhoga-samva[r3rtanȳyāh katame daśa . (11.1) adattâdānam . (11.2) adattâdāna:samādāpanam (11.3) adattâdānasya [r4] ca varṇa-vāditā . (11.4) adattâdānena āttamanatā (11.5) mātā-piṭ̣nạ̣̄ ṿ̛tty-upacchedah (11.6) äryāṇàm vrtty-upa[ธ̧]cchedah ${ }^{70}$ (11.7) parasya alābhena âttamanatā (11.8) parasya läbhena nâttamanatā . (11.9) parasya lābhântarā[v1lyo (11.10) durbhiksâyācanā ca . (11.11) ime daśa dharmā alpa-bhoga-saṃvartanīyāh II
(8.0 = S1 12.0, $\sim$ S2 12.0) [k]āmä ṣä karmä tcamäna hve' tsā[v4][tä hämäte .] (8.1 ~ S1 12.1, = S2 12.2) [paḍā ṣä karmä ku i]hīvī ttagatu ne [n]āste ( $8.2 \neq$ ) u ne hā ggîttä (8.3 = S1, $\approx$ S2 12.3) [FK 210.17 Do. 29 \# FK 210.18 Do. 30 r1] $<u$ cai ne buljätä .> (8.4 $\approx \mathrm{S} 1$ 12.3 , = S2) [u ku ne ysūṣḍe .] (8.5 = S1 12.8, = S2 12.5) [u ku handarā]ṇu ggārīvu pyūṣ̣̣e amanāvu yande (8.6 = S1 12.7, = S2 12.6) u ku [ha][r2][ndarāṇu hāvu pyū]s $d[$ [ $]$ sī[rä häm]äte (8.7-8 $\neq$ ) u $\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{u}]$ handa[rāṇu haṃ v]ī $[\mathrm{rä}++$ $+++][\mathbf{r} 3][+++++]+r a$ hauru $h$ [aurindä hā sīrä hämäte .] $(8.9 \neq)$ [u ku armūvätä .] $(8.10 \neq)$ $[\mathbf{r} 4][++++++$ śsau $] g g[\overline{1}] v u$ khāysu u pa[ttarro bilsamggīmgya gäta' heḍä .] (8.11 = S1 S2 12.11) [ttätä dasau] [v1] [karma tcamäna hve' tsātä hämäte II]
(8.0 = S1 12.0, $\approx$ S2 12.0) Which is the act by which a man [becomes] wealthy? (8.1 $\approx$ S1 12.1, $=$ S2 12.2) [The first act is when] he does not take the wealth that is not his own; (8.2 $\neq$ ) and (when) he does not help (someone to do so); (8.3 = S1, $\approx$ S2 12.3) <and (that of him) who does not praise it; > ( $8.4 \approx$ S1 12.3, $\neq \mathrm{S} 2$ ) and when he does not approve (it); (8.5 = S1 12.8 , = S2 12.5) [and when] he hears of the trouble of other people (and) feels (it) as unpleasant; (8.6 = S1 12.7, = S2 12.6) and when he hears [of the advantage of other people] (and) becomes content; (8.7-8 $\neq$ ) and when ... always to other people ... they give a gift (and) Ihe becomes content); (8.9 *) [and when he congratulates (on the gift);] (8.10 7 ) ... [he gives one] handful (?) of food and drink

[^23][at the assembly of the order]. (8.11 = S1 S2 12.11) /These are the ten acts by which a man becomes wealthy.]
[S1] (12.0) tatra katamat karma mahā-bhoga-saṃvartanĩyam. ucyate. (12.1) adattâdānān nivṛttị̣. (12.2) pareṣạ̣̄ câdattâdānān nivāraṇam. (12.3) pareşãm adattâdāna-nivṛttānām samanumodanam. (12.4) mātā-pitror vṛtti-pradānam. (12.5) āryāṇām ca silavatāṃ vrttipradānam. (12.6) tathânyeṣāṃ vyādhita-bāla-vṛddha-kب̣paṇānāṃ ṿ̣tí-pradānam. (12.7) para-lābhena tuṣṭh. (12.8) parasyâläbhenâtuṣtih. (12.9) para-lābha-samanumodanam. (12.10) subhikṣâbhinandanam ... (12.11) idaṃ karma mahā-bhoga-samvartanīyam.
[S2] (12.0) daśa dharmā mahā-bhoga-saṃvarta|v2|nīyāh katame daśa . (12.1) dānam (12.2) adattâdāna-vairamaṇam . (12.3) adattâdāna-vairamaṇasya varṇa-vāditā . (12.4) [v3] adattâdāna-vairamaṇena āttamanatā . (12.5) parasya alābhena anāttamanatā . (12.6) parasya [ V 4$]$ lābhena āttamanatā (12.7) parasya lābhôdyogaḥ (12.8) dānasyâbhyanumodanam, (12.9) dānâdhimuktānām pudga|us)lānām sampraharṣạ̣am, (12.10) subhikṣâyācanā ca . (12.11) ime daśa dharmā mahā-bhoga:samvartanīyāh I।
\[
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[S1] (9.0) katamat karma nīca-kula-samvartanīyam. ucyate. (9.1) stabdhatā. (9.2) abhimānitā ca. (9.3) amātā-pitr-jñatā. (9.4) aśrāmaṇyatā. (9.5) abrāhmaṇyatā. (9.6). akula-jyesțthâpacāyitā. (9.7) mātā-pitror apratyupasthānam. (9.8) āryānām śīlavatām apratyupasthānam. (9.9) anyeṣām ca guru-sthānīyānām ācāryôpādhyāyānām apratyupasthānam. (9.10) nīca-kulānạ̣̄ sattvānām paribhavah. (9.11) idaṃ karma nica-kula-saṃvartanīyam.
[S2] (9.0) [H $149 \times 1$ v1] daśa . dharmā nīca-kula-sampartaniyạ̄ katame daśa . (9.1) amātrjñatā . (9.2) apitr-jñatā . (9.3) aśrāmaṇyatā . (9.4) [ 2 z$]$ abrāhmaṇatā ${ }^{71}$. (9.5) kule na jyesṭhânupālakatvam, (9.6) āsanād ${ }^{72}$ na pratyutthānam, (9.7) āsane na nimantraṇam, (9.8) [v3] mātā-pitror aśuśrūṣā ${ }^{73}$ (9.9) āryānāam aśuśrūụā ${ }^{74}$ (9.10) nīca-kula-jātānāṃ pudgalānām antike pari[v4]bhavah (9.11) ime daśa dharmā nicca-kula-sampartanīyāh ${ }^{75}$ II
(15.0) [Khot. (IO) 18.1 r1] kāmä ṣä $k a[\mathrm{r}] m[a ̈]$ tcam[ä]na hve' narya ysaṃthu byehät[ä] . $\left(15.1=15.1^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ paḍā ṣä ku ttarandarna [mästu] [r2] käḍānu yande: (15.2 = $15.1^{\text {b }}$ ) u కätä ṣä ku yä ro bäśāna yande $\cdot\left(15.3=15.1^{c}\right)$ dädä ku yä [ro aysmū][r3]na yąnde . (15.4 $\approx$ 15.2) tcūramä krrītta-drsṭä sä ne vā handarna ysamthä[na +++ $++][\mathrm{r}][+++] h \overline{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{u}+l a$ $-\ddot{\text { ä }}-\bar{y} y \ddot{a} .(15.5 \approx 15.3) p[\bar{u}] h a ̈$ krritta-drṣṭä sä au[ṣku ++++ +] [v1] $[++$ ] (15.6 $\approx 15.4$ ?) [kșei'mä k]rr[it]ta-drsṭä se +++ + + rä cu śśär[ä] o [ +++ ] (15.7 $\neq$ ) [haudamä ka aña kū][ 2 Jra drș̣̦ä väta. (15.8) u haṣṭamä ku anantanarì karmu ya[nde .] (15.9 $\approx$ ) [u naumä ṣä ku dā][v3kș̣ịya ggaṃısat[ä]ḍe padīmä-
(15.0) Which is the act by which a man obtains birth in hell? (15.1 = $15.1^{\text {a }}$ ) The first is when he performs a [great] evil deed with the body. $\left(15.2=15.1^{\text {b }}\right)$ And the second is when one also performs (it) with the tongue. $\left(15.3=15.1^{c}\right)$ The third is when one [also] performs (it) with the mind. (15.4 $\approx$ 15.2) The fourth is the heresy that not by another birth ... (15.5 $\approx$ 15.3) The fifth is the heresy that always ... (15.6 ~ 15.4?) [The sixth] is the heresy that ... which is good or ... (15.7 $\neq$ ) [The seventh is if] there should [othenwise] be a false view. (15.8) And the eighth is when he performs an ānantarya act. (15.9 $\approx$ ) [And the ninth is whenj he makes venerable men slandered. $(15.10=15.7)$ And the

[^24]te $\cdot(15.10=15.7) u$ dasamä ṣä tenth is (that of him) who /shows] kye $a$ tärañ[ī näjsaşde .] (15.11 = 15.10) [ttä][v4yte [da]sau pracyā tcamäna hve' narya ysampthu byehäte II ingratitude. $(15.11=15.10)$ These are the ten causes by which a man obtains birth in hell.
[S1] (15.0) tatra katamat karma narakôpapati-sampartaniyam. ucyate. (15.1) tivram pradusṭa-cittasya ${ }^{(a)}$ kāya- ${ }^{(b)}$ väñ- ${ }^{(c)}$ mano-duścaritam. (15.2) uccheda-dtsṭih. (15.3) saásvatadrṣ̣ih. (15.4) nāstika-drsṭịh. (15.5) akriyā-drsțṭh. (15.6) matsari-vādah. (15.7) akب̣tajñatā. (15.8) ānantaryam. (15.9) āryānāṃ ŝlavatām abhūtâbhyākhyāna-dānam. (15.10) idạ̣ karma narakôpapatti-samvartaniyam.
(16.0) kāmä ṣä karmä tca[mäna hve'] [missing f. r1] [trīyaśūnä ysaṃthä byehätä] ***
(16.0) Which is the act by which [a man obtains birth in animalhood]? ***
[S1] (16.0) tatra katamat karma tiryagyony-upapatti-sampartaniyam. ucyate. (16.1) madhyamaṃ kāya-vāñ-mano-duścaritaṃ. (16.2) vicitrạ̣ rāga-samuthitaب̣ karma. (16.3) vicitraṃ dveśa-samutthitạ̣ karma. (16.4) vicitram moha-samuthitaṃ karma. (16.5) mātāpitrọ̣ pravrajitānām câkalpika-pradānam. (16.6) tiryagyoni-gatānạ̣̄ sattvānām avahasanam.(16.7) tathā praṇidhāna-karma yathā go-vratika-kukkura-vratika-prabhṛīnām praṇidhānam atrôpapadyeyam iti ... (16.8) idạ̣ karma tiryagyony-upapatti-saṃvartaniyam.
(28.0 ~31.0) [kāmä ṣä karmä tcamäna nä na-][Khot. (10) 183 r1][ro nyāpätä hve'] ku ysa[m]thu nāste (28.1 $\approx 31.1$ ?) $[\mathrm{k}] u[+++++$ $++++++++++++$ +] [r2] [+ + +] ysaṃthu nāsāte (28.2 $\approx 31.2$ ) ṣätä $k a$ [rmä tcamäna nä na-ro nyāpätä hve' ku-șṭa ysamthu] [r3] [nāste .]
(28.0 $\boldsymbol{3} 31.0$ ) [Which is the act by which it is not yet knownj where [a man] takes birth? (28.1 ~ 31.1?) When ...
... he may take birth. $(28.2 \approx 31.2)$ This is the act [by which it is not yet known where a man takes birth].
[S1] (31.0) tatra katamat karmâniyatôpapatti-sampartanīyam. ucyate. (31.1) yat ḳ̣tvā na kvacid upapattau pariṇāmayati amutrôpapadyeyam iti. yathā sattvạ̄ karma-vaśād upapadyante. (31.2) idaṃ karmâniyatôpapatti-samvartanyam.
(29.0-1 $\approx 32.0-1$ ?) [k]āmä karmä (29.0-1 $\approx 32.0-1$ ?) Which is the act kye hvaṃ'dä $+[++++++$ that... a man...
$+++++++][r 4][++++$

+ ștā]nä varāśā[ñä +++++
$+++++++++++$
+] [v1] [ + + + varā]śäte o ro ssei
... he experiences or also even ...
$-\bar{i}[+++++++++++$
$+++++++][v 2][++$
+ ] $t$ - varāśäte.$(29.2 \approx 32.2$ ? )
... he experiences. (29.2 $\approx 32.2$ ?) ṣätä [karmä ++++++++ This is [the act] ... $++++][v 3][++$.
[S1] (32.0) tatra katamat karma deśântara-vipakvam. ${ }^{76}$ ucyate. (32.1) yat karma tasminn eva janmântare vā deśântara-gatasya vipacyate śubham aśubhạ̣ vā. tat karma de-śântara-vipakvam ${ }^{77}$... (32.2) idaṃ karma deśântara-vipakvam. ${ }^{78}$
(30.0 = 27.0) [k]āmä karmi tcamäna <hve'> narya y[s]amp[thu byehätä u harbiśso jsīno na][v4][rya jsīno d]ärysde u ttīyä parśtä . (30.1-2 $\approx 27.1 ?$, $=27.2$ ) ce $[++++++++++++$ +++++ [Khot. (IO) 18.4 r1] [ + $++]+-i$ narya hīstä u $-e[++\ldots$ comes to hell and ...

[^25]$++++++++++t+$
$++++][\mathbf{r} 2][++]+$ pātcu... again and again ...
pātcu a $-\ddot{a}[++++++++\quad \ldots$
$+++++++++][r 3][++\quad \ldots$

+ sī]rä hämäte $u[++++++$ he becomes content and ...
$++t+++t+t++][\mathrm{r} 4]$
$[+++++]$ mä hve' ku narya ... a man, when he has come to āt $[$ ä hämäte ++++++ harbiśśo jsīno narya jsī][v1][no därysde u ttī]t[ä] parśtä : hell ... [spends (his) whole lifetime in hell and] then escapes.
[S1] (27.0) tatra katamat karma yena samanvāgataḥ pudgalo narakeşûpapannah paripūrnaṃ nairayikam āyuḥ kṣapayitvā cyavati. ucyate. (27.1) ihaîkatyena nārakīyaṃ ${ }^{79}$ karma kṛtam bhavaty upacitam. sa tat karma kب̣tvā nâstïryati na jihriyati na vigarhatí na jugupsati na deśayati nâcaște na vyaktī-karoti nâyatyām samvaram āpadyate bhūyasyā mãtrayā hrṣ̦yati. prītim utpādayati ... (27.2) idaṃ karma yena samanvāgatạ̣ pudgalo narakeṣûpapannaḥ paripūrṇa-nairayikam āyuh kṣapayitvā cyavati.
(31.0 = 28.0) kāmä ṣä [karmä tcamäna hve' narya ysamthu byehätä u śuvo' jsī][v2][ṇo narya j]s[i] no därysde u t[t]ī[yä parśtä .] (31.1 $\approx 28.1$ ? $)[+++++++$ $++++][\mathbf{v}][+++$ n̄a tt̄ $\mathbf{u}$ karmu hu ++++++++++ $+++++++][v 4][+++]$ -äṇa haṃgūjäte u + [ ++++ $+++++++++++]$
[Khot. (IO) 6 r1] $[+++]$ tt- hīvya karaṇ $[++++++++++$ $++++++++++[\mathrm{I} 2][+$
(31.0 = 28.0) Which is [the act by which a man obtains birth in hell and] spends (his) life [in hell for half a life] and then [escapes]? (31.1 ~ 28.1?) ...
... this act ...
... he meets and ...
... his own deeds ...

[^26]+ +]tu yanä (31.2 = 28.2) ṣä[tä karmä tcamäna hve' narya ysaṃthu byehätä u śuvo' jsī][r3][no narya] jsīno dä[rysde u ttīyä parstä II]
... he may do. $(31.2=28.2)$ This is [the act by which a man obtains birth in hell and] spends (his) life [in hell for half a lifetime and then escapes].
[S1] (28.0) tatra katamat karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalo narakeśûpapanno 'rdhanairayikam āyuḥ kṣapayitvā cyavati. ucyate. (28.1) ihaîkatyena nārakīyam karma kṛtam bhavaty upacitam. sa tat kṛtvā nâstīryati na jihrīyati na vigarhati na jugupsate na deśayati nâcaște na vyaktī-karoti. nâyatyām samparam āpadyate. api tu na bhūyasyā mātrayā hṛşati. na prītim utpādayati. (28.2) idaṃ karma yena samanvāgataḥ pudgalo narakeṣûpapanno 'rdha-nairayikam āyuḥ kṣapayitvā cyavati.
(32.0 = 29.0) [kāmä ṣä karmä tcamäna hve' narya ysaṃthu] [r4] [byehätä kho va]ra ātä [hämāte ttänai vā na jsīna puṣu parśtä .] (32.1 $\approx 29.1 ?$ ) $[+++++++$ $+][\mathbf{v 1}][+++++] d \mathrm{a}$ yu [-]r[$+++++++++++$ $++++++++{ }^{+}[2][++$ $+-] r[-]$ khä $n$ ī $[++++++$ $++++++++++++]$ [v3] $[+++$ ] nāste u $[++++$ $++++++++++++$ $+\quad+\quad+][v 4][+\quad+\quad+] s t a$ haysgustaa[n- ++++++++ $++++++++++++$ +]
(32.0 = 29.0) [Which is the act by which a man obtains birth in hell (and) yet, as soon as] he [should have] arrived there, [his life escapes completely from it]? (32.1 $\approx$ 29.1?) ...
... he takes and ...
... sorrow ... ***
[S1] (29.0) tatra katamat karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalo narakeṣûpapanna-mātra eva cyavati. ucyate. (29.1) ihaîkatyena nārakīyam karma krtam bhavaty upacitaṃ ca. sa tat kṛtvâstīryati jihrīyati vigarhati vijugupsati ācasṭe deśayati vyaktī-karoti. āyatyāṃ saṃva-
ram āpadyate. na punah kurute. sa cen narakeş̂papadyate upapanna-mâtra eva cyavati ... (29.2) idam karma yena samanvāgataḥ pudgalo narakesûpapanna-mātra eva cyavati.
(33.2) *** [Farhad Beg 05 r1] ]mahäte (33.3) ṣä'ṣä karmä tcamäna hve' cä[stä șṭānä suhauttä u ysāḍä ṣṭānä dukhauttä hämäte .]
(33.2) *** ... (33.3) This is the act by which a man [becomes blessed when he is/ young land distressed when he is old J.
[S1] (33.0) katamat karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalaḥ pūrvam sukhito bhūtvā paścād duḥkhito bhavati. ucyate. (33.1) ihaîkatyo dānam yācitah samānaḥ pūrvam prahrṣtah pratijān̄̄te pramudito dadāti. dattvā ca khalu pratisārī bhavati. (33.2) sa yadā manuṣyeṣûpapadyate āḍhyeṣu mahā-dhaneṣu mahā-bhogeṣu kuleșûpapadyate. paścat tasya te bhogāḥ parikṣayam paryādānam gacchanti. sa paścād daridro bhavati ... (33.3) idaṃ karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalah pūrvam sukhito bhūtvā paścād duḥkhito bhavati.
(34.0) [kāmä ṣä karmä tcamäna] [r2] [hve' cistä ștānä dukhauttä hämäte u ysạ̣̄ä ștānä] suhauttä hämäte . (34.1) kye ṣä hve' kuī ye [ $\pm$ 29] [r3] [ $\pm$ 15] hauru hūḍu tīndä ttīyä sīrä hämäte [.] (34.2 $\approx$ ) [ṣä hve' $\pm 26][\mathbf{r 4 ]}[ \pm 16]$. u ku ysāḍä hämäte ttīyä vā su[hauttä hämäte .] (34.3) [ṣä’ṣä karmä tcamäna hve' cistä șṭānä dukhauttä hämäte u ysāḍä sțānä suhauttä] [v1] [hämäte .]
(34.0) [Which is the act by which a man becomes distressed when he is young and] becomes blessed [when he is old]? (34.1) Any man, when someone ... him ... he has given a gift, then he becomes content. (34.2 $\approx$ ) /That man] ... and when he becomes old, then, on the contrary, he [becomes] blessed. (34.3) [This is the act by which a man becomes distressed when he is young and becomes blessed when he is old.]
[S1] (34.0) katamat karma yena samanvāgataḥ pudgalah pūrvam duḥkhito bhūtvā paścāt sukhito bhavati. ucyate. (34.1) ihaîkatyo dānam samādāya yācitaḥ samānaḥ pratijānīte. kṛcchreṇa dadāti. dattvā tu dānam paścāt prītim utpādayati. (34.2) sa yadā manuṣyeṣûtpadyate daridreṣu kuleṣûtpadyate. tasya paścāt te bhogā abhiṿ́ddhim gacchanti ...
(34.3) idaṃ karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalaḥ pūrvam duḥkhito bhūtvā paścāt sukhito bhavati.
(35.0) [kāmä ṣä karmä tcamäna hve' hā ci]stä ṣtānä suhauttä u hā ysāḍä ștānä [.] (35.1) [ $\pm 28$ [ [22] [ $\pm$ 15] ku hūḍu yīndä numānī /ne\} hämäte . (35.2 $\approx$ ) ṣä [hve' $\pm 28$ ] [v3] [ $\pm 14$ hä]mäte u tsāttara: (35.3) șei'ṣä karmä tcamna [hve' hā cistä ștānä suhauttä u hā ysāḍä sṭānä .]
(35.0) [Which is the act by which a manj is blessed both when he is young and when he is old? (35.1) ... when he has given, regret does not arise in him. (35.2 $\approx$ ) This [man] ... becomes ... and richer. (35.3) This is the act by which [a man is blessed both when he is young and when he is old].
[S1] (35.0) katamat karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalah pūrvaṃ ca paścāc ca sukhito bhavati. ucyate. (35.1) ihaîkatyo dānaṃ yācitaḥ sa prahrṣtah pratijān̄̄̄te prahrṣ़̦o dadāti. dattvâpi ca prîtimān bhavati. (35.2) sa yadā manuṣyeṣûpapadyate āḍhyeṣu kuleṣ̂papapadyate mahā-dhaneṣu mahā-bhogeṣu ... (35.3) idaṃ karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalaḥ pūrvaṃ ca paścāc ca sukhito bhavati.
(36.0) [kāmä ṣä karmä tcamäna hve' hā cistä sṭānä] [v4] [dukhauttä u hā ysāḍä șṭ̄nä .] (36.1 ~) [+ ++++++ ne hau]ru heḍä ustamātu tcaramu śye [ $\pm 29$ ]
(36.0) [Which is the act by which a man is distressed both when he is young and when he is old?] (36.1 $\approx$ ) ... he does [not] give a gift (and) even at last ... ***
[S1] (36.0) katamat karma yena samanvāgataḥ pudgalaḥ pūrvaṃ ca paścāc ca duḥkhito bhavati. ucyate. (36.1) ihaîkatyah kalyānamitra virahito bhavati. sa dānam na dadāti. na ca tena kimcit pāpakam karma kṛtam bhavati. (36.2) sa yadā manuṣyeṣûpapadyate daridreṣu kuleṣûpapadyate alpânna-pāna-bhojaneṣu ... (36.3) idaṃ karma yena samanvāgataḥ pudgalah pūrvaṃ ${ }^{80}$ ca paścāc ca duḥkhito bhavati.
*** (52.11 = 64.11) [missing folio v4] [ttätä dasau hāva ce balsa kṣa][Dumaqu 0021 r1] [tru bañätä] I|
*** (52.11 = 64.11) /These are the ten advantages (for him) who fastens an umbrella to a stūpa /
[S1] (64.0) katame daśânuśaṃsā́s chattra-pradānasya. ucyate. (64.1) chattra-bhūto bhavati lokasya. (64.2) anavatapto bhavati kāyena. (64.3) anavatapto bhavati cittena. (64.4) ādhipatya-sampartaniyaṃ cânena karma ḳtam bhavaty upacitam. (64.5) punah punaś ca rājā bhavati cakravartī. (64.6) maheśākhyo bhavati. (64.7) maheśākhyaiḥ sattvaih samãgamo bhavati. (64.8) buddhair buddha-śrāvakaiś ca samāgamo bhavati. (64.9) mahā-bhogo bhavati. (64.10) svargeṣûpapadyate. (64.11) kṣipraṃ ca parinirvāti ... (64.12) ime daśânuśamsāśs chattra-pradānasya.
$(53.0=65.0)$ [Dumaqu 0021 v4] kāmä ttätä dasau hāva ce balśa gei'tau' bañätä ( $53.1=65.1$ ) [Dumaqu 0121 r1] [paḍā ṣä cu dätä]na śśärä hämäte . $(53.2-3=$ ?) śäte ṣä [ + $-] \bar{i}[++++++++++]$ [r2] $[+++]$ ysūṣka ttä $-\overline{\mathrm{a}}[+]$-ā $[++++++++++++$ $+++](53.4=$ ?) [r3] [tcū]ramä ṣä cu a $[+++++++++$ +++++++.$](53.5=$ 65.5) [pū][r4][hä ṣä] cvī salāvä nāśä $h[$ ämä]t[e]. $\quad(53.6=$ ?) $[k] s ̣[e i]$ '[mä ṣä +++++++ $++++++](53.7=65.6)[v 1]$ [hauda]mä ṣä cu haṃ virä [suhajä hämäte .] ( $53.8=65.9$ ) [u hașṭamä ṣä cu tsātä hämäte .] (53.9 = 65.8) [naumä] [ 27 ] [ṣä] cu gyastuvo' ysamp[thu nāste .] (53.10 = 65.10) [dasamä ṣä cu thatau saṃ-
$(53.0=65.0)$ Which are the ten advantages (for him) who fastens a bell to a stūpa? (53.1 = 65.1) [The first is that] he becomes good in appearance. (53.2-3 = ?) The second is ... pleasant those ... (53.4 = ?) The fourth is that ... $(53.5=$ 65.5) [The fifth] is that he has an agreeable speech. $(53.6=$ ?) The sixth is ... $(53.7=65.6)$ The seventh is that he [becomes] always [joyful]. $(53.8=65.9)$ [And the eighth is that he becomes wealthy.] $(53.9=65.8)$ [The ninth] is that he [takes] birth among the gods. $(53.10=65.10)$ [The tenth is that he quickly goes out of samsāra] $(53.11=65.11)$ [These] are the ten advantages [(for him) who fastens a bell to a stūpaj.
tsāru narāmäte .] $(53.11=65.11)$
[03] [ttätä] dasau hāva [ce balśa
gei'tau' bañätä II]
[S1] (65.0) katame daśânuśamsā ghaṇtā-pradānasya. ucyate. (65.1) abhirūpo bhavati. (65.2) susvaro bhavati. (65.3) manojña-bhāṣị bhavati. (65.4) kalavinkka-ruta-bhāṣị bhavati. (65.5) ādeya-vākyo bhavati. (65.6) nityam samprahārṣa-jāto ${ }^{81}$ bhavati. (65.7) punah punar ānandaṃ śabdạ̣ śṛ̣oti. (65.8) svargeṣ̂papadyate. (65.9) mahā-bhogaś ca bhavati. (65.10) kṣipraṃ ca parinirvāti ... (65.11) ime daśa guṇā ghanṭā-pradānasya.
(54.0 = 68.0) [Dumaqu 0021 r1] kā$\mathrm{m}[a ̈]$ ttä dasau hāva $c[\mathrm{e}$ ha]mau hauru heḍä $(54.1=68.1)$ paḍā ṣä cu trāmī [r2] [patī buljse p]ārahāre kho hamauya raysä. $(54.2$ *) u śätä ṣä cu ttaradarna śśä[r]i [r3] [hämä]t[e] . $(54.3 \neq)$ u dädä ṣä cu snidūn[ä] hämäte dätena. (54.4 $=68.3$ ) $\bar{u}$ tcūramä ṣä $c u$ [r4] [tta]rrāyätä nä [hämä]te. (54.5 = 68.4) [u pūhä ṣ]ä [kv]ī ttarrä hämäte pattarrai vāre nä [v1] [v]äșṭätä . (54.6 $\neq$ ) u kṣei'mä ṣä cu pārysā panastạa ne kūśät[ä .] (54.7 = 68.5) u h[au]dam[ä] ṣä cu prī$y v[0]$ ' [ 2 ] [ysaṃthu] ne nāste . (54.8 = 68.7) u haștamä ṣä cu tsātä hämäte.$(54.9=68.8) \mathrm{u}$ naumä ṣä cu gya[v3][stuvo'] hiśtä . (54.10 = 68.9) u dasamä ṣä cu
(54.0 = 68.0) Which are the ten advantages (for him) who gives a vessel as a gift? $(54.1=68.1)$ The first is that [virtues] are so established [in him] as juice in a vessel. (54.2 $\neq$ ) And the second is that he becomes good in body. (54.3 \#) And the third is that he becomes smooth in appearance. $(54.4=$ 68.3) And the fourth is that he does not become thirsty. $(54.5=$ 68.4) [And the fifth] is that, when he becomes thirsty, drink is not absent for him. $(54.6 \neq)$ And the sixth is that he does not (have to) look for servants (who have gone) missing. (54.7 = 68.5) And the seventh is that he does not take [birth] among the ghosts. (54.8 = 68.7) And the eighth is that he be-

[^27]thatau samtsār[u] narāmäte II comes wealthy. $(54.9=68.8)$ And (54.11 = 68.10) ttätä da[v4][sau hā]va ce hamau hauru heḍä II the ninth is that he arrives among the gods. $(54.10=68.9)$ And the tenth is that he quickly goes out of samsāra. $(54.11=68.10)$ These are the ten advantages (for him) who gives a vessel as a gift.
[S1] (68.0) katame daśânuśamsā bhājana-pradānasya. ucyate. (68.1) bhājana-bhūto bhavati guṇānām. (68.2) snigdha-samtatir bhavati. (68.3) na ca trṣā-bahulo bhavati. (68.4) tṛṣârtasya pānīyam prādurbhavati. (68.5) na ca preteṣupapadyate. (68.6) bhājanair avaikalyam bhavati. (68.7) mahā-bhogaś ca bhavati. (68.8) svargeṣupapadyate. (68.9) kṣipram ca parinirvāti. (68.10) ime daśânuśaṃsā bhājana-pradānasya.
(55.0 = 69.0) [Dumaqu 0121 v 3 ] [kāmä ttä dasau hāva ce khāysu] [v4] [hauru] hedä $(55.1=69.5)$ paḍā ṣä cu dāra-js[ī]n[ī] h[ä]m[äte .] (55.2) $[++++++++++$ $+++]^{* * *}$
$(55.0=69.0)$ /Which are the ten advantages (for him) whol gives Ifood as a gift]? $(55.1=69.5)$ The first is that he becomes long-lived. (55.2) ... ***
[S1] (69.0) katame daśânuśamsā bhojana-pradānasya. ucyate. (69.1) balavān bhavati. (69.2) varṇavān bhavati. (69.3) sukhito bhavati. (69.4) pratibhānavān bhavati. (69.5) dīrghâyur bhavati. (69.6) mahā-janâbhigamyo bhavati. (69.7) priya-darśano bhavati. (69.8) mahā-bhogaś ca bhavati. (69.9) svargeṣûpapadyate. (69.10) kṣipram ca parinirvāti ... (69.11) ime daśa guṇā bhojana-pradānasya.

FK 210.5 Do. 17

| $[\mathrm{a} 1]$ | [] |
| :--- | :--- |
| $[\mathrm{a} 2]$ | [] |
| $[\mathrm{a} 3]$ | $] \mathrm{m} \ddot{ }$ |
| $[\mathrm{a} 4]$ | $] t[-]$ |

[FK 211.2 Do. 55]
[a1] ]u tcūramä ṣä ku bilsaṃ- ... and the fourth is when ... of the ggī $[$ order ...
[a2] ] + u -ä ttä tä pra dī [
[a3] -]i [
[a4] []
[b1] []
[b2] ] + [
[b3] ] + s- ri buljse hvā[n̄äte ... he tells the virtues ...
[b4] ] du na ka udvīyu yạnde [ ... he experiences disgust ...

## COMMENTARY

For the critical signs used in the commentary see pp. 25-26. Punctuation has been omitted in quotations from Khotanese texts other than KV. Tibetan is transliterated according to T. Wylie's system. In the commentary and in the glossary the following grammatical abbreviations have been used: $\mathbf{A}=$ accusative; $\mathbf{f}=$ feminine; $\mathbf{G D}=$ genitive-dative; $\mathbf{I A}=$ instrumental-ablative; $\mathbf{L}=$ locative; $\mathbf{m}=$ masculine; $\mathbf{N}=$ nominative; $\mathbf{P}=$ plural; $\mathbf{S}=$ singular. Other abbreviations are obvious.

## 0.1

In $K T$ 5.299 Bailey read āmuro jsino, which becomes simply āmuro jsīno 'short life' in Dict. 19 s.v. āmura-. The manuscript clearly shows $\bar{a} m u r a-j s i \bar{n} \bar{i}$ [hämä]t $/ e]$, however. The remnants of the vowel mark in $n \bar{i}$, though scanty, assure the reading $-\bar{i}$ and not $-o$. The reading adopted here is also supported by the occurrence of the same phrase in KV 1.0 āmura-jsīnī hämäte and 1.11 āmura-j/sjinnī hämäte. The adjective āmura-jsinia- 'short-lived' can accordingly be added to the compounds with -jsīnia- '-lived' listed in Suffixe 124.

In $K T$ 5.299 Bailey proposed the reading [dāro] jsino byehätä. In the lacuna, a form of dāra- has to be reconstructed with Bailey, but the phrase is different, as shown by the corresponding passage in § 2.0 : kāmä ṣä karmä tca[mäna hve' dāra-jsī]nī hämäte. See the examples of the compound adjective dāra-jsīnia- and its derivative dāra-jsīnyauña'longevity' in Dict. 157 s.v. dāra- and in Suffixe 124 and 162, to which also KV 55.1 dāra-js $[\bar{l}] \mathrm{n}[\bar{l}]$ may now be added.

## 0.3

In $K T$ 5.299 Bailey has the reading byächätä with $-t$ - and this reading is repeated in Dict. 16 s.v. āchai and 307 s.v. byāchäta- (byāchäta- also in Suffixe 242). In the case of Khot. (IO) 2 r2 the final akṣara of byāchänä is damaged in such a way that it is not possible to determine whether it should be read as $t a ̈$ or nä, but the reading byāchänä has been preferred because the new occurrence of the word in KV 3.11 byächänä shows a very clear $n$. This made me suspicious also of the other occurrence of the word in Suv K 65 r3 KT 5.116 (Suv 12.40) byächäta 'diseased'. No photograph of the Suv folio is available to me, but P. O. Skjærvø has kindly checked for me the photograph in his possession and confirms that the correct reading is in fact byächäna (letter of 27 June 1994). The adjective is thus an -äna(a)- derivative from āchaa- 'disease' parallel to āchänaa- 'ill' (see Suffixe 126, cf. also $\bar{a} c h a ̈ n a-k a$ - 'sick' and $\bar{a} c h i n-\bar{u} d a$ - 'disease-ridden').

## 0.4

The $m$ in the verb at the end of the sentence is almost certain, thus suggesting the restoration [hä]mä, a Late Khotanese form (cf. Hed. 4.11 KT 4.24 hämä and see SGS 199) or, more probably, a mistake for [hä]mä $\langle t e>$. The restoration is made uncertain, however, by the fact that in § 4.0 and in § 4.11 the verb is missing: tta kāmä ṣä karmi tcamäna hve' ham v [ätä] drūnai 'Thus, which is the act by which a man is always healthy?' and, respectively, tt[ältä dasau pracyā tcamäna hve' oṣku vä[tä drūnai] 'These are the ten causes by which a man is always [healthy]'.

## 0.7

The adjective dukhätä has been restored in the gap to correspond with Skt alpa-bhoga ${ }^{\circ}$ (see also S1, S2 § 11). In § 0.39 dukhätä corresponds to Skt daridra- as also dukhätä in Sgh 162.2, dukhäta in Sgh
200.6 and dukhya in P 3513.71 v4 (Suv 3.82). In P 3513.61 r 3 and 63 v 4 (Suv 3.5 and 3.24) dukhättauña- 'misery, poverty' translates Skt däridrya-

$$
0.9
$$

For the suggested restoration nyaśśä cf. Z 21.12 niyaśśe 'despicable', H 142 NS $77+$ H 142 NS 80 v3 KT 5.102 (Jñ) ryaśśa 'despicable' (N 152.34 'verächtlich') and, for its meaning, P 2741.1 KT 2.87 násä bisä 'humble servant' «parallel to Tib epistolary phrase bdag nan-pa» (KT 6.145 s.v. nyas-), P 2897.26 KT 2.115 naśa prravai 'humble mendicant', P 2958.132 KT 2.118 ñaśi hve 'humble man', P 5538 b66 KT 3.123 asūrai naśa satta translating Skt b65 aśū/[ca]/ca hīna satva (i.e. aśuci hinam sattvam) 'unclean, base person’. Cf. 0.50 nyas[śä] 'despicable'.

### 0.11

The restoration bahojsä is hypothetical as this adjective is not attested as an antonym of balonda- and because other adjectives meaning 'powerless' exist: cf. for instance Suv K 65 r7 KT 5.116 (Suv 12.44) u naṣtiśa uysnora hämāre duṣśchata ahovana u duṣäta' 'And the beings will be without splendour, have bad complexion, little power and strength' and v1 (Suv 12.45) bahojsa dìra atica gyäta-usā uysnaura hämäre 'the beings will be powerless, inferior, inactive (and) devoid of endurance'. On dìra- 'weak' see Emmerick, Studies 1.55-56 and Maggi (forthcoming 1).

### 0.15

On the states of existence (Skt gati-) in Khotanese texts cf. Z 11.9-11 pamjsa *ggatä (MS gätä) ku buro ni hīskya panin̄a ggata storä dukhautta narya käde kārane store prrīya käḍe ttarrā u kṣūta ttäryasúnyānä jadī mästä u śśūj̄̄̀ye puvai'ndi ysare maraṇa āchai kāṣce ttä mara dukha hvamduvo' mästa gyastänu stora cavana-dharma 'There are five gatis. Wherever their [i.e. the beings'] arrival is, they are greatly distressed in every gati. In hell there are very severe torments. The Pretas are very
thirsty and hungry. There is great ignorance among the animals, and they fear one another. Old age, death, disease, anxieties, these are the great woes here among men. For the gods the cyavanadharmas are terrible', and Suv K 65 v6-7 $K T 5.116$ (Suv 12.50) śśärna härna yäḍäna uysnaura vavajīndä gyastuvo' $u$ hvam'duvo' u dïma härna vā tsīndä narya u prīuvo' trīyasuunä 'By doing good things beings are reborn among gods and men. And due to bad things they go to hell, or to the ghosts (or) to the animal state', where only five are listed with omission of the asuras and by grouping of the gods of the three spheres (cf. P 3513.79 r $4 K B T$ 63 (Desanā) pajsa ge' satva 'the beings in the five gatis').

$$
0.24
$$

The verb yande 3 Spres. mid. in this paragraph and in the following two ( 0.25 yande, 0.26 yande) shows the distinction between the active (yïndä 3 S pres. act.) and the middle diathesis that has left abundant traces in Khotanese (see Canevascini 1991).

$$
0.26
$$

The copyist has written § 0.25 twice, omitting the negations of § 0.26 . The text has been restored according to the Sanskrit version.
 copyist had just repeated $\S 0.25$ by omitting the negatives in $\S 0.26$, he may have written $n a ̈$ 'not' in anticipation of $\S 0.28$.

Though the Khotanese corresponds here loosely with the Sanskrit and presents us with a curious wording, the correpondence is in my opinion beyond doubt.

Bailey's tentative interpretation «tta spāsäte tterku ttä uysnaura hūśtä 'so he looks, so much comes to these beings'» (Dict. 437 s.v. spāśś-, cf. KT 5.299 hve' tta spās̈äte tterku ttä uysnaura hiśstä) has to be corrected accordingly.

The spelling ttīye 'then' is exceptional, as pointed out to me by $\mathbf{P}$. $\mathbf{O}$. Skjærvø, because the adverb is usually spelled ttīyä or ttitü, whereas ttīye is the contracted form of the GDS of the reduplicated demonstrative pronoun șäta- 'that'. The usual ttīyä is certainly to be read also in Macartney b v1 (KV 0.31) in place of Bailey's ttīye (KT 5.300). The spelling ttīye instead of ttīyä 'then' has possibly been influenced by the common occurrence of both ttīyä and ttīye as GDS 'of that'.

In §§ 0.30-32 the verb parśtä 'he escapes’ surprisingly corresponds to Skt cyavati. The latter is translated variously by Lévi: 0.27 ( $=\mathrm{Kh} .0 .30$ ) 'y renaît' (i.e. again in hells!), 0.28 ( $=\mathbf{K h} .0 .31$ ) 'change de naissance', and 0.29 ( $=$ Kh. 0.32) 'reprend ... une autre naissance'. In Buddhist texts, the verb means 'to move (from one state of existence to another), to transmigrate' (cf. Sgh p. 144) so that Lév's translation 'y renaît' in § 0.27 is wrong. Edgerton 234 assigns cyavati the general meaning 'falls (to a lower existence)' but this translation does not suit all the contexts as clearly shown by the KV passages under consideration: since there is indeed no lower existence than in hells, it is impossible to fall still lower. To interpret Skt cyu-more widely as 'to move (to another state of existence)' also allows to understand why the Khotanese text has parśtä 'he escapes'. Because existence in hell is the worst, to move from it is the same as to be delivered, unfortunately only from hell, not from samsāra. The Kuchean version has both lait- 'to fall' (K3 a4 [twice]) and tsälp- 'to escape, be delivered' (K3 a1 [twice], b3: see Lévi 1933, 86-87, 125, 152, Sieg 1938, 10-11, and Krause and Thomas 1964, 67-68, 236, 262).

### 0.31

Bailey interprets śuvo' as a form of śäta- 'second' (see Dict. 399 s.v.) and devotes to it the following entry in Dict. 402-403: «suvo' 'second', loc. pl., v 300, 3v1 śuvo' ysa<ṃth >vo narya jsīna därysde u ttīye parśtä 'in second births he holds life in the naraka-world and then escapes'. See śäta-» (cf. Bailey's reading śuvo' ysa/ṃthjvo etc. in KT 5.300). The translation 'in second births' is unconvincing because it conveys no particular meaning in a text on the rewarding of acts in future births: one wonders why the phrase occurs only here and not in connection with the rewarding of other acts. Though the manuscript is defective here, it rather supports the reading suvo' $\mathrm{j} / \sin o]_{\mathrm{AS}}$ so that the Khotanese passage corresponds exactly to Skt asti karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalo *narakeșūpapanno *'rdhanairayikam āyuh kṣapayitvā cyavati. Thus, Kh. śuvo' translates Skt ardha- 'half' and is simply the adjective śuvo' 'half, middle' (Dict. 402).

### 0.32

I consider $n a$ to be a variant spelling of the emphatic particle ne (see Dict. 172 s.v. na particle, and 190 s.vv. ne 'surely' and nai particle) used alongside with the adversative particle $v \bar{a}$ (see Dict. 382 s.v. $v \bar{a}$ particle).

$$
0.35-0.36
$$

In §§ $0.36,0.46,0.47$ and 35.0 we find the correlative conjunctions $h \bar{a} \ldots u h \bar{a} . .$. 'both ... and ...' (to be restored also in §§ $0.35,35.3$ and 36.0). They are perfectly readable in $\S 0.46$. Another instance is quoted by Bailey from Śgs in Dict. 476-477 s.v. hā 'thither, yonder': «K 4, 141v1 ṣä hā gyastānu u hā hva'ndānu hāvu yu<du> ${ }^{82}$ yäd $<e>$ 'he had done good to deva gods and to men'». Bailey leaves both hä untranslated as we mostly do when the Khotanese directional particle $h \bar{a}$ occurs. This

[^28]passage is more carefully translated by Emmerick as 'He had done good for both devas and men' (Śgs p. 35, my emphasis). Though the equation was not pointed out by Emmerick in the glossary (Śgs p. 132 s.v. hā), it is apparent that $\mathrm{Kh} . h \bar{a}$... $u h \bar{a}$... exactly corresponds to Tib. dang bcas pa'i 'together with' (not merely dang 'and') in des lha dang bcas pa'i 'jig rten gyi don mdzad nas (\$gs p. 107; Bailey omits dang in Dict.). A similar use of $h \bar{a}$ is found in Sgh 99 [16] mātaru jsataimä hā pätaru. As the verb $j s a n-$ 'to kill' is not usually accompanied by the directional particle $h \bar{a}$, Canevascini's translation 'I killed (my) mother, also (my) father' is quite correct. ${ }^{83}$ Thus, the directional particle $h \bar{a}$ and the correlative conjunction $h \bar{a}$ in $h \bar{a} \ldots u h \bar{a} \ldots$ are probably better regarded as two different words.

### 0.37

Skt matsarin-, that does not only mean 'jealous, envious, wicked, bad' (MW 776) in Buddhist texts but also 'miserly, mean' (T1 has ser sna can 'miser' in § 37 corresponding to Skt matsarin-; cf. Pāli maccharin'selfish, envious, greedy', Davids and Stede 1921-1925, 514), suggests the restoration kada[rī], NSm to kadaria-, from Skt kadarya- 'avaricious, miserly, stingy, niggardly' (MW 247), 'evil, wicked' (Edgerton 166). Khotanese -ia- regularly renders Skt -ya- (see Suffixe 116 § 14.A.5.2).

$$
0.38
$$

For the restored patätsānai corresponding to Skt tyāgavat- cf. Suv K 33 v6-7 KT 5.111 (Suv 6.2.64) ce bihīyu tsāta ttä patätsānā hämāre 'Those who are very rich, those will be liberal', Skt mahā-bhogāni câmatsarāni ca (var. om. ca) bhaviṣyanti parityāgavanti bhavị̧yanti (var. parityāgam kurvanti ca).

[^29]In the lacuna at the end of § 0.39 I have restored patätsānai 'generous' and not kadañ, because the combination «poor + mean» occurs, in the Sanskrit manuscripts, in the synopsis of MS B only (see Lévi 31 n .1 ), whereas the corresponding paragraph is totally absent from both MS A and B; it does not occur in the two Tibetan versions either, though it is present in the Chinese and Kuchean versions taken into account by Lèvi (see the «Tableau comparatif», p. 16-17).

$$
0.40
$$

The text of the fragment Harvard 3.4 a1 (MS C) diverges slightly as it has hve' jye 'a man has disappeared' to correspond with [hvam']däye jsīna jäta ‘a man's life has disappeared’ of MS A (Khot (IO) 5 \# Macartney e r3-4). This does not seem a sufficient reason, however, to conclude that MS C contained a version of KV different from A .

$$
0.48
$$

praysātūnä is the first Old Khotanese occurrence of this adjective (on which see Suffixe 171) already attested in LKh. P 3513.72 r1 KT 1.248 (Suv 3.83) prraysāvūna.

For the restoration tca[rṣū käd̈a] in the context of § 0.48 , cf. Z 22.124 dätäna käde tcarṣuva hvą'ndä daśyo baśdyau jsa pathīya 'Very brilliant in appearance will men be, having refrained from the ten evils', and H 147 NS 128 v 4 KT 5.58 biśśä-padya tcarșū käde chate jsa briy[ū]ni 'in every way very splendid in complexion (and) lovely'.

In Dict. 106 s.v. cha, Bailey concludes his entry with the following etymology: «From palatalized khau- 'to cover' with suffix chavā-, to IE Pok. 951-3 (s)keu- : sku-, Greek $\sigma \kappa$ ṽтos 'skin', O.Ind. chavi- 'skin' (IE skheu-); rather than loan-word from O.Ind. chavi- with shift to the $-\bar{a}$ stem». On the origin of Skt chavi- see EWAia 1.557. As for the Khotanese word, I see no benefit in postulating an Iranian origin for it since there is nothing in the Iranian languages it may be directly
compared with. Z 21.32 chate jsa was «compared» with Skt chavialready by Leumann (E 425 s.v., doubts by Konow 1939, 50) and the Indian origin of the word was explicitly stated by Konow 1941, 90 s.v. cha- and by Dresden (JS p. 474 s.v. che). The very fact that chate jsa does not show palatalisation (*chite jsa) indicates that it is a loanword (cf. Hitch 1990, 178-180). It is also unnecessary to posit a shift from the $-i$ - to the $-\bar{a}$ - declension because all of the occurrences of the word may be accounted for as forms of a stem chati-.

For another instance of ce halci with a plural verb cf. H 147 NS 111 r2 (Sgh 95.1) kye halci balysūñavūysa a indä = Macartney 36 r2 kyerä halci balysūñavūysā īndä 'whatever Bodhisattvas there are'.

The last two akṣaras in FK 210.19 Do. 31 r4 can be read only as ndai ndä. The preceding halci is certain so that either one or more aksaras have been omitted (but I do not know any verb which could end in -ndaindä), or more likely ndai has been written for dai possibly under the influence of the immediately following ndä: the verb daindä 'they see' suits the context. Note also Z 8.14 ndai occurring beside Z 8.13 dai 3S opt. act. 'he may see', and SI P 65.2 a1 ndahīn̄ä 'male' (Emmerick and Vorob'ëva-Desjatovskaja, forthcoming). One wonders whether such spellings might be interpreted as inverse to e.g. ttaradarna for ttarandarna (see below on 54.2) which would lend support to Sims-Williams' suggestion $(1989,168)$ that Saka (Khotanese and Tumshuqese) $d$ - and $b$ - could in fact represent the voiced stops $/ \mathrm{d} /$ and $/ \mathrm{b} /$ in initial position. On the other hand, there are also instances of double initial $n n-$, as R. E. Emmerick reminds me, e.g. Z nnaunu 'gently' and SI M 13.6 \# 13.12 v2 (Suv 14.29) nnihalamāna 'humble' (Emmerick and Vorob'ëva-Desjatovskaja, forthcoming). This would suggest, however, that the spellings $n d$ - and $n n$ - are rather connected with the dental character of the consonants.

### 0.49

The loanword lukṣa- 'rough', ultimately from Skt. rūkṣa-' (cf. Edgerton 455 s.v. ruccha- and 463 s.v. lūkha- etc.), occurs also in three variants of Suv 16.39 (N 76.2 lukṣä, Kha. ix 57.2 lukṣ/ä], and H 147 NS 115 v5 KT $5.56 l[u] k s(a ̈])$ and ten times with the Late Khotanese spelling loksa(')- in Si 4v1, 16v2, 19v5, 20r1, 131v1, 133v4, 140r1, 142r1, 155 v 3 (three times) $K T 1,8,26,30,32,64,68,78,82,102$ (three times) (1.14, 3.19, 3.25.3, 3.25.7, 21.19, 22.6, 24.15, 25.2, 26.82, 26.83 [twice]).

The adjective abryavīa-, attested here for the first time, is derived by the negative prefix $a$ - from bryavīya- 'lovely' (see Suffixe 311). It shows that also -vitya- adjectives may be made negative by adding privative $a$ - to them.

### 0.50

Lévi renders Skt jihmêndriyo ... avyaktêndrìyah by 'avec ... les sens obtus, les organes indistincts' (p. 141.24-25 and 30-31). Edgerton 243 s.v. jihma- offers the translation 'of dull and obscure senses' for this passage. On the other hand, the meaning 'ignorant' is given for avyakta- in the first place by Edgerton 79 s.v., and the Khotanese translator may well have interpreted a possible jihma-avyakta- in the Sanskrit original as 'dull and ignorant', which he approximately rendered by cai [ne] ttatvatu aksarä nyāpäte ... 'such that in reality [no] syllable is known to him ...'. A gap follows at the beginning of Macartney d r1 where Bailey reads without any hesitation + ce späte bïrä that could only mean 'who may bring flowers'. Though there are some very uncertain traces of aksaras, I cannot read anything. The only certain thing is that there is room only for three akṣaras as opposed to Bailey's six akṣaras. Moreover, the gift of flowers is unexpected here because it occurs later in § 0.59 . In my opinion, the lost akṣaras were the end of § 0.50 , because folio ${ }^{*} 14$, consisting of the fragments Macartney d and FK 210.20 Do. 32 r1, was immediately preceded by folio ${ }^{*} 13$, consisting of the fragments Macartney c and FK 210.19 Do. 31 (see p. 53 n. 61), so that we may
infer that there was no Khotanese text corresponding with $\$ 80.51-0.62$ of the Sanskrit version.

Cf. the Khotanese Pradakṣiṇā-sūtra in Ch. 0048.14-71 KBT 72-74 (translated in Bailey 1974) where the Elder Sāriputra asks the Buddha about the advantages arising cū yā biśa ttauda jsāvä, i.e. 'when one circumambulates a stūpa' (lines 16-17). On the pradaksinīā and in general on the visits to the stūpa in ancient Buddhism see Bénisti 1960, 55-56 and Bareau 1962, 251.

For general information on the gifts to the stūpa and the expectations connected with them in ancient Buddhism, see Bénisti 1960, 54-55 and Bareau 1962, 249-250. On the gift of umbrellas to a stūpa see Bareau 1962, 244-245.

### 0.53

On the gift of bells to a stūpa see the mention in Bareau 1962, 246 under «Offrandes diverses».

Bailey reads kye balśuvo' bañätä in KT 5.298 and keeps this reading in Dict. 272 s.v. balsa-, where he proposes the interpretation 'who in shrines makes (bells?)'. In fact, kye balśa go' bañätä is clearly legible. It is convenient to consider here also Dumaqu 0021 v4 (KV 53.0) kāmä ttätä dasau hāva ce balśa gei'tau' bañätä for which Bailey offers three partial interpretations (and three different readings for gei'tau'):

1. 'what are these ten advantages for him who fastens bells on a caityashrine (Dict. 83 s.v. gätā'ka-; reading gä'tau' as in $K T$ 5.296); and 'he [who] fastens bells on the caitya-monument' (Dict. 90 s.v. gai'; reading gätau');
2. 'who makes bells ring at the shrine' (Dict. 266 s.v. bañätä with reference to ban- 'to lament' [see Dict. 268 s.v.]; reading gä'tau');
3. 'he who makes bells in the shrine (rather 'makes to sound')' (Dict. 272 s.v. balsa-; reading gatau').

The first interpretation is to be recommended, as it would be difficult 'to cause to ring (or lament)' an umbrella (see 0.52 dasau hāva ce balśa kṣatru bañätä 'Ten are the advantages (for him) who fastens an umbrella to a stūpa'). This bañätä is thus nothing but the 3S pres. act. of the common verb bañ- 'to bind' < Old Iranian *band-aya- (see $S G S$ 92). The entry bañätä 'makes sound (?)' can accordingly be deleted from Dict.

Bailey basically reads gä'tau' whereas the manuscript has gei'tau'. The vowel mark on the first syllable is slightly damaged but it can be easily recognised as -ei as confirmed by comparison with v1 ksei'ma on the same folio.
go' and particularly gei'tau' require comment, and it may be useful to collect here and tabulate all the occurrences of the word. The singular forms are to be assigned to the stem ggätā'a- and the plural forms to the stem ggätā'ka-. Theoretically, also a singular stem *gäta'awould be possible, but the $-\bar{a}$ - of the plural stem suggest a singular stem in $-\bar{a} a$-. In the plural, the $-k$ - has been kept or reintroduced because of the need to keep singular and plural distinct. In the singular, all forms have lost the suffixal $-k$ - with consequent generalised contraction of the endings with the preceding $-\bar{a}$ - (see the rules of contraction in SGS 296). Most of the singular forms have undergone further contraction with the exception only of the uncontracted AS gei'tau' < 'gätā'ku, that further contracted to go'. The vowel -ei- in gei'tau' is a variant spelling for $-\ddot{a}$ (cf. Z 24.645 jsei'ṇu vīrä and Z 10.31 jsäṇu vīr 'in detail', cf. Emmerick, Studies 2.45).

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N | gai' | NA ggätā'ka, ggā'ka |
| A | go', gei'tau', gai |  |

IA gākyau, gākyau
NS

1. gai': < 'gätā’kä. LKh. P 5538 b72 KT 3.124 gai'.

AS

1. go': < *gätā'ku. OKh. Macartney d r2 KT 5.298 (KV 0.53) go'.
2. gai: $\quad=$ NS. LKh. Ch. 0048.19 KBT 72 gai.
3. gei'tau': Uncontracted < "gätā'ku. OKh. Dumaqu 0021 v4 KT 5.296 (KV 53.0) gei'tau'.

GDS

1. gai': < *gätā'ki. LKh. Khot. (IO) 02 b4 KT 5.304 gai'.

NAP

1. ggā'ka: OKh. Z 15.113 ggā ${ }^{\prime} k a$.
2. ggätā'ka: Uncontracted. OKh. Z 14.83 ggätā'ka.

IAP

1. gā'kyau: OKh. Balawaste 0152 b3 KT 3.131 gā'kyau.
2. gāakyau: LKh. P 2895.25 KT 3.40 gākyau.

$$
0.54-56
$$

As suggested by the reference to juice, thirst and drink in $\S \S 54.1$ and 54.4-5, this hamau- 'vessel' is essentially intended for drinks. It is probably significant that the gift of drink (0.54), food (0.55) and garments ( 0.56 ) is not referred to the stūpa. As for the offerings of drink and food, A. Bareau points out that, «si elles se justifient dans le culte rendu à des divinités considerées comme vivantes et par conséquent obligées de se sustenter, elles semblent étranges dans celui qui s'adresse à des Buddha ou à des saints qui ont disparu dans le Nirvāṇa» (Bareau 1962,245 ), and that they must have been a «don symbolique au

Buddha» as is the case also with the gift of garments (Bareau 1962, 246 under «Offrandes diverses»).

$$
0.57
$$

The meaning 'vehicle' is assured for bārau by the corresponding Skt $y a \bar{n} a$-. Also in the case of the vehicle there is no reference to the stūpa. On the possible use of vehicles in religious ceremonies see Bareau 1962, 246-247.

### 0.58

On the gift of lamps to a stūpa see Bareau 1962, 244.

On the gift of flowers to a stūpa see Bareau 1962, 242-243.
This paragraph has no precise correspondence with the Sanskrit text, where two paragraphs deal with the gift of flowers in different forms: $\S 0.73$ has mālä-pradāna- 'gift of a garland (of flowers)' and § 0.74 has mukta-puspa-pradāna- 'gift of scattered flowers'. On the other hand, the single Khotanese paragraph refers in general terms to the gift of flowers. Parallelism with § 0.58 kye balśa cirau bīdä 'who brings a lamp to a stūpa' (Skt pradīpa-pradāna-) and with § 0.60 kye balśa bū' biḍä 'who brings perfume to a stūpa' (Skt gandha-pradāna-) indicates that in 0.59 kye balśä späte bïd̈ä the verb bīdü is 3 S pres. to bar- 'to bring'. The use of bar- 'to bring' in connection with flowers suggests the need to reconsider the common interpretation of bīd $d \ddot{a}$ as 3 S pres. to bīr- 'to scatter' in Z 11.36 cu ye späte bîdä bū' padaśdä ciro balśa ṣä hora 'When one scatters flowers, burns incense, a lamp at a stūpa, that is giving'. It was E. Leumann who first interpreted this bīḍä as 'streut', 3S pres. to his ${ }^{1}$ bar- 'werfen, säen' (E 470). He was followed by Bailey in KT 6.249 who, beside bīduä, quotes also «E $5^{106}$ kho ye banhyu bīräte śśando ( $2^{142}$ variant with bamhyu) as one throws a tree to the ground» (essentially the same in Dict. 287 s.v. bīr- 'throw'). This bïräte was interpreted by

Leumann as the causative of his ${ }^{2}$ bar- 'tragen, schöpfen, ernten' (E 470) and translated as 'fahren [gleiten] läßt'. Z 11.36 bïdä and Z 2.142, 5.106 biräte were in turn translated respectively 'scatters' and 'saws' by Emmerick, who has three present stems bir- in SGS 100:
«1. bir- 'to saw' ... VI tr. A
3 sg. pres., OKh.: bīräte Z 2.142; 5.106";
«2. bīr- 'to be broken' V c act. intr. (= pass.) A/B»;
«3. bir- 'to throw, sow' V b tr. B ppp. biḍa-
3 sg. pres., OKh.: bīdü Z 11.36.
3 sg. pf. tr. m., OKh.: bī̀de Z 22.294.
3 pl. pf. tr., OKh.: bị̄āndi Z 2.23».
The second bīr- 'to be broken' is not in doubt. As for 1. bīr- 'to saw', Emmerick follows a suggestion by Henning 1955, 435 who compared Chorasmian wyryk 'saw', wyryd'h 'he sawed it' with Sogdian čnn wyr'kh 'with a saw', čn wyr', and «further Kh. bir- as in E 6, 106 [ = Z 5.106]» (p. 435 n .2 ). This comparison was first accepted by Bailey (1959, 121, 145 ) and subsequently discarded in $K T \mathbf{6 . 2 4 9}$. What makes one suspicious is, beside the proliferation of $b \bar{i} r$ - stems, the fact that the supposed biräte 'saws' occurs only twice in the same simile and therefore it is practically a hapax. On the other hand, the supposed bīdä 3S pres. to 3 . bīr- 'to throw, sow' would be the only instance of 3S pres. of this verb.

The problems are resolved by accepting Konow's sensible proposal $(1939,67)$ that «[t]he form bīdäal $12.36\left[=\right.$ Z 11.36] can belong to ${ }^{2}$ bar- 'to carry'. On the other hand biräte $6.106[=$ Z 5.106] ... belongs to our base [i.e. Leumann's ${ }^{1}$ bar-, Emmerick's 3. bīr- 'to throw, sow']: trāmu hä ggopya haraysda kho ye banhyu bīräte śśando 'thus Gopikā prostrated herself as one throws a tree on the ground'». Konow's interpretation of Z 11.36 bid $\ddot{a}$ is supported by KV $0.58-60$, as we have seen. On the other hand, if we assign bïräte to 3. bir- 'to throw', it becomes apparent that it has resulted in LKh. Ch. c. 001732 KBT 90 (Bk) bïre that can only mean 'he throws' as indicated by the context: 731-733 si' satvä samkalpa saṃtsārä paskīnā bīre u biśä-pīrmāttamaña ba'ysuña ba'ysuśtāṣtä haiysde
'that being will throw samkalpas (and) samsära behind (himself) and expand to the all-supreme enlightenment of a Buddha' ('that being throws away', Konow 1929, 12). Another form lending support to the interpretation of biräte as 'he throws' is the 3 S inj. N 50.24 bïräta translated by 'würfe' by Leumann himself (both bīre and bïäta are quoted in Konow 1939, 67, KT 6.249, and Dict. 287).

It is convenient to sum up the conclusions reached so far following Emmerick's headings in SGS 100:
*1. bīr- 'to saw' does not exist. On bïäte see below;
2. bir- 'to be broken' is not in doubt;
3. bir- 'to throw, sow'

3S pres.: OKh. bīräte Z2.142; 5.106; LKh. bīre Ch. c. 001732 KBT 90 3S inj.: OKh. bīräta N 50.24.
From bīräte, birre and birräta we see that this birr-is an A type, not a B type verb. [OKh. Z 11.36 bīdüa is the regular 3S pres. to bar- 'to carry' (see SGS 93).]

A gift of flowers to a stūpa is also mentioned in P 2787.179-180 cu ttā tta satta hamāmdai ca ttyai spyaka haiśṣàmava-masai sthūpa pajsa īnūdai tta ttă harbaiśa jastakșīrvā ysatha nāsạrai nauha' vīra jsạ̣̄ baìsūúscassṭä vyārna byaihīda 'whoever may be the beings who, just by the giving of flowers to it, do honour to the stūpa, they all will take birth in the regions of the gods (and) in a moment they will obtain a prophecy of enlightenment'. This recalls two of the recompenses for the gift of garlands and scattered flowers listed in the Sanskrit KV: svargesûpapādyate kșipraṃ ca parinirvāti (Lévi 98.15-16 and 101.1-2) 'he is born in heaven and arrives quickly at parinirvāna'.

### 0.60

On the gift of perfumes to a stūpa see Bareau 1962, 243-244, where it is reported that incense was only one of various kinds of perfumes. Therefore, I prefer to assign bua'- the wider meaning 'perfume' reserving the translation 'incense' to the contexts that clearly require it.

For the phrase $b \bar{u}$ ' bar- 'to bring perfume' as an offering, cf. Macartney 77 r5 KT 5.339 (Sgh 200.1) ttītä ttye āchänai mārā-pätara $v a \bar{a} a$ tsīndä bū' barindä 'Then mother and father of that ill one go to the temple. They carry there incense'.

## 1.1

kye hamdaru jsindä is translated 'who strikes another' by Bailey in Dict. 115 s.v. jsīndä. Here, jsan- means 'to kill', cf. Skt prānâtipāta-.

$$
1.3-4
$$

buljätä appears to correspond to Skt varna-väditā- (1.3) and ysūṣde to Skt anumodana- (1.2), cf. Or. 9609.26 v3-4 KT 1.236 (Bailey's f. <*27> ; Suv 6.1.6) biśśí lovapāla stavätāndä buljätāndä ysūștāndä 'All the world-protectors have praised, extolled, (and) approved of it' translating Skt sarva-lokapāla-stutah stavito varnitah praśamsitah.

## 1.5

In mulchāñäte we have the Old Khotanese spelling of the verb mulchā̄n- 'to cause to miscarry' that was previously attested only in its Late Khotanese spelling mịchān̄- (JP 91v4 KT 1.175 mich $\bar{a} \bar{n} a ̄ n i 3 P$ pres. mid.). OKh. mulchā̄ $\bar{n}$ - is the causative from *mulch- 'to miscarry', of which the Late Khotanese spelling maich- occurs twice in JP 47r5 KT 1.139 maichīde 3P pres. act., and JP 47r5 KT 1.139 maichām pres. pt. Kh. *mulch- is clearly to be derived from Old Iranian *mrč-ya- to Old Iranian *mrk-: cf. Avestan mərənca-, mərənciia- 'töten, zerstören' (AIW 1145), Middle Persian murn jēnīdan, murnjēēn- [mwlncyn-ytn'] 'to destroy' (CPD 57), Manichean Sogdian mrync- 'to destroy' (GMS 22 § 153) and Skt marcáyati 'beschädigt, versehrt/injures, hurts' (KEWA 2.593-594, EWAIa 2.323-324). On the development Old Iranian *čy > Kh. ch see Emmerick and Pulleyblank 1993, 32-33. For the meaning, cf. Avestan aētahmāt puӨrāt mimaroxšaŋ"ha aētaסa aēša yā kaine aētahmāt
puӨrāt ${ }^{+}$mimaroxšāite (Widēwdād 15.14) '«damit such dein Kind (im Mutterleib) zu töten!» Dann versucht das Mädchen ihr Kind damit zu töten' (AIW 1145). Kh. *mulch- and mulchā̄̄n- are to be assigned to Emmerick's class Vc (root in zero grade $+y+a$ ) and, respectively, to class Ve (root in lengthened form + áy $+a$, see $S G S$ 177-178 and 184186). The tentative etymologies by Emmerick, $S G S$ 109, and Bailey, Dict. 339, are superseded.

The 3 S pres. of haspīj- is not attested elsewhere. If [has]pījätä is correctly restored, as I think it is, we have here an indication that haspij- is an A type verb (cf. SGS 151).

## 1.7

The - $\underset{m}{ }$ in sānu- $\underline{m}$ is Late Khotanese for the OKh . enclitic 1 S pers. pronoun mä, not an unetymological anusvāra, as pointed out to me by P. O. Skjærvø. The copyist has anyway appended the regular Old Khotanese enclitic 1S pers. pronoun mä to sānu-ṃ thus obtaining a phrase recalling such hybrid forms as e.g. Z 2.176 vasvätä 'pure', a blend of LKh. vasva- and OKh. vasuta-. Skjærvø compares Suv 11.26 nyānarthu-ṃ mä ‘I am informed’, where mä is the enclitic 1 S pres. from ah- 'to be'.

Although the clause in which sānu-m occurs has been translated as indirect speech, it is actually direct speech introduced by the particle se ('My enemy died'), which may have led to the insertion of a form of the spoken language such as LKh. sānu-m. Another colloquial form occurring in direct speech is LKh. thyau 'quickly' (< OKh. thatau) in 4.9 tso ju drūñā hämāro thyau 'Come! Let them be healthy soon'.

$$
1.8
$$

$\nu \bar{a}[n] \ddot{a}$ 'temple' has been restored on account of the Chinese version by Gautama Dharmaprajña (Taishō 80), which has ' $9^{\circ}$ installer un
temple des dieux ... où on fait une boucherie ... d'êtres vivants' (Lévi 32 n. 10). Kh. vāna- approximately corresponds to Skt sthandila- that is rendered by Lévi by the general terms 'emplacement' (112.7) and 'terrain' $(112.30,33)$ but that more precisely means 'eine geebnete Bodenfläche (für Oblationen und dgl.)' according to Böhtlingk and Roth 1855-1875, 7.1281; cf. Oṛiyā thandila 'sand altar for sacrifice' and Gujarātī thãdil 'spot prepared for sacrifice' (Turner 1966, 792 no. 13739). Kh. padìm- is the verb used for the building of buildings, cf. SI P 53.19 v2 (Sgh 29.3) bise padïmäte 'he builds houses'.

## 1.9

The corresponding Sanskrit passage has presumably got lost in the transmission of the text as can be inferred from the reference in § 2.11 yat pūrvôktạ̣ kuśala-pakṣeṇa yuddha-darśanâdi ‘Tout ce qui a été dit au paragraphe précédent, à propos du spectacle d'une bataille, etc., est à retourner dans le sens du bien' (Lévi 113.15-17), and from an example accompanying § 1: yathaivam-vidham sthandila-pratiṣṭhāpanam tathā yu-ddha-darśanam yatra bahavah sattvā ghātyante hasty-aśva-manusyâdayah yuddha-pratibaddhānāṃ ca śastrāñām abhinandanam 'Comme fait l'installation d'un terrain, ainsi fait le spectacle d'une bataille où quantité d'êtres vivants sont tués, éléphants, chevaux, hommes, etc, et aussi les félicitations à propos des armes, adressées à des gens en train de se battre' (Lévi 33.6-8, 112.33-36). The Chinese version by Gautama Dharmaprajña (Taishō 80) has ' $10^{\circ}$ instruire les hommes à se livrer bataille pour qu'ils se fassent mutuellement du mal' (Lévi 32 n .10 ).

### 1.10

This paragraph has no counterpart in the Sanskrit version. In Khotanese texts, the opposite, positive connection between giving of food and long life (that was probably contained in the lost § 2.10 , is found in Z 13.122 pamjyau jsa pracyau jsīna pharu hämäte mästä saṃtsera kye khāysä hori pharäkä 'For five reasons will there be very
great life in samsära: if one's giving of food has been abundant' and Z 13.129 ttäri dāra-jsīniya balysa tterä nä khāysīnei hauri 'So long-lived are Buddhas. So great is their giving away of food'.

### 1.11

Bailey's interpretation of this passage in Dict. 19 s.v. āmura- («karma tcamäna hve' āmur<ä> 'the act by which a man <gets> a short <life>'»; cf. the reading āmura in KT 5.297) may now be discarded. Cf. above on 0.1.

## 2.1

For the NSm [ha]mata with final -a instead of the expected -ä cf., for instance, Z 13.160 hamata and Dumaqu 0119.89 r5 (Sgh 211.4) hamata.

Cf. Z 13.122 pamjyau jsa pracyau jsīna pharu hämäte mästä samtsera ... kye ne jsate śtä hamdara satva 'For five reasons will there be very great life in sampsāra: ... if one has not killed [better than Emmerick's «struck»] other beings' and Z 13.129 ttäri dāra-jsīniya balysa ... drrai asaṃkhīya prānāāātäna härștāyi pathīya 'So long-lived are Buddhas .... For three asamkhyeyas they have actually refrained from taking life'.

## 2.5

As pointed out to me by P. O. Skjærvø, the phrase bājä hvān̄- recalls the phrase P 3513.71 v1-2 KT 1.247 (Suv 3.80) bāja byehīdi. Since bāja byehīdi translates Skt mucyiṣu, it must correspond in meaning to $\mathbf{P}$ 3513.71 r4 KT 1.247 (Suv 3.78) harga hamāṃde 'may they be released' that translates Skt mucyantu. Thus, LKh. bāja byeh- means 'to obtain deliverance' and OKh. bājä hvān- means 'to pronounce deliverance'. This is confirmed by the correspondence of KV 2.5 bājä with Skt parimocanam. Though the ending - $a$ of the Late Khotanese occurrence
is ambiguous as to the stem vowel, the new Old Khotanese occurrence $b a \bar{j} a ̈ \mathrm{AS}$ in KV points to a possible stem bāji-.

$$
2.6
$$

In this paragraph we have the first Old Khotanese occurrence of the phrase hamjsaṣ- jīvätä mūyä 'to be about to lose (one's) life' (and of the infinitive $m \bar{u} y a ̈)$ until now attested only in Late Khotanese: P 2834.21 KBT 45 (Nanda) hajsyai dūkhautta āṃ jīvye rūya 'being distressed, I am about to lose (my) life' (translation by Emmerick 1970b, 75), and P 2783.198 KT 3.74 (Rāma) hajsaiṣdi jīyakä mūyä 'he intends to lose (his) life'. Cf. Z 11.59 ye jīvätu mūyäte 'one loses (one's) life'.

## 3.7

Cf. Z 2.204 cī rro hā aysmū āphīre 'if I disturb (my) mind' and Kha. 1.185.1 a6 KT 5.155 ma thu rāhula aysmū āphīrä и ma ttye braṃmanä vaska ysurrä yą[nä] 'you Rāhula, do not disturb the mind and do not be angry with that brahmin'. The ending -ätä for -äte 3 S mid. is rare (see SGS 198-199).

## 3.8

The gap corresponding to the restoration [khāy/su is apparently too wide, but one has to consider that the akṣara khā and the sign for $y$ - are particularly broad.

On pattarrā- 'drink' see below on 54.5 .
The ending of āphire 3S pres. beside 3.7 āphïätä is Late Khotanese (see SGS 199).

## 3.9

On the restoration amanāvu yande, that has been preferred to amanāvui hämäte (cf. §7.5) on account of the space available in the gap, see below on 8.5.

### 3.10

[ne] huvīrä in § 3.10 (apparently corresponding to Skt aparijïrṇa'indigestible') is the opposite of what is possibly to be read huv $[\bar{l}] t[\ddot{a}]-$ r/ä] in § 4.10 (apparently corresponding to Skt parijïrna- 'digestible'). We have thus two spellings of the same word with omission of the syllable $t a ̈$ (or possibly $t a$ ) in one of them. This reminds one of such pairs as OKh. gyagarrä, jatärrä 'liver' as against LKh. jarra, jarrä with loss of unaccented $g a / t a ̈$. If the syllable tä has been omitted in 3.10 huvīrä due to Late Khotanese influence, then the Old Khotanese stem would be huvittära-. On the other hand, since a syllable $t a / t a ̈$ is not infrequently inserted due to what could be termed hyper-Old-Khotanism (see some examples in Sgh p. 410), the actual stem might well be huvira-. In this case the word is to be analysed as containing the nominal prefix $h u$ (see $S G S 248$ and Degener 1987, 55). The second element -vīra-can be from *var-ya-, participle of necessity (see Suffixe 299 § 48.B.8.2) to the Old Iranian base *var- 'to choose' (cf. Avestan var-, Old Persian varand Skt $v \underset{!}{ } n a \bar{a} t i$, see $K E W A$ 3.244-245) and can be compared, both morphologically and semantically, with the Avestan participle of necessity vairiia- 'zu wählen, den man sich wählen soll', that also means 'köstlich' (AIW 1379). The compound hu-vīra-would thus mean 'very delicious', which is not out of place in the present context.

Though one could equally well restore ham v[ïrä] (cf. 65.7), ham $\nu$ [ätä] has been preferred on account of the occurrence of oṣku vä[tä] in 4.11 .

## 4.5

This paragraph provides the first Old Khotanese occurrence of the abstract noun drünāti- 'health' < "drūn̄ā-tāti- from drünaa- 'healthy' until now only attested in Late Khotanese (see Suffixe 276 §§ 45.5.1 and 45.5.7, and 281).

## 4.7

For the restoration [handlāde cf. Z 12.116 ka bodhisatvä āchänau paśsätä puṣṣo u nai haṃdāde ârragäḍä hämäte käde 'If a Bodhisattva completely neglects a sick man and does not care for him, he is very guilty'.

## 4.8

I consider drūṇā a Late Khotanese form of drūnātä (see above on 4.5): cf. for instance P 2958.109 KBT 42 (Aśoka) drrunā brrastụ̣̄̂dä 'they asked after health'.

## 4.9

The imperative $t$ so with the emphatic particle $j u$ is here used as an interjection. Cf. Z 24.121 tso ju ttäña ggūttäro mā ggūttärä ysaiya 'Go. In that gotra let one be born of our gotra'. On thyau see above on 1.7.
5.0

The 3S pres. hämete presents an exceptional spelling as one would expect the vowel -ä- in the second syllable. It is probably a hybrid form resulting from LKh . häme (see SGS 199) with the addition of the OKh. ending te (cf. above on 1.7 sānu-m mä). A similar form is H 142 NS 43 v8 hämetä.

## 5.1

The hapax auysāka- 'angry' is clearly a nomen agentis from the present stem oys- 'to be angry' (SGS 20, Dict. 46) used as an adjective in predicative position (see Suffixe 42-44, in particular §§ 5.1, 5.5, 5.5.12).

An explanation of the concept of arāti- 'envy' that is useful to clarify the text of $\S 5.1$ is contained in Z 23.112-113: ttí śśakrä balysu tta braṣte cu ju ye śśäru daiyi hąndarye ttai hämäte ko mamä āya ṣā gyasta balysa
arātä ttai hvate sarvañi balysä ni șä śśakra șī samu orsä arātä dīruī saittä cūde ṣā ttye śśäratātä 'Then Śakra thus asked the Buddha: «When one sees something good belonging to another, (if) it so occurs to one: "Would that it may be mine!", is that, deva Buddha, envy?» (So) did the all-knowing Buddha speak (to him): «That is not, Sakra; that is merely a desire. (It is) envy (if) it seems bad to him: "Why does he have that good fortune?"»".

## 5.2

For the restoration g[g]am/jse yīndä] cf. below on 15.9.

$$
6.2-7.0
$$

These paragraphs have been omitted by the copyist who jumped from § 6.0 to § 7.1 possibly by turning over two folios of his source instead of one.

## 7.1

OKh. H 142 NS 29 etc. v2 KT 5.91 ihīvyanāsauñä 'taking what is not one's own' is derived by Degener, Suffixe 161 (where it is incorrectly said to be Late Khotanese) from *ahivya-nāsaa- without difference of meaning. The word postulated by Degener is now attested, though fragmentarily, in this paragraph as $i[h i ̄] v y a n a ̄ s a i ~(F K ~ 210.23 ~ D o . ~ 35 ~ r 2), ~$ which corresponds to Skt adattâdänam. The compound ihīvya-nāsaa- is formed from a-hīvia- 'not one's own' (cf. Z 12.64 ihivī) and *nāsaa-, an -aa- action noun from nās- 'to take'. Cf. Dict. 14 s.v. ahīye and 31 s.v. i-.

The three akṣaras preceding khäysu are śśau ggi vu. The base of the first consonant is only partially preserved but it can be only gg- or śś-, though only scanty traces of the lower consonant are extant. In § 8.10 the akṣaras $\operatorname{gg}[i \bar{l}] v u$ are immediately preceded by a lacuna but [śśau]
$\mathrm{gg}[\bar{l}] v u$ is no doubt to be restored. This reminded Emmerick of Z 11.43 päṇ̂ävātīyä cu śśauggī cu ttärśaśūnì hedä. E. Leumann translated this passage as 'Was Almosenspeisiges einem Śvapāka [Caṇḍāla], was einem Tiere er (hin)gibt' (E 140) and explained śśauggi as GDS from «śśauggia- (pkt.) '[*]śvapākika’» (E 506, my asterisk). In KT 6.342-343 s.v. śśauggī Bailey interpreted the word as meaning 'wild beast' and explained «śśauggi as adj to "śśaugga- from the Prak of the word śvápad... and derivative adj śvāpada- ... and adj śauvāpada- ... To reach *śśaugga- the stages would be *śvāpadaka-, *śāvayaga-, *śāvaga-, *śāvaga-, *'sāvga-». The interpretation 'wild beast' was followed by Emmerick, who translated: 'Whatever alms he gives to a wild beast, whatever to any animal'. However, inspection of the facsimile in Vorob'ëv-Desjatovskij and Vorob'ëva-Desjatovskaja 1965, 189 reveals that also in Z 11.43 one has to read śśau ggì vu. The facsimile is clear enough to exclude the traditional reading sśauggi $c u$, whereas the reading śśau ggiv vu is supported by the fact that both Z and KV passages concern alms and food.

The most obvious analysis is śśau ggīvu 'one ggivvu', since gg-must derive from Old Iranian initial ${ }^{*} g$-. In the absence of bilingual evidence for the meaning of $g g i v u$, I propose, on the basis of the contexts, to consider ggivu the accusative singular of ggiva- 'handful' from *gab-ya-, a -ya- derivative from Old Iranian *gaba- 'hand', cf. Avestan gauua'(daevic) hand' (AIW 505 s.v. ${ }^{4} g a v$ - and 509-510 s.v. ${ }^{2} g a v a$-) if for *gaßa-, Middle Persian gaw [gw'] '(daevic) hand' (CPD 35), Paštō waryōwai 'palm of the hand, sole' < *fra-gaba-ka- (cf. Morgenstierne 1927, 89 s.v.). Old Iranian *gaba- is also in Kh. ggośtä 'hand, handful' and Waxī gawust 'fist' (Morgenstierne 1927, 89 s.v. waryōwai) < *gaba-sti-, cf. Skt gábhasti- 'hand, forearm' (Dict. 91 s.v. ggośtä and earlier Bailey 1951a, 931; see also $K E W A 1.322-323$ and EWAia 1.463-464). If the proposed etymology is correct, Z 11.43 pänḍävātīyä cu śśau ggivu ttärśaśūnī heḍä is to be translated as 'When he gives one handful of alms-food to an animal'.

I consider bilsamggimgya gäta' to be locative singular. This may be compared with Z 13.84 samu kho ūtco būte bälsaṃja ... kālśavi balysi 'In the Bhikṣusañgha ... the Buddha Kāśyapa distributed only water'.
bilsamggimgya 'of the monks of the order' feminine to *bilsamgginaa(see Suffixe 147) is the first occurrence of this adjective in an Old Khotanese text (cf. FK 211.2 Do. 55 a1 bilsamggi/).

## 8.5

As far as I know, the phrase amanāvu yan-mid. 'to feel something as unpleasant' occurs only here. It consists of the adjective amanāva(from BSkt $a$-manāpa- 'unpleasant', see Edgerton 62) in the ASnt and of the verb yan- in the middle. Such a construction is not unparalleled: cf. Z 11.6 (twice) bri yande 'feels as beloved, has love for' with the adjective bria- (cf. Emmerick, Studies 1.100-101). It should be noted that the verb is in the middle just as in amanāvu yande.

In SGS 211 Emmerick expressed uncertainty about the diathesis of the verb in Z 2.180 brī yanda 3S inj. In the light of Z 11.6 brī yande, also Z 2.180 yanda may be considered to be middle because the verb yanis consistently inflected either in the active or in the middle depending on the particular phrase in which it is used.

## 15.2-3

In $K T$ 5.301 Bailey reads $u$ śätä ṣä $k u$ därä bäśāna yande. dädä ku dä/rä aysmū/na yąnde. In Dict. 159 s.v. dära- he offers a partial translation: «V 301, 27r2 därä bäśāna yande 'he acts with evil tongue'; ibid. 27r2-3 dä<rä aysmū >na 'with evil mind'». The manuscript has: $u$ śätä ṣä ku yä ro bäśāna yande. dädä ku yä [+ + + Jna yande: the akṣara yä is clearly legible in both cases so that därä and dälrä] do not exist. For another supposed occurrence of the ghostword *dära- see below on 15.10. Of the examples adduced in Dict., there remains only dire in Balawaste 0154.9 KT 3.131 dire v $\bar{a}$ anāspeti. Bailey is right in giving it only tentatively, because it merely is a Late Khotanese spelling
for dira- 'weak' (see Dict. 159 and Emmerick, Studies 1.55-56). The entry dära- 'bad' can accordingly be deleted from Dict.

## 15.4

Khotanese krritta-drsțä is very clearly legible in § 15.4. It occurs also in §§ 15.5 and 15.6 and appears to have no precise counterpart in the Skt text, which has only drssti- 'view, heresy' (Edgerton 269). Sanskrit has also the compound drstiti-krta- 'matter, item of heresy, instance of heresy' substantially equating with drști- (Edgerton 269). Since it is possible to consider krītta- as the Khotanese reflex of Skt krta-, it is conceivable
 transposing the two elements of the compound due to the influence of the numerous compounds with ${ }^{\circ} d r s ̦ t i-$ preceded by a specification, e.g. Skt (a)śāśsata-drștic 'the heresy of (im)permanence', Skt uccheda-drștii'the heresy of annihilation' (cf. Kh. Ch. 00268.192 KBT 68 uchida-drsțti $j s a=$ Ch. 00277.11 r 1 KBT 71 uchaida-draista jsa), Skt mithyā-drști'false view, heresy' (cf. Kh. Z 24.451 mächā-drștä).

In Dict. 44 s.v. uholañä Bailey has «V 301, 27r4 āta uhulañä tțitä byäta yanändä 'come elsewhere, then they remember'. This was read $\bar{a} t a$ uhulañä ttītä byāta yanändä in $K T$ 5.301. Inspection of the manuscript shows that $\bar{a}$ ta cannot mean 'come' because the long $\bar{a}$ is written as a vowel mark on a lost consonant and is therefore not initial, as initial $\bar{a}$ is written with the diacritic on the right in MS A. Moreover, there is no place left for byāta yanändä if one reads what follows Bailey's āta as uhulañä ttītäa ${ }^{84}$ One must conclude that Bailey's reading is highly hypothetical and scarcely supported either by the manuscript or by what we expect from the context, i.e a paraphrase of Skt uccheda-drsṭi- 'the heresy of annihilation'.

[^30]
## 15.5

The suggested restoration au[scku] is based on the hypothesis that § 15.5 is a paraphrasis of Skt śáśvata-drsstic 'the heresy of permanence'.

## 15.7

I regard väta as 3S opt. of ah- 'to be'. Since this optative was used «to express potentialis and irrealis in conditional sentences» (Skjærvø 1981, 461), it is likely that the conjunction $k a$ 'if' introducing the protasis of a potential conditional sentence has to be restored in the gap. The quite tentative restoration of $a \bar{n} a$ 'otherwise' seems to be required by the context.
15.9

The hapax ggamjsa-täda- is a compound consisting of ggamjs $\bar{a}$ - 'fault' and yäda- ppp. to yan- 'to make, do'. On ggamjsa' from ggamjsā- see Emmerick 1989, 227 § 3.2.3.4.6.8. Since the corresponding phrase ggamjse yan- may take an indirect object as in e.g. Z 22.315 ggamjse sssamana śśūj̄̄̄ye yanīndä 'The monks will find ... faults with one another', and Z 22.320 sșamanānu ggamjsä yanīndä 'they will find fault(s) with the monks', ggamjsa-täda- has not the active meaning 'fault-finder' but the passive meaning 'made to have faults, slandered' (see Degener 1987, 36 and Emmerick 1989, 227 § 3.2.3.4.6.6). ggamjsa-täḍa-may be added to the list of the compounds with yäda- listed in Suffixe 237.
15.10

In KT 5.301 Bailey reads [u] dasamä ṣä kyera dära u[. This reading is quoted in Dict. 159 s.v. dära-: «dasamä ṣä kyera dära u<ysāne jsa?> 'this is tenth, as much as with evil self'». The manuscript has u dasamä ṣä kye atärañ/: in atärañ/, the traces of a- cannot be ra, and the consonantal sign in the akṣara $t a ̈$ is clear. In $Z$ the phrases $Z 12.120$ atärañī yīndä 'he performs ingratitude' and Z 19.83 atärañī näjsaṣde 'he
shows ingratitude' occur. The latter has been preferred because it better suits the gap in the manuscript.

Cf. above on 15.2-3.

The wording of this paragraph and of $\S 29.2$ must have been slightly different from that of $\S 0.29$ because the gaps cannot be exactly filled by restoring in them the text of $\S 0.29$.

$$
29.1
$$

Bailey reads o ro sssei in $K T$ 5.302. I have been unable to trace any certain occurrence of the phrase o rro or of possible variant spellings elsewhere either in Old or in Late Khotanese texts. On the other hand, OKh. kho rro is very frequent. Though the manuscript appears to have a rather clear $o$, it cannot be altogether excluded that this aksara is in reality the top of $k h$; in this case, not only the lower part of $k h$ but also the vowel mark for -o would have disappeared.

## 30.1-2

narya hiśtä 'he comes to hell' is probably part of a periphrasis corresponding to Skt nārakīyam karma 'infernal act'. Here the verb his'to come' has the meaning 'to take birth', cf. § 54.9 gya[stuvo'] histä 'he arrives among the gods', and Z 11.9 ku buro ni hiśsya panin̄a gata storä dukhautta 'Wherever their arrival is, they are greatly distressed in every gati'.
[sī]rä hämäte 'he becomes content' should correspond to Skt pritim utpādayati 'he shows satisfaction', as sïrä hämäte corresponds to prītim utpādayati in § 34.1.

In Khot. (IO) 6 v2 one should possibly read $-k h a ̈ n ̣ / d / \overline{1}$ from $-k h a ̈-$ ndia- '-like' (see Suffixe 123).
haysgustia[n-] 'sorrow' should correspond in some way with a Sanskrit verb in the series āstīryati jihrīyati vigarhati vijugupsati 'he is distressed, ashamed, offended, disgusted' (translation by Edgerton 66 s.v. ar(t)tiyati).

## 35.2

The ending $-a$ of $t s a \bar{a} t t a r a$ is presumably miswritten for $-a ̈$ by omission of the vowel mark.
36.1

If śye is not merely GDS of śśau 'one' or the beginning of a word only fragmentarily preserved, it could be a Late Khotanese form for OKh s̈ätä ‘second': cf. Kha. 1.185.1 a2 KT 5.155 śye jūna u didä jūnai ‘a second time and a third time'.

## 53.0

§ 54 precedes § 53 in the manuscript. The sequence to be preferred is that of the synopsis, where the gift of a bell to a stūpa comes first (§ 0.53 ) and then the gift of a vessel ( $\S 0.54$ ), because this order results in the grouping together of the similar gifts of drink, food and garments (see above on 0.54-56).

On this paragraph and particularly on gei'tau' see above on 0.53 .

## 53.5

salāva- and nāśa- are also used together in the compound nāśa-salāva- (see the examples in Dict. 180 s.v. nā́sa-). The proposed correspondence of Kh . salāvä nāsäa with Skt ādeya-vākyo is confirmed by SI M 13.11 r 3 (Suv 6.3.38) hu-nāśa-salāvä 'of very agreeable speech'
translating Skt ādeya-vacanaśs (see Emmerick and Vorob'ëva-Desjatovskaja, forthcoming). The adjective has been shown by Emmerick (1995, 64) to derive from *nās-ya- 'that can be taken', participle of necessity to $n \bar{a} s$ - 'to take' (SGS 52-53).

Bailey's reading and translation «cve salāvä nāśsä ye> 'whose speech was soothing'» in Dict. 180 s.v. nāśa- is superseded (cf. KT 5.297 cve salāvä nāása).

## 53.7

The equation $53.7=$ S1 65.6 is suggested on the basis of the possible correspondence of Kh. haṃ vīrä 'always' with Skt nityam. On the restored suhajä 'joyful' see Suffixe 208-209 and 212.

$$
53.8
$$

Cf. § 54.8 and see below on 53.9.
53.9
gyastuvo' ysaṃ [thu nāste] corresponds to Skt svargesûpapadyate (Levi 87.13), cf. Suv K 34r1 KT 5.112 (Suv 6.2.65) hatämuvo'gyastuvo' ysamthu nāsāre 'They will take birth among the foremost gods', Skt svargaloka upapatsyante. Judging from the sequence mahā-bhogaś ca/mahā-bhogo bhavati svargesûpapadyate of the Sanskrit version e.g. in § 64 ( $=\mathrm{Kh} . \S$ $52)$, § 68 ( $=\mathrm{Kh} . \S 54$ ) and § 69 ( $=\mathrm{Kh} . \S 55$ ), it is apparent that §§ 65.8 and 65.9 have been inverted in the Sanskrit text. The Khotanese has the right sequence.

$$
53.10
$$

§ 53.10 has been restored on the model of $\S 54.10$ because both correspond to Skt kṣipram ca parininaäti.

In $K T$ 5.296 Bailey reads -āu ra -āre kho hamäya rasa. It is not clear what -āu might be: a long diphthong $\bar{a} u$ does not exist in Khotanese and there is nothing below the space once occupied by the base of the consonant so that one also cannot think of a vowel cluster of the type occasionally found in Late Khotanese (on which see Maggi, forthcoming 2). Only -ā can be read above line. As for Bailey's hamäya, the reading is repeated in Dict. 460, where we find the following entry: «hamäya, loc. sing. v 296r2 kho hamäya raysä u sätä 'as in the same, the taste (BS rasa-) and the second'. See hama- 'same'». Evidently, u sätä belongs to § 54.2. The -ä in Bailey's hamäya is anything but sure, because both the base of the consonant and the vowel mark of the akṣara in question are damaged by a gap just in the middle: the $m$ - is in any case quite certain; as for the traces of the vowel mark, they consist not only of the left and right portions of what could be an $-\ddot{a}$, but also of traces of the upper portion of the upper flourish of an -au. Of the two shapes that -au may have in this manuscript, it should have had here the same shape as it has in Dumaqu 0021 v4 hamau. In any case, it would be difficult to account for the occurrence of a LS of hama- 'same' in this passage. Moreover, the supposed hamäya could not be the LS of hama-but only of an otherwise unattested *hamaa-. On the other hand, hamauya from hamau- (Dict. 460) is the regular LS of an au-stem (see SGS 329) and is certainly not inappropriate to the context. The entry hamäya must be deleted from Dict.

For the simile cf. JS 33r4-v1 (146) mu'śde' vīrśä surī cū haṭha parāhe' ttyạ̣̄ vaske bājaṃ yai harbeśe ysaṃtha 'Compassion, heroism, and bravery which are the true moralities, for them you were a receptacle in all births' (with bājam 'receptacle' from Skt bhājana-, that is translated by hamau- in KV).

## 54.2

Bailey (KT 5.296) reads ttarandarna but ttaradarna without $-n$ - is certain. Though omission of nasals before consonants is characteristic of Late Khotanese, it occasionally occurs already in Old Khotanese: cf. for instance Z 24.224 hamaggu for hamamggu 'equally', Z 3.107+ ṣkaugye for ṣkaungye 'samskāras', Z 20.67 ysitha for ysīmtha 'in life', Z 22.130 puñauduvo' from puñaunda- 'meritorious'.

## 54.4

$\bar{u}$ can only be a miswriting for $u$ 'and'.
As suggested by Bailey, Dict. 123 s.v. ttarra-, the partly restored [tta]rrāyätä (read ttarrāyätä in $K T$ 5.296, ttarrāyätä in Dict.) is the ppp. to ttarrāy- 'to be thirsty' and corresponds to LKh. JS 6 r1 (20) ttarrāye. Kh. ttarrāy- is denominative from ttarraa- 'thirsty' and may be compared with Buddhist Sogdian cš" $y$ - 'to be thirsty' (see MacKenzie 1970, 52 s.v.).

## 54.5

E. Leumann translated the feminine substantive pattarrā- as 'Verordnung' (N 86.1-2), 'Vorbereitung' (N 139.32), 'Herstellung' (N 178.39) and ‘Beschaffung’ (E 179, 456). This was corrected by Konow 1939, 60, according to whom «pattarra- [sic] means 'food, solid food'». The word was translated 'provisions' in Z 13.83 (cf. Leumann's 'Beschaffung') and 'food' in Z 15.18 by Emmerick. Also Bailey assigns pattarrā- the meaning 'food' in Dict. 204 s.v.

According to Skjærvø, Suv 3, p. 121, «[t]he exact meaning of pattarrā- has not yet been definitively established», though he consistently translates its Suv occurrences by 'drink, beverage'. The evidence available, in fact, strongly points to the meaning 'drink'. We may leave aside such instances as $\mathbf{P} 2023.9$ KT 3.45 hamdaista pattarre ('over-ripe food', Dict. 454 s.v. hamdișista-) where the context is no help in determining the meaning, as well as the following occurrences in
fragmentary and uncertain contexts: Z 15.18 ne ju pattarro dul- [; Balawaste 0173-85.9 b3 KT 5.229 pattarri jsa; Iledong 023 a7 KT 3.134 [patta]rre k[ä]de garkhe vajäṣde, Harvard 13.2 b4 KT 5.292 [pa]ttarre khāysä $[$.

In four of the passages for which bilingual evidence is available, including the KV passage under consideration, pattarrā- is clearly used in correspondence with Skt pāna- and pänīya- 'drink, beverage'; moreover, in the KV passage the meaning 'drink' is confirmed by the fact that pattarrā- is a remedy against ttarra- 'thirst':

N 75.43 (Suv 16.37) [khāysä] pattarra u tta arva' pajāysāña = H 147 NS 115 v2 KT 5.56 khāysä pattarra u tta aruva' paj[āysāña] 'food and drink, and thus medicine should be taken', Skt bhojana-pānam oṣadham;
SI M 13.4 \# 13.15 \# 13.7 v1 (Suv 10.47) nānā-v[i]c[i]tra khāysa pattarr[e] 'various foods, drinks', Skt nānā-vicitrânna-pāna-;
SI M 13.14 r1 (Suv 15.30) khāysu pattarr[o] 'food, drink', Skt anna-pāna(see Emmerick and Vorob'ëva-Desjatovskaja, forthcoming);
Dumaqu $0021 \mathrm{r} 4-\mathrm{v} 1$ (KV 54.5) [kv]ī ttarrä hämäte pattarrai väre nä [v]äṣṭää 'when he becomes thirsty, drink does not become absent for him', Skt tŗ̣̣artasya pānīyam prādurbhavati.

Not very dissimilar is Or. 9609.53 r 3 KT 1.237 (Suv 8.20) hvera āstanna khaśä pattarre 'food, drink, beverages etc.', Skt annena vā pānena where both khaśä and pattarre are used translating Skt pānena. Also the apparent exception offered by Suv K 65 r7 KT 5.116 (Suv 12.44) pharāku rro khāysu pattarro pagyāysāre 'They will consume much food and drink', Skt upabhojanam bhuktvā in reality suggests that $k h \bar{a} y s a$ - 'food' and pattarrā- 'drink' were used together in a sort of formula that occurs also in H 144 NSB 18 v 6 KT 5.97 ] khäysä pattarre vīrä and in N 176.10 khāysä āstamna pattarra (cf. also KV 3.8), and that could also be expressed by synonyms such as Or. 9609.56 r7 KT 1.240 (Suv 10.23) hvera khaśä 'foods and drinks', Skt pāna-bhojanāni, P 3513.64 r3-4 KT 1.244 (Suv 3.27) hvīdi kinau khīṣte 'because of food and
drink', Skt pānârtham bhojanârtham ca, and P 3513.72 r1 KT 1.248 (Suv 3.84) hvīdau khīște 'food and drink', Skt anna-pānah. The passages Suv 10.23 and 3.27 show that the Khotanese had the fixed wording 'food + drink' also when the Sanskrit had the inverted sequence 'drink + food'.

The meaning 'drink' also suits Z 13.83 yaśśä sșamani haskäna khāysi ysaujsi gyastūn̄i narandi tvī padì pattarra ttumalste ne ju handaru khāysu pajāsṭä 'Delicious, heavenly food came out of the tusk for the monk Yaśas. In this way he consumed drink [not 'the provisions' as Emmerick]; he did not enjoy any other food', as suggested by the immediately following explanation: Z 13.84 samu ūtco būte bälsamja tta mä pyūșto kālśavi balysi '«In the Bhikṣusañgha - so it has been heard by me - the Buddha Kāśyapa distributed only water»’.

As pointed out by Skjærvø, Suv 3 p. 121, also «[t]he most obvious etymology, < *pati-taršn $\bar{a}$-, suggests 'drink'». Kh. pattarrā- is to be derived even more simply from *pati-tršn̄a- as tarra- 'thirst' is from *tršna-, cf. Avestan taršna-, Middle Persian tišn [tyšn'] (see AIW 644 s.v. taršna-), Buddhist Sogdian cšn- (see GMS 21 § 150, 43 § 277, 58 § 386), Skt trṣnā-, and as tarra- 'grass' is from *trna-, cf. Middle Persian tarrag [tlk'] 'vegetable' (CPD 82 s.v.), Skt trna- (see Emmerick 1989, 211 § 3.2.3.3.3.2.5 and Dict. 123 s.v. ttarra- 'herb, grass'). Bailey's derivation «[f]rom *pati-trnā-, base tar- 'to nourish' ... See s.v. ttarra- for tar- 'drink, be moist', distinct from tar- 'to nourish'» (Dict. 204 s.v.) is based on the assumption that the word means 'food' and is exceedingly complicated.

The vowel mark for $-e$ in vāre NSf 'absent' is certain. Elsewhere in Old Khotanese texts, the word is always spelled väro: Z 13.40 (NAPm), Z 22.103 vāro (NSm), H 142 NS 77 + H 142 NS 80 r1 (NAPm). In Late Khotanese there occur vāra and vārä (see Dict. 383-384 s.v. vāra'deficient').

The remnants of the first akṣara of [vJäṣtätä, though scanty, make it almost certain that the vowel is $\ddot{a}$, whereas the consonant could be any consonant with a flat top. For the use of vast- with väro, cf. LKh. P 3513.82 v1 KBT 65 (Deśanā) dakṣanya tta pā na vaṣṭide vārä 'so then, may venerable men not be absent (from me)'.

## FK 211.2 Do. 55 a1

I consider bilsamggī/ to be part of a form of bilsamgginaa- or bilsamggimggyā-.

FK 211.2 Do. 55 b3
For buljse hvāañäte] cf. Macartney 27 v5 KT 5.334 (Sgh 74.2) buljse hvañāro 'they would proclaim the benefits', and H 147 NS $111 \mathrm{r} 6 K T$ 5.75 (Sgh 96.1) buljse hvāñ̄̄mä 'I will tell the benefits'.

FK 211.2 Do. 55 b4
Cf. Z 22.198 udvīyu ya[nāre] 'they will experience disgust' and Z 22.279 udvī̀u yanäre 'they experience disgust'.

## KHOTANESE GLOSSARY

The glossary is intended to contain all references for all words. Critical signs have not been used in the glossary but occurrences containing damaged aksaras (italics in the text), partly or wholly supplemented (square [ ] respectively angled < > brackets in the text) or emended by the editor (braces \{ \} in the text) are marked by an asterisk. Isolated syllables that could not be joined or supplemented to obtain whole words are not taken into account. The arrow - refers to fuller information to be found in the commentary. When possible the Sanskrit equivalent has been given, even though it is not necessarily equivalent to all the occurrences of the Khotanese word. FK = FK 211.2 Do. 55; $\mathrm{H}=$ Harvard 3.4. For the grammatical abbreviations see p. 83.
akṣara- subst. 'syllable': NS akşarä $0.50-$. aña adv. 'otherwise': aña *15.7-.
atärañia- subst. 'ingratitude', Skt aḳ̣ta-jñatā-: AS atärañi ${ }^{* 15.10 \rightarrow}$.
anantanaria- adj. ‘ānantarya, bringing immediate retribution', Skt änanta-ya-: ASm anantanari 15.8 .
abryaviya- adj. ‘unlovely', (dätäna abrya-vīya-, Skt pratikāla-darsana-): NSm abryavīyä $0.49 \rightarrow$.
amanāva- adj. ‘unpleasant': NSm *amanāvuī ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} v u+-\bar{\imath}\right) 7.5$, ASnt amanāvu *3.9 8.5-. See also yan-.
aysura- subst. 'asura', Skt asura-: LP $a$ ysuruo' 0.18 .
aysmua- subst. ‘mind’, Skt citta-, manas-: AS aysmū 3.7, LAS aysmūna ${ }^{*} 0.44$ ${ }^{*} 0.45{ }^{*} 0.46{ }^{*} 0.47{ }^{*} 15.3$.
arāti- subst. 'envy': NSm arāti ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} t a ̈+-i \overline{)}\right)$ 5.1-
aruvā’- subst. 'medicament', Skt bhaisa-jya-: AS aruvo' 4.6.
armūv- vb. 'to congratulate on': 3S pres. amūvä̀tä *7.9 *8.9.
aväya- subst. 'evil state (of existence)', Skt apāya-: LS avāyä *0.48 *0.49 0.50 .
asädūna- adj. 'bad' (dätäna asädūna-, Skt durvama-): NSm asädünä 0.5 *0.49 *0.50 5.0 "5.11.
ah- vb. 'to be, exist', Skt as-: 3 S pres. act. asta *0.1 *0.2 *0.3 0.40 .5 *0.6 0.7 *0.8 0.9 *0.10 $0.110 .12{ }^{*} 0.13$ "0.14
*0.15 $0.160 .170 .180 .19{ }^{*} 0.200 .21$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}0.22 & 0.23 & 0.24 & 0.25 & & * & 0.26 & 0.27 & 0.28\end{array}$
0.290 .30 *0.31 0.320 .33 *0.34 *0.35
*0.36 *0.37 *0.38 $0.39{ }^{*} 0.400 .410 .42$
$0.430 .44{ }^{*} 0.45{ }^{*} 0.46{ }^{*} 0.470 .480 .49$ 0.50 , 3 S opt. väta 15.7 -
ahivia- adj. 'not one's own' (ahivia- ttaga-ta-, Skt adatta-): ASm ihivi *8.1.
*ahīvya-nāsaa- subst. 'taking of what is not one's own', Skt adattadāna-: NS ihinya-nāsai *7.1-.
āchaa- subst. 'illness': NS ächai 4.6.
āchänaa- adj. 'ill, sick': NSm āchänai *3.9, GDPm āchänānu 4.6.
āchänaks- adj. ‘sick': NAPm āchänaka *4.7 4.9 ${ }^{\text {- }}$.
āta- ppp. to hiss- 'to come, arrive' (q.v.): NSm ātä 0.32 *30.1-2 32.0.
āphīr- vb. 'to disturb': 3S pres. āphïrätä $3.7 \rightarrow$, äphïre 3.8 ${ }^{-}$.
āmura-jsinia- adj. ‘short-lived', Skt $a$ -lpâyus-: NSm āmura-jsin̄ī $0.1 \rightarrow 1.0$ *1.11 $\rightarrow$.
ārūpyāvacara- adj. 'of the sphere without form', Skt arūpyâvacara-: LPm ārūруа̄vасагио 0.22.
ihīvia- see ahīvia-.
ihīvya-nāsaa- see *ahīvya-nāsaa-.
-i A 0.481 .3 *7.3 *8.3, GD 0.230 .240 .25 *0.26 $0.290 .400 .41{ }^{*} 0.42{ }^{*} 0.430 .50$ *1.6 1.103 .9 ( $2 \times$ )7.5 34.1 (?) 35.1 53.5 *54.1 $54.5(2 \times)$, IA $-\bar{i}$ jsa 5.1.
u conj. 'and', Skt ca: u 0.230 .250 .260 .30 $0.31(2 \times) 0.33{ }^{*} 0.35{ }^{*} 0.360 .37{ }^{*} 0.38$ *0.39 0.40 *0.41 *0.41 var. *0.42 *0.43 *0.44 0.450 .46 *0.47 0.48 (3 x) 0.49 0.501 .21 .31 .41 .5 * $1.61 .7(2 \times)^{*} 1.8$ $1.91 .102 .22 .32 .4{ }^{*} 2.52 .63 .7$ *3.8 3.9 *3.9 (3 x) 3.104 .34 .44 .54 .6 ( $2 \times$ ) 4.74 .8 *4.9 $4.105 .15 .27 .2 * 7.3$ *7.4 7.5 *7.6 7.7-8 7.98 .2 *8.3 *8.4 *8.5 8.6 8.7-8 *8.9 $8.10 \quad 15.2$ *15.8 *15.9 *15.10 30.0 *30.0 30.1-2 *30.1-2 ( $2 \times$ ) 31.0 *31.0 $31.1 * 31.2(2 \times) 32.1$ *33.3 *34.0 34.2 *34.3 35.035 .2 *35.3 *36.0 *53.8 54.254 .3 *54.5 54.654 .7 54.854 .9 54.10 FKal, $\bar{u}$ 54.4 $\rightarrow$.
udviya- subst. 'disgust': AS udvīyu FKb4 $\rightarrow$. See also yan-.
uysnaura- subst. 'being': NAP uysnaura *4.4 *4.7.
ustamātu adv. ‘even': ustamātu 36.1.
o conj. 'or': o *3.8 15.6, o rro *29.0-1 $\boldsymbol{\text { , }}$ o $\nu \bar{a}{ }^{* 2} 2$.
auysāka- adj. 'angry': NSm auysākä 5.1 $\rightarrow$.
oṣku adv. 'always': ausku 1.9 *15.5 ${ }^{*}$, oşu vätä *0.4 *4.11.
ka conj. 'if': ka $2.6^{* 15.7 \rightarrow}$.
kadaria- adj. 'mean', Skt matsarin-: NSm kadari ${ }^{*} 0.37 \rightarrow$.
karana- subst. 'deed': ? karan/ 31.1.
karma- subst. 'act', Skt karman-: NS kamä *0.1 *0.2 $0.30 .40 .5^{*} 0.60 .7^{*} 0.8$ *0.9 $0.10{ }^{*} 0.11{ }^{*} 0.13{ }^{*} 0.14{ }^{*} 0.150 .16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}0.17 & 0.18 & 0.19 & 0.20 & 0.24 & 0.25 & * 0.26\end{array}$ *0.27 $0.28 \quad 0.29 \quad 0.30 \quad 0.31 \quad 0.34{ }^{*} 0.35$ *0.36 *0.38 0.390 .40 var. *0.40 0.41 0.42 *0.44 *0.45 *0.46 *0.47 0.480 .49 1.02 .0 *5.2 *6.0 6.1-10 *7.0 8.0 *8.1 *15.0 16.0 *28.0 *28.2 *29.0-1 *29.2 *31.0 *31.2 *32.0 33.3 *34.0 *34.3 *35.0 $35.3{ }^{*} 36.0 \mathrm{Hb} 4$, karmi $^{*} 0.12$ $\begin{array}{llllll}0.21 & 0.22 & 0.23 & 0.32 & 0.33\end{array}{ }^{*} 0.370 .43$ 0.504 .05 .0 *5.1 30.0, AS karmu 15.8 31.1, NAP karma 0.40 var. *0.41 *0.41 var. *0.42 1.11 *7.11 *8.11, karmai $\left({ }^{\circ} r m a+-\bar{i}\right) 0.40{ }^{*} 0.43$, GDP $k a-$ тта̄пи 0.61.
kāma- interr. pron. 'which', Skt katama-: NSm kāmä 1.02 .04 .05 .0 *6.0 *7.0 *8.0 * 15.0 * 16.0 *28.0 *29.0-1 *30.0 31.0 *32.0 *34.0 *35.0 *36.0 53.0 *54.0 *55.0.
kāmāvacara- adj. 'of the sphere of desire', Skt kāmâvacara-: LPm kāmāvacaruvo' 0.20.
kāmu conj. 'as long as': kāmu buro *0.29.
käde adv. 'very': käde *0.48 3.9 .
küḍígāna- subst. 'evil deed', Skt duścari-ta-: AS kädyānu 15.1.
ku conj. 'when, where, even though': ku 'when' *1.4 1.71 .81 .9 *2.1 $2.2 \quad 2.3$ *2.5 2.63 .73 .84 .14 .24 .34 .4 ( $2 \times$ ) 4.54 .6 *4.6 4.74 .95 .27 .1 *7.4 7.5 *7.6 7.7-8 7.9 *8.1 *8.4 *8.5 *8.6 *8.9 $15.115 .215 .315 .8{ }^{*} 15.928 .1$ 30.1-2 34.2 35.1 FKa1, kuī $(k u+-i)$ 34.1, $k v i \bar{i}(k u+-i) 1.103 .9(2 \times)^{*} 54.5$, $k u-$ $m$ (ku $+n a ̈)$ 4.5, 'where' 28.0, $k v i ̄$ $(k u+-\bar{\imath}) 0.29$, 'even though' 4.8 .
-ku see tter-ku.
ku-șṭa conj. 'where': ku-șta ${ }^{*} 0.270 .28$ *28.2.
kūra- adj. 'false': NSf kūra *15.7.
kūs- vb. 'to look for': 3S pres. kūsätä *54.6.
krritta-drsstiv- subst. 'heresy', Skt drștic: NS krritta-drștä $15.4 \rightarrow$ * 15.5 *15.6.
krīya- ppp. to kalj- 'to beat, strike': NAPm krīya *4.9.
kṣatra- subst. ‘umbrella', Skt chattra-: AS $k s ̣ a t r u 0.52$ *52.11.
kṣira- subst. 'land', Skt deśa-: LS kṣira 0.29 .
ksei'ma- ord. num. 'sixth': NSm ksei'mä *1.6 *2.6 *15.6 *53.6 54.6, ksemä *4.6.
khāysa- subst. 'food', Skt bhojana-: AS khāysu 0.55 *3.8 7.10 *8.10 *55.0.
khāysinaar- adj. 'of food': GDSm khāysīnai 1.10.
kho conj. 'as', Skt ${ }^{\circ}$ mātra- eva: kho $0.32 \rightarrow$ *32.0 54.1.
ggamjsa-tạda- adj. 'slandered': NAPm ggamjsatäde *15.9 $\rightarrow$. Cf. yan-.
ggamjsā- subst. 'fault': NAP ggamjse *5.2 $\rightarrow$. See also yan-
gganānaa- adj. 'ill-smelling', Skt durga-ndha-: NSm ganānai *0.50.
ggārīva- subst. 'trouble', Skt alābha-: AS ggärivu *7.6 *8.5.
ggảtả'- subst. 'group, assembly': LS gäta' $7.10 \rightarrow * 8.10$.
gàtā'a- subst. 'bell', Skt ghantāa-: AS go' $0.53 \rightarrow$, gei'tau' $53.0 \rightarrow$ *53.11.
ggiva- subst. 'handful' (?): AS ggivu $7.10-{ }^{*} 8.10$.
ggih- vb. 'to help': 3S pres. ggittä 7.28 .2, ggitte *1.2 *2.2 *4.5, gitte 2.64 .2 4.6. ggurāś- vb. 'to quarrel': 3 S pres. ggurāsäte *3.6.
gei'tau', go' see gáta'-.
cirau- subst. 'lamp', Skt pradipa-: AS cirau 0.58 .
cista- adj. 'young': NSm cistä *0.33 *0.34 *0.35 * 0.36 * 34.0 * 34.3 *35.0 *35.3 *36.0, cästä *33.3.
cu conj. 'that, when': cu 'that' 3.10 *4.10 *53.1 53.453 .7 *53.8 53.9 *53.10 54.1 54.254 .3 *54.4 54.654 .754 .854 .9 $54.1055 .1, c v \bar{\imath}(c u+-i) 53.5$, 'when' 8.7-8.
kye, cu rel. pron. 'who, which', Skt ya-: NS living kye $0.530 .55 * 0.580 .590 .60$ *1.1 *1.2 1.54 .8 *15.10 29.0-1, ce 0.51 $\begin{array}{lllllll}0.52 & 0.54 & 0.56 & 3.8 & 7.2 & * 52.11 & 53.0\end{array}$ *53.11 *54.0 *54.11 *55.0, ci 0.57, cai (ce + -i) 0.501 .3 *1.6 $5.1 * 7.3 * 8.3$, NS non-living $c u * * 0.29$ *2.6 15.6, AS cu 0.230 .240 .250 .26 , IAS tcarmäna *0.1 *0.2 $0.3^{*} 0.40 .5^{*} 0.6{ }^{*} 0.7^{*} 0.8$ *0.9 0.10 *0.11 0.12 *0.13 0.14 *0.15 *0.16 0.170 .180 .190 .20 *0.21 0.27 *0.28 ${ }^{*} 0.30 \quad 0.31 \quad$ *0.32 $0.33 \quad$ *0.34 *0.35 *0.36 *0.37 *0.38 *0.39 0.40 var. *0.40 *0.41 0.42 *0.43 *0.44 *0.45 0.46 *0.47 *0.48 $0.49 \quad 0.50 \quad 1.01 .11$ *2.0 *3.11 * 4.04 .11 *5.0 *5.11 6.0
*6.11 *7.0 *7.11 8.0 *8.11 *15.0 15.11 *16.0 *28.0 *28.2 30.0 *31.0 *31.2 *32.0 33.3 *34.0 *34.3 *35.0 *36.0 Hb4, tcamina ${ }^{*} 0.22$, tcamna 35.3, NAP ce 2.2 *2.6.
kye, cu indef. pron. and adj. 'whoever, whatever', Skt ekatya-: NS living ce [ *30.1-2, kye şä 34.1, NAP cai halci $\left(c e+-i \overline{)}{ }^{*} 0.48 \rightarrow\right.$.
chati- subst. 'complexion', Skt chavi-: LAS chate jsa *0.48 $\rightarrow$.
jaḍa- adj. 'foolish', Skt duṣprajna-: NSm jadä *0.13.
gyasta- subst. 'god', Skt deva-: LP gyastuvo' 0.20 53.9 ${ }^{*}$ *54.9, gyastvo 0.21 0.22 .
jīy- vb. 'to disappear, be removed', Skt $k s i-: 3 S$ pres. jīye ${ }^{*} 0.41{ }^{*} 0.43$, 3P pres. mid. jyāre ${ }^{*} 0.40{ }^{*} 0.43$, 3 S pf. intr. m. jye 0.40 var., 3 S pf. intr. f. jäta 0.40 *0.42, 3P pf. intr. m. *0.41 0.41 var. *0.42.
jīväta- subst. 'life’: AS jīvätä *2.6 ${ }^{*}$.
ju emphatic particle: ju 4.9-
jauysa- subst. 'fighter': NAP jauyse 1.9.
jsan- vb. 'to kill': 3S pres. act. jsindä $1.1 \rightarrow 2.1,3 P$ pres. act. jsanindä 2.2 .
jsā- vb. 'to go': 3 S pres. mid. jsāte 0.23 $0.240 .250 .26{ }^{*} 0.51$. - huṣs a jsā- 'to increase' intr., Skt upaci-.
jsiñaa- adj. 'condemned to death', Skt vadhya-prāpta-: GDPm jsīñānu 2.5.
jsinā- subst. 'life, lifetime’, Skt āyus-: NS jsina *0.32 *0.40 0.43 * 32.0, jsinai ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} n a+-i\right) 0.41{ }^{*} 0.41$ var. *0.42, AS jsino 0.30 *0.31 *30.0 (2x) *30.1-2 $(2 \times){ }^{*} 31.0(2 \times) * 31.2(2 \times)$, jsina 0.31 . See also drjs-.
-jsīnia- see āmura-jsīnia-, dāra-jsīnia-.
tta adv. 'so', Skt tatra: tta 1.04 .0.
ttagata- subst. 'wealth': AS ttagatu *8.1.
ttatvatu adv. 'in reality': ttatvatu 0.50 .
ttarra- subst. 'thirst', Skt trṣā-: NS ttarrä 54.5.
ttarandara- subst. 'body', Skt kāya-: AS ttarạndarä *3.8, LAS ttarandaräna 0.49, ttarandarna *0.44 *0.45 *0.46 $0.47^{*} 0.48$ 15.1, ttaradarna $54.2 \rightarrow$.
tarrāyäta- ppp. to ttarrāy- 'to be thirsty', Skt trṣā-bahula-: NSm ttarrāyätä *54.4 $\rightarrow$.
ttānu see ṣa-.
ttä see ṣa-.
ttäña see ṣa-.
ttätä, ttäte see śäta-.
ttäna, ttänai see ṣa-.
ttītä see ttīyä.
tindä see yan-.
ttīyä adv. 'then': ttīyä $0.31 \quad 30.0$ *31.0 *31.2 34.1 34.2, ttìye $0.30 \rightarrow$, ttitü *30.1-2.
ttu see ssa-.
ttū see șäta-.
-täda- see ggamjsa-täda-.
tter-ku adv. 'as far as': tter-ku 0.29.
tto see ṣa-.
tcamäna, tcamina, tcamna see kye rel. pron.
tcaramu adv. 'at last': tcaramu 36.1.
tcarṣua- adj. 'brilliant', Skt snigdha-: NSm tcarșū * $0.48 \rightarrow$.
tcūrama- ord. num. 'fourth': NSm tcūramä ${ }^{*} 1.42 .44 .415 .4$ *53.4 54.4 FKa1.
tcei'man- subst. 'eye', Skt nayana-: GDP tcei'mañänu *0.48.
ttrāmī adv. 'so': trāmí *54.1.
trīyaśūna- subst. 'animalhood', Skt tiryagyoni': LS triyáśūnä *0.16 *16.0.
tsāta- adj. 'rich, wealthy', Skt ādhya-, mahā-bhoga-: NSm tsātä 0.80 .37 *0.38 *8.0 *8.11 *53.8 54.8 .
tsāttara- compt. to tsāta- 'rich, wealthy' (q.v.): NSm tsättara 35.2-.
tsu- vb. 'to go': 2 S impt. tso 4.9--
thatau adv. 'quickly', Skt ksipram: thatau *53.10 54.10, thyau 4.9.
dasama- ord. num. 'tenth': NSm dasamä 1.103 .10 *4.10 15.10 *53.10 54.10.
dasau card. num. 'ten', Skt dasa: NA dasau 0.510 .520 .530 .540 .550 .56 0.570 .580 .590 .60 * 1.11 *3.11 4.11 *5.11 *6.11 *7.11 *8.11 *15.11 *52.11 53.053 .1154 .0 *54.11 *55.0.
dākṣinia- subst. 'venerable man': NAP dāksinya *15.9, GDP dāksänyānu 3.7 *5.2, dāksinyānu *4.6.
dāra-jsinia- adj. 'long-lived', Skt dirghâ-yus-: NSm dāra-jsini ${ }^{*} 0.2 \rightarrow * 2.0 * 55.1$.
dāruṇa- adj. 'harsh': NSm dāruṇä *5.1.
däta- subst. 'appearance': IAS dätäna 0.5 0.6 *0.48 0.49 *0.49 $0.50 \quad 5.0$ *5.11 *6.0 *6.11 *53.1, dätena ${ }^{*} 0.48$ 54.3.
däda- ord. num. 'third': NSm dädä 1.3 *2.3 *4.3 15.3 54.3.
därysde see drjs-.
dukhäta- adj. 'poor', Skt alpa-bhoga-, da-ridra-: NSm dukhätä *0.7 ${ }^{*} 0.39$ *7.0 *7.11.
dukhautta- adj. 'distressed', Skt duhkhita-: NSm dukhautttä 0.330 .34 *0.36 * $0.44{ }^{*} 0.45$ *33.3 *34.0 *34.3 *36.0, dukhottä * 0.47 .
dai- vb. 'to see': 35 pres. daiyä 4.44 .8 7.5 , daiye ${ }^{* 7.6,3 P}$ pres. act. daindä ${ }^{*} 0.48 \rightarrow$, 3S opt. act. dai ${ }^{*} 5.1$.
drjs- vb. 'to hold': 3 S pres. mid. dänysde 0.300 .31 *30.0 *30.1-2 31.0 *31.2. jsino drjs- 'to spend one's life', Skt āyuh ksapaya-.
drsṭi- subst. 'view': NS drstäa 15.7.
-drsṭi- see krritta-drsṭi-.
drūpaa- adj. 'healthy', Skt alpâbādh ${ }^{\circ}$ : NSm drịnai *0.4 *3.9 4.0 *4.11, NAPm drünā 4.9.
drün̄āti- subst. 'health': NS drünãtë 4.5-, drūnāa 4.8 $\rightarrow$.
na see ne emphatic particle.
narām- vb. 'to go oul': 3 S pres. narāmäte *53.10 *54.10.
naria- subst. 'hell', Skt naraka-: LS narya 0.150 .30 ( $2 \times$ ) 0.31 * 0.310 .32 * 15.0 15.1130 .0 *30.0 30.1-2 ( $2 \times$ x) *30.1-2 *31.0 ( $2 \times$ ) *31.2 ( $2 \times$ ) *32.0.
na-ro adv. 'not yet': na-ro *0.240.28 0.40 0.43 * 0.43 *28.0 *28.2, na-ru 0.41.
nāśa- 'agreeable', pt. nec. to nās- 'to take' (q.v.), Skt ādeya-: NSm nāsä 53.5-.
nās- vb. 'to take' (ysamthu nās-, Skt upa-pad-): 3S pres. mid. nāste 0.270 .28 0.480 .49 *0.50 *8.1 28.0 *28.2 *32.1 *53.9 54.7, 3S subj. nāāâte "28.1.
-nāsaa- see ahīvya-nāsaa-.
nï 3P encl. pron. 'them': NA nu *3.6, GD nä 2.6?, $-\boldsymbol{m} 4.5$.
nti, ni 'not' see ne.
näjsaç- vb. 'to show': 3S pres. näjsasde *15.10 .
nimāna- subst. 'regret': NS numānī ( ${ }^{\circ} n a ̈$ + -i) 35.1 .
nu 3P encl. pron. see nä.
numāna- see nimāna-.
nuṣthura- adj. 'violent': NSm nuṣthurä *5.1.
ne adv. 'not', Skt na: ne *0.26 ${ }^{*} 0.50$ *2.1 2.22 .3 *3.10 4.3 *4.4 *4.6 *4.8 7.7-8 7.97 .10 *8.1 8.2 *8.3 *8.4 15.4 35.1 *36.1 54.6 *54.7, nä *0.27 *0.28 4.14 .5 *28.0 *28.2 *54.4 *54.5, ni 4.2, $n a i(n e+i)$ ne 0.23 *0.26-
ne emphatic particle: na 0.32 - *32.0.
nauma- ord. num. 'ninth': NS naumä 1.9 *3.9 * 4.9 * 15.9 *53.9 54.9.
nyaśsa- adj. 'despicable, of low birth', Skt nica-kula*: NSm nyaśśä *0.9 $\rightarrow$ *0.50.
nyāp- vb. 'to be known': 3 S pres. nyāpätä *0.27 *0.28 *28.0 *28.2, nyäpäte 0.50 .
paḍāa- ord. num. 'first': NS padāa 1.12 .1 $4.15 .1{ }^{*} 6.1-10{ }^{*} 7.1$ *8.1 15.1 *53.1 54.1 55.1.
pattarra- subst. 'drink', Skt pānīya-: NS pattarrai ( ${ }^{\circ}$ rra $+-\bar{\imath}$ ) 54.5 $\rightarrow$, AS pattaто *3.8 *8.10.
patä prep. 'in, at, on': patī $\left({ }^{\circ} t a ̈ ~+~-i\right) ~$ *54.1.
patätsānaa- adj. ‘generous', Skt tyāgavat-: NSm patätsānai ${ }^{*} 0.38 \rightarrow{ }^{*} 0.39 \rightarrow$.
padìm- vb. 'to make, build': 3 S pres. $p a$ dimäte ${ }^{*} 1.8 \rightarrow 15.9$.
pana- adj. 'every': GDSm panye *0.50.
panaṣta- ppp. to panāss- 'to lose': NAPm panasta 54.6.
pars- vb. 'to escape', Skt cyu-: 3 S pres. act. parstä $0.30 \rightarrow 0.310 .3230 .030 .1-2$ *31.0 *31.2 *32.0.
pahìys- vb. 'to disappear': 3 S pres. mid. pahīysde 4.6.
pātcu adv. 'again': pātcu pātcu 'again ad again' 30.1-2.
pārah- vb. 'to be established': 3P pres. mid. pārahāre *54.1.
pārysaa- subst. 'servant': NAP pärys $\bar{a}$ 54.6.
pätar- subst. 'father', Skt pitr-: GDS pìrä 4.5 *5.2.
puvā'n̄- vb. 'to frighten': 3 S pres. $p u v \bar{a}$ 'näte *3.6.
pușṣo adv. 'completely': puṣu 0.32 *32.0.
pūha- ord. num. 'fifth': NSm pūhä 1.5 *2.5 * 4.5 * 15.5 *53.5 *54.5.
pyūṣ- vb. 'to hear': 3S pres. pyūşde 1.7 8.5 *8.6.
pracaa- subst. 'cause': NAP pracyā *3.11 4.11 *5.11 *6.11 *15.11.
praysātūna- adj. 'fair', Skt prāsādika-: NSm praysātūnä $0.48 \rightarrow$.
prahauṇa- subst. 'garment', Skt vastra-: AS prahaunu 0.56.
prīya- subst. ‘ghost', Skt preta-: LP priyvo 0.17, priyvo' *54.7.
bañ- vb. 'to bind, fasten': 3S pres. bañätä $0.520 .53 \rightarrow$ *52.11 53.0 *53.11.
bata- adj. 'little': NSm batä *1.10.
bar- vb. 'to carry, bring': 3 S pres. act. bìdä $0.580 .59 \rightarrow 0.60$.
balonda- adj. 'powerful', Skt maheśā-khya-: NSm balondä *0.12.
balsa- subst. 'stūpa', Skt tathāgata-caitya-: LS balsa $0.510 .520 .53 \quad 0.58 \quad 0.60$ *52.11 53.0 *53.11, balśä *0.59.
bahojsa- adj. 'weak', Skt alpeśäkhya-: NSm bahojsä *0.11 $\rightarrow$.
bājä subst. 'deliverance’, Skt parimoca$n a-:$ AS bājäa 2.5 ${ }^{2}$.
bāraa- subst. 'vehicle', Skt $y \bar{a} n a-:$ AS $b \bar{a}-$ rau *0.57 $\rightarrow$.
bäysärgyūna- adj. 'horrific, terrifying', Skt ghora-darśana-: bäysärgyūnä 0.49.
*bilsamgginaa-, bilsamggimgyā- adj. 'of the order (of the monks)': LSf bilsamggimgya 7.10 ${ }^{*} 8.10$, ? bilsamggi/ *FKa1 ${ }^{-}$.
biśśa- adj. ‘all': GDPm biśśānu *0.48, NSf biśśa *0.41.
bäśāa- subst. 'tongue', Skt $v \bar{a} k$-: LAS $b a ̈-$ śāna *15.2.
bäsīväraa- adj. 'of high birth', Skt uccakula: NSm bäsivärai 0.10.
bīḍä see bar-.
buro indef. particle: buro 0.29 0.61.
bulj- vb. 'to praise': 3S pres. buljatä 1.3*2.2 *4.3 *7.3 *8.3.
buljsaī- subst. 'virtue': NAP buljse *54.1 *FKb3 $\rightarrow$.
bua'- subst. 'perfume', Skt gandha-: AS $b \bar{u}^{\prime} 0.60 \rightarrow$.
byāchäna- adj. 'ill', Skt bahv-ābādh $\bar{a}^{\circ}$ : NSm byächänä *0.3-3.11.
byeh- vb. 'to obtain': 3S pres. byehätä 0.170 .220 .30 *0.31 0.32 * $15.0^{*} 16.0$ *30.0 *31.0 *31.2 *32.0, byehäte *0.15 *0.16 $0.180 .190 .200 .21{ }^{*} 15.11$.
briyūna- adj. 'lovely' (briyūna- dätäna, Skt darsanīya-): NSm briyūnä 0.48 .
-m 1S encl. pron. see má.
-m 3P encl. pron. see nat.
man- vb. 'to harm': $3 S$ pres. act. mindë 4.1.
mātar- subst. 'mother', Skt mātr-: GDS merä 4.5 5.2.
mä 1S encl. pron. 'me': GD mä $1.7,-m$ $1.7 \rightarrow$.
már- vb. 'to die': 3S pf. intr. m. mudä 1.7.
mästa- adj. 'great', Skt tivra-: mästu *15.1.
mulchāñ- vb. 'to cause to miscarry': $3 S$ pres. mulchānäte $1.5 \rightarrow$.
merả see mātar-
yan- vb. 'to make, do', Skt kr-: 3 S pres. act. yīndä $* 5.2 \rightarrow 35.1$, tindä 34.1, $3 S$ pres. mid. yande *0.24 ${ }^{*} 0.25$ *3.9 $8.5 \rightarrow 15.115 .2$ *15.8, yande 0.26 *3.9 15.3 *FKb4 $\rightarrow$, 3 S opt. act. yanä 31.1, yäde $3 S$ pf. tr. m. 0.23. ■ amanāvu yan- mid. 'to feel as unpleasant'; udviyu yan- mid. 'to experience disgust'; ggamjse yan- act. 'to find faults'; siravetu yan- mid. 'to show happiness'.
yäde see yan-.
ye indef. pron. 'one': NS ye 34.1 yä 15.215.3.
ysampthe- subst. 'birth': AS ysamthu 0.15 $\begin{array}{llllllll}* & 0.17 & 0.18 & 0.19 & 0.20 & 0.21 & 0.22 & 0.27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}0.28 & 0.30 & & 0.31 & 0.32 & 0.48 & 0.49 & 0.50\end{array}$ $\left.\begin{array}{llllll}15.0 & 15.11 & * 28.0 & 28.1 & * 28.2 & *\end{array}\right] 0.0$ *31.0 *31.2 *32.0 *53.9 *54.7, ysamthä 0.16 *16.0, LAS ysamthäina "15.4.
ysāḍa- adj. ‘old': NSm ysādä *0.33 0.34 *0.35 *0.36 *33.3 *34.0 34.2 *34.3 35.0 * 35.3 * 36.0.
ysurri- subst. 'anger': IAS ysure jsa *3.9. ysūs- vb. 'to approve': 3 S pres. ysūsde $1.4 \rightarrow 2.3$ *7.4 *8.4.
ysūṣka- adj. 'pleasant': NAP (?) ysūska 53.2-3.
raysa- subst. 'juice': NS raysä 54.1.
-ru see na-ro.
rupāvacara- adj. 'of the sphere of form', Skt rüpâvacara-: LP rūpāvacaruvo' 0.21 .
rrūy- vb. 'to lose': inf. ruūyä $2.6 \rightarrow$.
rro adv. 'also': ro *15.2 *15.3 29.0-1.
-ro see na-ro.
lukṣa- adj. 'rough', Skt rüksa-: NSm luksä 0.49 ${ }^{-}$
vara adv. 'there': vara 0.32 *32.0.
varāśs vb. 'to experience': 3 S pres. varāsätä *4.9, 3S pres. varāsäte 29.0-1 *29.0-1.
varāśañá pt. nec. to varāś- 'to experience' (q.v.): NSm varāsuāiäa 0.29 *29.0-1.
vasṭ- vb. 'to remain, be': 3S pres. västätä *54.5-
vā disjunctive and adversative particle 'or, yet, on the contrary': vā 1.0 *2.2 15.4 34.2, vā na 0.32, *32.0.
vāna- subst. 'temple', Skt sthandila-: AS $\nu$ vānä ${ }^{*} 1.8 \rightarrow$.
vāra- adj. 'absent': NSf $v$ āre *54.5 $\rightarrow$.
vàta see ah-.
väta postp. 'in, at, on': vätä *0.4 *4.0 *4.11, vetä 7.7-8.
väștäta see vaṣt-
virli postp. 'in, at, on': ham virä 'always' *8.7-8 53.7.
vīvāta- subst. 'maturation': NS vīvātä 1.10.
vetả see vätai.
śäta- ord. num. 'second': NSm śätä 1.2 2.2 *4.2 15.2 54.2, säte 5.2 53.2-3.
śśära- adj. 'good', (dätüna sssära-, Skt abhirūpa-, prāsādika-): NSm sśärä 0.6 *0.48 *6.0 *6.11 15.6 *53.1, śśäri *54.2.
śuvo' adj. 'half, Skt ardha-: AS śuvo' $0.31 \rightarrow$ *31.0 *31.2.
śsau card. num. 'one': ASm śsau *7.10 $\rightarrow$ *8.10.
śye see śäta-.
ṣa- dem. pron. 'that', 3 pron. 'he, she, it', def. art. 'the', Skt sa- only *34.2 *35.2 (?): NSm şä 1.01 .11 .2 *1.4 1.5 *1.6 *1.7 1.81 .91 .102 .02 .12 .22 .3 $2.5 * 2.63 .7 * 3.83 .93 .10 * 4.04 .14 .2$ 4.34 .44 .54 .64 .74 .84 .9 *4.10 5.0 *5.1 5.2 *6.0 6.1-10 *7.0 7.18 .0 *8.1 15.0 *15.1 15.2 *15.9 15.1016 .0 *28.0 31.0 *32.0 *34.0 $34.1 \quad * 34.2 * 35.0$ *35.2 * $36.0 * 53.1 * 53.2-353.4 * 53.5$ *53.6 53.7 *53.8 *53.9 *53.10 *54.1 $54.254 .354 .4 * 54.5 * 54.654 .754 .8$ 54.9 54.10 55.1 FKa1, ASm ttu 4.6, LASm ttäna 1.7, ttänai ${ }^{*} 0.32$ *32.0, LSm ttäña 0.29, ASf tto 3.9, NAP ttä 53.2-3 (?) 54.0 55.0, GDPm ttānu 2.6.
şlata- dem. pron 'this', def. art. 'the', Skt idam: NSm sätä 28.229 .2 *31.2, sä’sä 33.3 *34.3, sei’sä 35.3, ASm ttū *31.1, NSf $s \bar{a}$ 0.61, NAP ttätä *1.11 *3.11 *4.11 *5.11 *6.11 7.11 *8.11 *52.11 *53.0 *53.11 54.11, ttäte *15.11.
sṣai adv. 'even': ssei *29.0-1.
steāna- pres. pt. mid. to $s t-$ 'to stand, be': NSm stānäa $0.33{ }^{*} 0.33 \quad 0.34{ }^{*} 0.34$ *0.35 ( $2 \times$ ) *0.36 ( $2 \times$ ) *33.3 ( $2 \times$ ) *34.0 ( $2 \times$ ) *34.3 ( $2 x$ ) 35.0 *35.0 *35.3 (2 x) *36.0 ( $2 \times$ ), sțānä particle 0.29 *29.0-1.
saṃtsāra- subst. 'samsāra': AS saṃtsāru *53.10 *54.10.
salāva- subst. ‘speech': NS salāvä 53.5•. sāna- subst. 'enemy', Skt amitra-: NS sānä 3.9 *3.9, sānu-m ( $\rightarrow m a ̈) 1.7$.
sä see se.
sira- adj. 'happy, content' (sīiä häm-, Skt pritim utpādaya-): NSm sirä 1.74 .4 4.8 7.6 7.7-8 *8.6 *8.7-8 *30.1-2 $\rightarrow$ 34.1.
siravāti- subst. 'happiness': AS siravetu 3.9. See also yan-.
suha- subst. 'joy': NS suhä 0.48 .
suhaja- adj. 'joyful', Skt samprahārśa$j \bar{a} t a-: ~ N S m ~ s u h a j a ̈ ~ * 53.7 . ~$
suhautta- adj. 'blessed', Skt sukhita-, su-khin-: NSm suhcuttä ${ }^{*} 0.33{ }^{*} 0.34$ ${ }^{*} 0.35{ }^{*} 0.44{ }^{*} 0.45{ }^{*} 0.46{ }^{*} 33.334 .0$ *34.2 *34.3 35.0 *35.3.
se particle introducing direct speech: se 1.74 .9 15.6, sä 15.415 .5
strīyā- subst. 'woman': AS strīyo 1.5 .
snidūna- adj. 'smooth', Skt snigdha-: NSm snidūnä 0.48 *54.3.
spāśś- vb. 'to see, look': 3S pres. spāsaätä 3.9, spās̈äte 0.29.
spätaa- subst. 'flower', Skt puspa-: NAP späte 0.59.
hamkhiysgyai- subst. 'enumeration', Skt uddeśa-: NS hamkhiysgya 0.61.
hangasso adv. 'altogether': hamgaso 0.61 .
hamgūj- vb. 'to meet': 3S pres. hamgūjäte 31.1.
hajua- adj. 'wise', Skt mahā-prajna-: NSm hajū 0.14.
hamjsaş- vb. 'to be about, to intend to': 3P subj. hamjsä'ro *2.6 $\rightarrow$.
handara- adj. 'other', Skt para-: ASm hamdaru 1.1, hamdarä 4.1, GDSm handarye *5.1, hamdarye *3.8, IASm handama 15.4, GDPm handarānu 7.5 *7.6 7.7-8 *8.5 *8.6 *8.7-8.
handār- vb. 'to care for': 3 S pres. mid. handäde ${ }^{*} 4.7 \rightarrow$.
hamata- reflex. pron. 'oneself': NSm hamata ${ }^{*} 2.1 \rightarrow$.
hamu adv. 'always' (ham vīrä, Skt nityam: haṃ vätä *4.0 $\rightarrow$, haṃ vetä *7.7-8, ham vírä *8.7-8 53.7 $\rightarrow$.
hamau- subst. 'vessel', Skt bhājana-: AS hamau 0.54 - *54.0 *54.11, LS hamauya *54.1 $\rightarrow$.
haysgustana- subst. 'sorrow': ? haysgu$s t a / n-32.1 \rightarrow$.
harbiśśa- adj. 'all', Skt paripūma-: ASf harbisso *0.30 *30.0 *30.1-2.
halci indef. particle: halci ${ }^{*} 0.48$.
hasṭama- ord. num. 'eighth': NSm hasta$m a ̈ * 1.8$ *3.8 *4.8 15.8 *53.8 54.8.
haspij- vb. 'to urge': 3 S pres. haspijätä * 1.6 .
hā correlative conjunction: $h \bar{a} \ldots u h \bar{a} \ldots$ 'both ... and ...' (Skt ... ca ... ca) ${ }^{*} 0.35 \rightarrow 0.360 .46{ }^{*} 0.47 \quad * 35.0 * 35.3$ *36.0.
hā directional particle (away from the subject): $h \bar{a} 1.22 .34 .24 .3$ 7.2 7.7-8 8.2 *8.7-8.
hāva- subst. 'advantage', Skt anusarnsa-, läbha-: AS hāvu *5.1 7.5 *8.6, NAP hāva *0.51 *0.52 0.53 * 0.540 .550 .56 0.570 .580 .590 .60 * 52.1153 .053 .11 54.0 *54.11 *55.0.
hâm- vb. 'to become, be': 3 S pres. hämätä *0.38, hämäte *0.1 *0.2 *0.3*0.4${ }^{*} 0.50 .6$ *0.7 0.8 *0.9 0.100 .110 .12 0.130 .14 *0.33 0.34 *0.37 0.39 *0.44 ( $2 \times$ ) * $0.45 \quad{ }^{*} 0.46 \quad * 0.47 \quad 0.48 \quad 0.49$ *0.50 1.0 * 1.71 .11 *2.0 *3.9 ( $2 \times$ ) $3.114 .44 .54 .8 * 4.85 .1 * 5.11$ * 6.0 *6.11 *7.0 *7.5 7.6 *7.7-8 *7.11 *8.0 *8.6 *8.7-8 *8.11 30.1-2 *30.1-2 *33.3 34.0 * 34.0 *34.1 34.2 * 34.2 *34.3 (2×) 35.1 * 35.2 *53.1 *53.5 *53.7 *53.8 *54.2 54.3 *54.4 *54.5 54.8 *55.1, hämete $5.0,3 S$ subj. mid. hämāte 0.32 *32.0, 3 P subj. hämäro 4.9 .
härșṭayă adv. 'in reality': härstāyä 1.10.
hivia- adj. 'one's own': NAP hivya 31.1.
hīs- vb. 'to come, arrive', Skt upapad-: 3 S pres. act. hīstä 0.29 30.1-2- *54.9.
huvira- adj. 'very delicious' (?): ASm huvīrä $3.10 \rightarrow$, huvitürä *4.10.
hușṣa adv. 'increasingly': huşa 0.23 *0.26 husai $\left({ }^{\circ} s a+-i\right) 0.240 .25$. See also $j s \bar{a}-$.
hūda- ppp. to haur- 'to give' (q.v.): hūdu 34.135 .1.
heḍa see haur-.
haudama- ord. num. 'seventh': NSm houdamä 1.73 .7 *4.7 *15.7 *53.7 *54.7.
haur- vb. 'to give', Skt dā-: 3 S pres. act. hedä $0.54{ }^{*} 0.550 .560 .57$ *3.8*3.10 *4.6 *4.10 7.10 *8.10 36.154 .054 .11 *55.0, 3P pres. act. haurindä 7.7-8 *8.7-8. - hauru haur- 'to give as a gift'.
haura- subst. 'gift', Skt dāna-, pradāna-: AS haurи 0.550 .560 .57 7.7-8 8.7-8 *34.1 *36.1 54.054 .11 *55.0, haurä
*0.54, GDS haurä 1.10. See also haur-.
hva'nd- subst. 'man', Skt pudgala-: NS hve' $0.1{ }^{*} 0.20 .3^{*} 0.4^{*} 0.50 .6{ }^{*} 0.70 .8$ *0.9 0.10 *0.11 *0.12 *0.13 0.140 .15 0.160 .170 .180 .190 .200 .210 .220 .23 $0.24{ }^{*} 0.25 \quad 0.26 \quad 0.27{ }^{*} 0.28 \quad 0.30 \quad 0.31$ $0.320 .330 .34{ }^{*} 0.35{ }^{*} 0.360 .37$ *0.38 $\begin{array}{lllllll}0.39 & 0.40 & \text { var. } 0.44 & 0.45 & 0.46 & 0.47\end{array}$ *0.48 0.490 .50 *1.0 1.11 *2.0 3.114 .0 4.115 .0 *5.11 6.0 *6.11 *7.0 *7.11 8.0 *8.11 $15.0 \quad 15.11{ }^{*} 16.0 \quad$ *28.0 *28.2 *30.0 30.1-2 *31.0 *31.2 *32.0 33.3 *34.0 34.1 *34.2 *34.3 * 35.0 *35.2
*35.3 *36.0 Hb4, AS hvam'du 0.29, hvam'dä *29.0-1, GDS hvam'dä 0.50 5.1, hvaṃ'däye *0.40, hvam'diye *0.41 var., hvą'ndiye *0.42, hva'ndiye *0.41 0.43 NAP hva'ndä *2.6, GDP hvam'dānu 2.5, LP hvam'duvo' 0.19.
hvasta- ppp. to hvah- 'to strike, beat': NAPm hvasta 4.4.
hvāñ- vb. 'to speak': 3S pres. hvā̄ääte 2.5 *FKb3 ${ }^{-}$.
hvide substantivised inf. to hvar- 'to eat': AS hvide *3.10 *4.10.
hve' see hva'nd-.

## SANSKRIT-KHOTANESE INDEX

aḳ̣tajñatā- atärañia-
adatta- ihivia- ttagata-
adattadāna- *ahivya-nāsaa-
anuśamsa- hāva-
apāya- avāya-
abhirūpa- dätäna sśära-
amitra- sāna-
arūpyavacara- ārūpyāvacara-
ardha- śuvo'
alābha- ggäriva-
alpa-bhoga- dukhäta-
alpâbādhāo drūnaa-
alpâyus- àmura-jsinia-
alpeśākhya- bahojsa-
as- $a h$ -
asura- aysura-
āḍhya- tsāta-
ādeya- $n \bar{a} s a-$
ànantarya- anantanaria-
āyus- jsinā-; āyuḥ kṣapaya- jsīno drjs-
idam säta-
ucca-kula ${ }^{\circ}$ bäsiväraa-
uddeśa- hamkhiysgyā-
upaci- hussa jsā-
upapad- ysamthu nāss, hiss-
ekatya- kye, cu
katama- kāma-
karman- karma-
kāmâvacara-kāmāvacara-
kāya- ttarandara-
kr- yan-
ksi- jily-
ksipram thatau
gandha- bua'-
ghanțā- gäta'-
ghora-darsana- bäysärgyūna-
ca $u$
... ca ... ca $h \bar{a}$... $u h \bar{a}$...
citta- aysmua-
cyu- pars-
chattra-ksatra-
chavi- chati-
tatra tta
tathāgata-caitya-balsa-
tiryagyoni ${ }^{\circ}$ triyasüna-
tivra- müsta-
trṣā- ttarra-
trsā-bahula- tarrāyäta-
tyăgavat- patälsānaa-
daridra- dukhäta-
darsanīya- briyūna- dätäna
daśa dasau
dā. haur-
dāna- houra-
dïrghâyus- dära-jsīnia-
duḥkhita- dukhoutta-
durgandha- gganānaa-
durvarṇa- dätäna asädūna-
duścarita- kädägāna-
duşprajina- jada-
drsți- kritta-drsti-
deva- gyasta-
deśa- ksíra-
na ne
nayana- tcei'man-
naraka- naria-
nityam ham virä
nīca-kula ${ }^{\circ}$ nyaśśa-
para- handara-
paripūrṇa- harbiśsa-
parimocana- bājä
pānīya- pattarra-
pitr-päte
pudgala- $h v e$ '
pusppa- spätaa-
pratikūla-darsana- dätäna abryavǐya-
pradāna- haura-
pradīpa- cirau-
prāsādika- dätäna śsära-, praysātūnaprītim utpādaya- sīrä häm-
preta- priya-
bahv-ābādhā byāchäna-
bhājana- hamau-
bhaiṣajya- aruva'-
bhojana-khāysa-
matsarin- kadaria-
manas- aysmua-
mahā-prajña- hajua-
mahā-bhoga- tsāta-
maheśākhya- balonda-
mātr- māta
${ }^{\circ}$ mātra- eva kho
ya-kye, cu
yāna- bāraa-
rūkṣa- lukṣa-
rūpâvacara- rūpāvacara-
lābha- hāva-
vadhya-prāpta- jsiñaa-
vastra- prahauna-
vāk- bäśāa-
sa-s sa- (?)
samprahārśa-jāta- suhaja-
sukhita- suhautta-
sukhin- suhautta-
sthandila- vāna-
snigdha- tcarṣua-, snidūna-
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XXXIII. - TaKaSaki J., A study on the Ratnagotra-vibhãga (Uttaratantra) being a treatise on the Tathăgatagarbha theory of Mahāyăna Buddhism.
XXXIV. - Ruegg D.S., The Life of Bu ston Rin po che, with the Tibetan text of the Bu ston rNam thar.
XXXV. - Soper A.C., Chinese, Korean and Japanese bronzes. A catalogue of the Auriti collection donated to IsMEO and preserved in the Museo Nazionale d'Arte Orientale in Rome.
XXVI. - Orientalia Romana. 2, Essays and Lectures by V.S. Agrawala. P. Beonio-Brocchieri, P. Corradini, L. Lanciotti, Namkhal N. Dewang.
XXXVII. - Pensa C., L'Abhisamayālankāravrtti di Ārya-vimuktisena. Sanskrit text.
XXXVIII. - Boyce M., The Letter of Tansar (Literary and Historical Texts from Iran. 1).
XXXIX. - Orientalia Romana. 3, Ghalib. Two essays by Ahmed Ali and A. Bausani.
XL. - Zahiruddin Ahmad, Sino-Tibetan relations in the seventeenth century.
XLI. - Mole G., The T'u-yü-hun from the Northern Wei to the time of the Five Dynasties.
XLII. - Wylie T.V., A Tibetan religious Geography of Nepal.
XLIII. - Tuccı G., Minor Buddhist Texts. Part III: Third Bhāvanākrama.
XliV. - Orientalia Romana. 4, Essays by W. Heissig, A. Tamburello, R. Beviglia, L.P. Mishra.
XLV. - Petech L., Aristocracy and Government in Tibet (1728-1959).
XLVI. - Conze E., The Gilgit manuscript of the Astādasasāhasrikāprajñāpāramitā. Chapters 70 to 82 corresponding to the 6th, 7th an 8th Abhisamayas.
XLVII. - Richardson H.E., Ch'ing Dynasty Inscriptions as Lhasa.
XLVIII. - The Tärikh-e Sistän. Translated by Mluton Gold (Literary and Historical Texts from Iran, 2).

XLIX, 1-2 - Gnoli R., The Gilgit Manuscript of the Sanghabhedavastu (2 vols.).
L.

- Gnoli R.., The Gilgit Manuscript of the Śayanäsanavastu and the Adhikaranavastu.
LI. - Petech L., The Kingdom of Ladakh c. 950-1842 A.D.
LII. - Orientalia Romana. 5, Iranian Studies. Edited by G. Gnoli, Essays and Lectures by J. Duchesne-Guillemin, J. Kellens, P. Lecoq, G. Widengren, I. Gershevitch, Ph. Gignoux, W. Sundermann, G. Gnoli.
LIV. - Petech L., Mediaeval History of Nepal (c. 750-1482). Second, thoroughly revised edition.
LV. - Gnoli R., Ricordo di Giuseppe Tucci. Con contributi di L. Petech, F. Scialpi, G. Galluppi Vallauri.
LVI, 1-3 - Orientalia Iosephi Tucci memoriae dicata. Edenda curaverunt G. Gnoli et L. Lanciotti.
LVII. - Orientalia Romana. 6, The First European Colloquium of Iranology (Rome, June 18th-20th, 1983). Edited by G. Gnoli.
LVIII. - Gnoli R., Il commento di Abhinavagupta alla Parārrimśikā (Parātrimśikātattvavivaraṇam). Traduzione e Testo.
LIX. - Forte A., On the Significance of the Mingtang and Buddhist Utopias in the History of the Astronomical Clock.
LX. - Petech L., Selected Papers on Asian History.
LXI. - Gañs ti se'i dKar c'ag. A Bon-po Story of the Sacred Mountain Ti-se and the Blue Lake Ma-pan. Edited by Namkhai Norbu. Revised, collated and completed by R. Prats.
LXII. - Gnoli G., The Idea of Iran. An Essay on its Origin.
LXIII. - Oman Studies. Papers on the Archaeology and History of Oman. Edited by P.M. Costa and M. Tosi.
LXIV. - Atti del Convegno sul tema: Mircea Eliade e le religioni asiatiche. A cura di G. Gnoli.
LXV. - Petech L., Central Tibet and the Mongols. The Yüan - Sa-skya Period of Tibetan History.

LXVI, 1-2 - South Asian Archaeology 1987. Proceedings of the Ninth Intemational Conference of the Association of South Asian Archaeologists in Western Europe, held in the Fondazione Giorgio Cini, Island of San Giorgio Maggiore, Venice. Edited by M. Taddel, with the assistance of P. Callieri.
LXVII, 1-2 - Proceedings of the First European Conference of Iranian Studies held in Turin, Trh-1lth September 1987 by the Societas Iranologica Europaea. Edited by G. Gnoli and A. Panaino.
LXVIII, 1-2 - Panaino A., Tistrya. Part I: The Avestan Hymn to Sirius. Part II: The Iranian Myth of the Star Sirius (2 vols).
lXIX. - Emmerick R.E. and Pulleyblank E.G., A Chinese Text in Central Asian Brahmi Script.

LXX, 2 - Arabia Antiqua. Hellenistic Centres around Arabia. Edited by A. Invemizzi and J.F. Salles.
LXXI. - Torella R., The Ïsvarapratyabhijñäkārikā of Utpaladeva with the Author's Vrtti.
LXII. - Orofino G., Sekoddesa. A Critical Edition of the Tibetan Translation.
LXXIII. - Proceedings of the Second European Conference of Iranian Studies held in Bamberg, 30rh September to 4th October 1995 by the Societas Iranologica Europaea. Edited by B.G. Fragner, C. Fragner, G. Gnoli, R. Haag-Higuchi, M. Maggi and P. Orsatti.
LXXIV. - Maggi M.. The Khotanese Karmavibhanga.
LXXV. - Cereti C.G., The Zand ì Wahman Yasn. A Zoroastrian Apocalypse.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Sander 1984, 159.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ A fragmentary manuscript of this Chinese version was discovered in Dunhuang, see Giles 1957, 115 no. 4030.
    ${ }^{3}$ "[M]alheureusement la traduction de Feer est parsemée de graves erreurs qui en rendent l'emploi assez fallacieux» (Lévi 4).

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ On this collection, usually referred to simply as the Francke collection, see Gropp 1984 and Emmerick 1984. Hans Nordewin von Körber was a Sinologist in the employ of the Museum für Völkerkunde. Whith his knowledge of Chinese he supported A. H. Francke on their Central Asian expedition. Later on he moved to the United States.
    ${ }^{5}$ See a provisional and still partial listing in Emmerick 1992, 25.
    ${ }^{6}$ Khot. (IO) 3 \# Macartney b; Khot. (IO) 18.2; Macartney c \# FK 210.19 Do. 31; Macartney d \# FK 210.20 Do. 32 (the sign \# marks the joining of fragments belonging to one and the same folio).
    ${ }^{7}$ Dumaqu 0021; FK 211.4 Do. 57 \# FK 210.22 Do. 34; FK 211.5 Do. 58 \# Khot. (IO) 4; Khot. (IO) 2; Khot. (IO) 5 \# Macartney e; Khot. (IO) 18.1; Macartney a \# FK 210.21 Do. 33.

[^3]:    ${ }^{8}$ It is of note not only that the fragments of MS A are divided between the collections of two different European institutions (The British Library, Oriental and India Office Collections, London, and Staatliches Museum für Völkerkunde, München) but also that the fragments themselves housed at the British Library arrived there by different means: The «Dumaqu» fragments were «collected by Badruddin Khān» and brought to London by M. A. Stein from his third Central Asian expedition (see Stein 1928, 1026), whereas the «Khot. (IO)» and «Macartney» fragments had been aquired by G. Macartney. In the manuscript notes accompanying A. H. Francke’s «Eingangsbuch», we read of «von Schatzgräbern erworbenen Funde von Khādalik und Domoqo», to which also our fragments belong (p. 47, quoted by courtesy of the Staatliches Museum für Völkerkunde, München). It is apparent that all the fragments of MS A were purchased from local treasure seekers. The same applies to the one fragment of MS B.
    ${ }^{9}$ The four varieties of the Khotanese formal script are, in the terminology of L . Sander:

    1. early Turkestan Brāhmī, type b (2 subtypes with and without knots);
    2. early South Turkestan Brāhmī;
    3. South Turkestan Brāhmì (the more widely attested variety);
    4. late South Turkestan Brähmī.

    See Sander 1986, 167-168 for a brief survey (cf. Sander 1984, 167-169). Thanks to R. E. Emmerick, I was also able to make use of Sander's comprehensive and accurate but unfortunately unfinished and unpublished study of the Khotanese formal script.
    ${ }^{10}$ On Old and Late Khotanese see Emmerick 1987.
    ${ }^{11}$ See below the description of the manuscripts and cf. Sander 1984, 171.
    ${ }^{12}$ This is indicated by the original signature «Do[moko]» of the fragments in the Francke-Körber collection (FK) and by the signature «Dumaqu» of two fragments in

[^4]:    ${ }^{18}$ The sign \# marks the joining of fragments belonging to one and the same folio.

[^5]:    ${ }^{19}$ Lower case letters in the second column indicate who identified the fragments as belonging to KV: $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{H}$. W. Bailey, $\mathbf{e}=$ R. E. Emmerick, $m=$ M. Maggi.

[^6]:    ${ }^{21}$ The vowel mark of the last akṣara can be seen beneath a piece of Dumaqu 0121 stuck to Dumaqu 0021 v1.
    ${ }^{22}$ The vowel mark for -i can be read in reversed script on Dumaqu 0021 v 4 below the two aksaras of dasau.

[^7]:    ${ }^{27}$ The very uncertain traces of -i could in fact be traces transferred from FK 210.17 Do. 29 r.
    ${ }^{28}$ This -e can be read in reversed script on FK 210.18 Do. 31 r1 below ṣde.
    ${ }^{29}$ The traces of -e are completed by other traces to be read on FK 210.18 Do. 30 r1 below nā on the left.

[^8]:    ${ }^{31}$ Only a very thin vertical stroke of $r$ - is apparently preserved.

[^9]:    32 -m can also be read in reversed script on FK 211.4 Do. 57 v 4 below si.
    ${ }^{33}$ This -ä can be read in reversed script on FK 210.22 Do. $34 v 4$ below the remains of -sn- in uysnoura on the right.

    34 -āk[ṣ]inyānu ttu gì can be read in reversed script on FK 210.22 Do. 34 v4 below yä u pūhä.
    ${ }^{35}$ This -ä can be read in reversed script on FK 210.22 Do. $34 \mathrm{v3}$ below the first occurrence of ṣä.

[^10]:    ${ }^{36}$ Bailey ba.
    ${ }^{37}$ Bailey mä.
    ${ }^{38}$ Bailey jye.

[^11]:    ${ }^{39} \mathrm{hv}[-]^{\prime}[++$ ]na ssśs can be read against the light beneath a piece of the preceding folio stuck to the beginning of Khot. (IO) 2 r4.
    ${ }^{40}$ Only the figure 10 can be read against the light beneath a piece of the preceding folio stuck to Khot. (IO) 3.

[^12]:    ${ }^{41}-u$ and se-can be read in reversed script on Khot. (IO) $18.2 v 4$ superimposed on the lower part of hu and, respectively, below șai in the first occurrence of huṣai.

    42 y - can be read in reversed script on Khot. (IO) 18.2 v 4 between the first occurrence of hușai and jsāte.
    ${ }^{43}$ It is possible to read pätä . aśsä karmä in reversed script on Khot. (IO) 18.2 v 4 connected with cu hve' etc. There are traces of the -m- in karmä also on Khot. (IO) 3 rl.

    44 hämä[te .] aśtä karmä tcamäna hve' can partly be read beneath a piece of the preceding folio stuck to Khot. (IO) 3 v4.

[^13]:    ${ }^{45}$ It is possible to read $\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{v}] \mathrm{e}[$ ' $-\overline{\mathrm{a}}[+-\mathrm{]a}[-] \bar{a}[-] \mathrm{a}$ against the light on a piece of Macartney e rl stuck to Khot. (IO) $3 \mathrm{v4}$.
     Macartney b $v 4$.

[^14]:    ${ }^{47}$ ]na [d]u can be read in reversed script on FK 210.19 Do. 31 r2 superimposed on karmä.
    ${ }^{48}$ The words hä[mä]te u ay[sm]ūna [suh]aut $[\mathrm{t}]$ ä can partly be read in reversed script on FK 210.19 Do. 31 rl connected with the akşaras $\mathrm{t}[\mathrm{e}] \mathrm{u}$ hā aysmūna [.] a[s]t[[a]] ka-.

[^15]:    ${ }^{60}$ Readable on a photograph in possession of R. E. Emmerick. The first consonant of the first aksara has a flat top.
    ${ }^{61}$ Only the figure 10 is readable. That no folio is missing between Macartney c \# FK 210.19 Do. $31\left(=f .{ }^{*} 13\right)$ and Macartney $d$ \# FK 210.20 Do. $32\left(=f .{ }^{*} 14\right)$ is indicated by the fact that traces of aksaras of one folio have been transferred to the other one not only along the margins (cf. $n$. 58) but also in the middle of the folios. Thus, if a folio has been lost, its loss must date back to before the last use of the manuscript and surely not to a period after its discovery in modern times. It should be noticed that the reconstructed folio sequence exactly corresponds with the two original signature sequences (Macartney $c-d$ and Do. 31-32), which must be significant.
    ${ }^{62}$ ba can be read in reversed script on FK 210.19 Do. $31 v 4$ between kṣa and rà.

[^16]:    63 -au can be read in reversed script, partly against the light, on FK 210.19 Do. 31 v4 between pä and te.
    ${ }^{64}$-au [h]ā can be read in reversed script on FK 210.19 Do. 31 v3 below nai hä.

[^17]:    ${ }^{65}$ AB ${ }^{\circ}$ vipakṣam: «the form is clearly a hyper-Sktism for MIndic (Pali) vipakka = Skt. vipakva, confused with MIndic vipakkha = vipakṣa» (Edgerton 490). In §§ 32.0, 32.1 and 32.2 Lévi emends ${ }^{\circ} v i p a k s a m ~ t o ~{ }^{\circ} v i p a ̄ k a m ~(s e e ~ L e ́ v i ~ 50 n .4) . ~$

[^18]:    ${ }^{66}$ So emended. The text printed in Lévi $30.17-19$ has narakeşupapannah sārdhanairayikam āyuh in this passage (only MS A, MS B has a gap here). The proposed emendation is suggested by the context (1. the whole life in hells; 2 . half a life in hells [not one life and a half!]; 3. migration to another state of existence just after birth in hells) and is confirmed by the corresponding text in $\S 28$ (both MS A and B): tatra katamat karma yena samanvāgatah pudgalo narakesūpapanno 'rdanairayikam äyuh ksapayitvă cyavati (Lévi 49.7-8). Kh. suvo' 'half lends further support to the emendation.

[^19]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{a}}$ karmä tcamäna hve' jye karma [ Harvard 3.4 a1.
    ${ }^{\text {b-b }}$ [hva]m['d]iye karma jäta [u] j[s]ī[nai Harvard 3.4 a2.

[^20]:    67 The addition is based on the text of § 48 (karma yena samanvägatah pudgalo 'pāyeṣ̂́papanno 'bhirūpo bhavati prāsādikah snigdha-käyah snidgha-cchavir nayanâbhirāmo darśanīyah, Lévi 77.11-13 and 15-17) and seems to have its counterpart in Kh. praysātūnä.

[^21]:    ${ }^{68}$ The reading of MS B pratisamskāra-karanam is to be preferred to A pratisamskaranam adopted by Lévi but probably due to haplography (Edgerton 371). Cf. for instance 3.5 pịdā-karanam and 4.5 upasthāna-karanam.

[^22]:    ${ }^{69}$ The reading paridäghah of MS A (MS B has a lacuna here) is to be preferred to Lévi's emendation pradāsáh according to Edgerton 441 s.v. mraksa.

[^23]:    ${ }^{70}$ Hoernle 1916, 50 for MS v:̣ty ${ }^{\circ}$.

[^24]:    ${ }_{72}$ Hoernle 1916, 49 for MS abrahmanyatā.
    72 Hoernle 1916, 49 for MS āsanādi.
    ${ }^{73}$ Hoernle 1916, 49 for MS aśuśrusā.
    ${ }_{74}$ Hoernle 1916, 49 for MS aśuśnuşã.
    75 Hoernle 1916, 49 for MS ${ }^{\circ}$ samvartanìyā.

[^25]:    ${ }^{76} \mathrm{AB}{ }^{\circ}$ vipaksam, see n. 65.
    ${ }^{77} \mathrm{AB}{ }^{\circ}$ vipaksam, see n. 65.
    ${ }^{78}$ A omits § 32.2; B ${ }^{\circ}$ vipakṣam, see n. 65.

[^26]:    ${ }^{79}$ Lévi has narakiyam.

[^27]:    ${ }^{81}$ Edgerton 579 by emendation of AB samprahāryajāto following a suggestion of Lévi's 87 n. 6. Unfortunately, the Khotanese text has a gap here.

[^28]:    82 yuḍu in Emmerick's edition (Śgs p. 34).

[^29]:    ${ }^{83}$ Metrical reasons would suggest that this $C$ verse of Sgh should be restored as mātaru <hā> jsataimä hā pätanu ysurre jsa, which would fit the pattern -vטーレ + 5 morae + ऊuv ऊuv.

[^30]:    ${ }^{84}$ I suspect that Bailey's āta uhulañä and byāta yanändä were in reality two alternative readings that have been mistakenly conflated and interpolated in his edition.

[^31]:    Khot. (IO) $3 v$

